Innovations

The Digital Competence of the University of Baguio Preservice Teachers

Jerrel Panayo Dean Marc Aguado

University of Baguio, Philippines

Abstract: The information age demands competencies that respond to an increasingly digitized world. Pre-service teachers are not exempt from this imperative. This study aims to assess the digital competence of pre-service teachers of the University of Baguio across the Digital Competence (DigComp) domains and propose a training program to address identified areas of improvement. Through the descriptive quantitative design, this study assessed the DigComp levels of 68 pre-service teachers via a self-reported DigComp questionnaire. Thefindingsindicate a moderate level of Digital Competence overall, with notable strengths observed in Safety, Information and Data Literacy, Communication and Collaboration. However, areas of improvement were identified in Digital Content Creation and Problem Solving. This study contributes to the gap in the literature on Filipino pre-service teachers'DigComp. The insights from the study served as the foundation for targeted interventions aimed at enhancing pre-service teachers' digital skills. Keywords: Digital Competence, Pre-service Teachers, Training Program, Digital Skills. Teacher Education Institution.

Introduction

Technological advancement has led to the transformation of the educational landscape, necessitating the need to develop robust digital competence for teachers. As future educators, pre-service teachers are charged with the technological know-how to integrate technology into their teaching practices effectively. This research aims to investigate the digital competence of pre-service teachers at the University and identify key areas for improvement to enhance their readiness for 21st-century classrooms.

While digital tools are necessary aids in the learning process, they might not be enough to address the needs of a 21st-century classroom. Teachers must also be armed with digital competence, or the skills needed to navigate the ever-changing technological landscape. Digital competence refers to the ability of individuals to effectively and critically use digital technologies for various purposes, including communication, collaboration, problem-solving, information management, and digital citizenship. It

encompasses the skills, knowledge, attitudes, and ethical considerations necessary to navigate and utilize digital tools in an informed and responsible manner (Carretero et al., 2017). It is also a measure of quality education in the 21st century (Fraile et al., 2018).

Learning flourishes when teachers use technology as a means to improve educational content (Ganimian et al., 2020). Today, more than ever, teachers are expected to integrate technology in the classroom as a means to enhance the teaching-learning process (Guillén-Gámez et al., 2021).

The analysis of teachers' reflections on their digital competence reveals their recognition of low-medium digital competence and a gap in certain competencies (such as evaluation of teaching practices). Hence, there is a growing need to address this concern through training programs (Basilotta-Gómez-Pablos et al., 2022). Similarly, Reisoğlu and Çebi (2020) found out that pre-service teachers need to be trained in information and digital literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem solving.

Literature Review

Pre-service teachers ought to be trained in 21st-century literacies, including digital competency (Reisoğlu & Çebi, 2020). Even if pre-service teachers today live in the era of information and technological ubiquity, studies show that there remains a gap between knowledge and implementation of the future teachers' digital competence.

Active engagement in social media and the use of technology does not translate to levels of competence in educational technology(McGarr & McDonagh, 2021). Similarly, Spanish pre-service teachers report having certain digital skills but lack the educational technological integration skills to enrich the classroom (Tarraga-Minguez et al., 2021). Some of the identified needs of Korean pre-service teachers are reflective practice and assessment strategies (Yoon, 2022).

Digital competence is perceived to be moderate among Turkish preservice teachers, with information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, and safety as higher than content creation and problem solving(Çebi & Reisoglu, 2020). A study on the digital competence of Spanish teachers yielded a low level of digital competence, specifically in content creation and problem solving. The respondents also reported that their initial digital skills were self-taught (Fraile et al., 2018). The same trend is seen among Italian and Polish pre-service teachers, as they commonly use word processing and multimedia toolsand implement them with confidence. However, sub-skills under content creation (e.g., software installation and configuration) are challenging (Tomczyk et al., 2022). Other issues include technical know-how and the digital divide in accessing an improved integration of digital technologies (Krumsvik et al., 2016). These studies point to a stronger need for training on digital competence among pre-service teachers.

There exists a gap in the research on pre-service teachers' digital competence in the Philippines. Recent studies primarily focus on the digital competence of professional teachers. For instance, the works of avier (2022),

Alcontin (2021), and Rodriguez (2021) all explore the digital skills of teachers at the height of remote learning during the pandemic.

Nonetheless, the gap in digital competence relies on professional development and training (Alcontin, 2021). While Filipino teachers rated themselves high in their ICT skills, other dimensions of digital competence are unexplored (Rodriguez, 2021). The teachers also acknowledge the essence of digital tools in their teaching practice, despite the technical issues they encounter (Javier, 2022).

Professional and pre-service teachers the world over continue to navigate the dynamic technological tools in the teaching-learning process. Training remains to help address any gap in digital competence among preservice teachers (Akbar & Biyanto, 2022; Maiier & Koval, 2021; Tarraga-Minguez et al., 2021).

The literature highlights the need for pre-service teachers to receive comprehensive training in DigComp. Despite living in an era of technological abundance, research reveals a persistent gap between pre-service teachers' digital knowledge and its effective use in educational contexts. Simply engaging with technology or social media does not necessarily translate into effective applications of educational technology. This is supported by the studies from various countries. Efforts to improve teacher training in digital literacy eases the means of navigating the ever-evolving technological landscape.

Conceptual Framework

Recently, there have been several frameworks developed to measure digital competence. DigComp is one of the most recent, comprehensive, and valued frameworks (Cabero-Almenara et al., 2020; Çebi & Reisoglu, 2020). There are five digital competencies identified under this framework: information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem-solving(Ferrari & Punie, 2013).

The DigComp framework characterizes Information and Data Literacy (IDL) as the skill of identifying, locating, retrieving, storing, organizing, and analyzing data. It also includes determining digital data's relevance and usefulness. An information and data-literate individual can utilize technology to collaborate with others of diverse backgrounds and communicate in digital environments. Communication and Collaboration (CC) refer to the engagement of content and information through digital tools, including knowledge of and sensitivity to diversity, identity and representation, citation, and ethical standards in the publication of content. A specific skill under this competency is content production and editing (e.g., video editing). Digital Content Creation (DCC) refers to the creation of digital content across formats as a means of self-expression, remixing media while being cognizant of copyright and licensing. Other complex skills, such as programming and developing software, fall into this category. Safety covers the protection of digital identity, data security, protection, awareness of the risks associated with digital media, and safety precautions. It also underscores the impact of digital technologies on mental well-being and the environment. Problem Solving (PS) requires the ability to use digital tools to identify needs and solve

problems in digital environments and to keep abreast of recent technological advancements (Vuorikari et al., 2016, 2022).

Digital competence is found to be one of the most essential training needs for pre-service teachers today (Maiier & Koval, 2021; Tarraga-Minguez et al., 2021). It is also an essential indicator of digital literacy levels that can improve teachers' learning outcomes (Akbar & Biyanto, 2022).

Significance of the Study

There is a necessity toimprove the digital competence of pre-service teachers through research and training development (Basilotta-Gómez-Pablos et al., 2022; Çebi et al., 2022). Using a digital competence survey can be useful in guiding pre-service teachers in addressing gaps in the integration of technology in their teaching (McGarr & McDonagh, 2021). The digital competence checklist provided by the DigComp framework can aid in improving digital skills; this also extends to the improvement of the teachinglearning process(Maiier & Koval, 2021).

This study aims to help pre-service teachers in their educational technology training by identifying gaps in their digital competence. The training workshop, which will be the output of this study, can aid not only preservice teachers of STELA but also their prospective learners.

Objectives of the Study

This study aims to address the University's research agenda on Area III (the area of Instruction), item number four (the holistic development of students). This study can empower pre-service teachers to actively engage with digital tools and platforms. Through the training which is the output of this study, pre-service teachers can develop and enhance their technical skills, becoming proficient in using digital devices and applications.

This study also addresses SDG 2030 Number 4: Quality Education which aims toensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote accessible, lifelong learning opportunities. The study aligns with SDG target 4.4.1 on increasing the number of individuals with relevant skills, specifically information and communication skills, which are essential for employment and professional development in the digital era.

The general objective of this study is to determine the perceived digital competence of pre-service teachers at the University of Baguio STELA. Specifically, it aims to attain the following objectives:

- a. to identify the perceptions of pre-service teachers about their digital competence
- b. to design a training matrix for digital competence for pre-service teachers

Methodology

Study Design

The study used a descriptive quantitative approach to identify the perceptions of pre-service teachers about their digital competence. The results were the basis of a training matrix to be proposed to the School of Teacher Education.

Sample

The study was conducted at the University Of Baguio School Of Teacher Education and Liberal Arts, and the target respondents were the third- and fourth-year pre-service teachers because they have completed courses on educational technology (EDTECA1 and EDTECA2). The population is 115 preservice teachers. Using the Cochran formula with an80% confidence level, the sample was computed at 68.

Data Gathering Tool

The data was collected through a questionnaire based on the study of Cebi and Reisoglu (2020).

The Questionnaire is divided into five competencies, namely:

- a. Information and data literacy
- b. Communication and collaboration
- c. Digital content creation
- d. Safety
- e. Problem-solving

Before the questionnaire was administered, the tool underwent reliability through the help of a statistician. The reliability test was administered with a small group of pre-service teachers in Baguio City (n=48). This allowed the researchers to identify any potential issues such as ambiguous questions, and make necessary revisions. The reliability coefficient was computed using the Pearson-Product-Moment Correlation and the result was 0.9690 which is interpreted as excellent reliability.

Data Gathering Procedures

Once the study has gone through the necessary steps for approval (ethics review and tool validation), the questionnaire was sent to the respondents' emails in Google Forms. The Form included an explanation of the instructions on Google Forms to minimize external and internal numerical data threats. The Google Forms required the users to answer all of the questions before submitting their responses. Furthermore, the form included the researchers' email addresses, should the respondents have any concerns or questions, and they can contact the researchers.

Treatment of Data

The weighted mean was used to answer the first objective of the study. The Likert Scale was used in the interpretation of the results. The data was summarized and analyzed with the help of a statistician. Based on the statistical treatment, the weighted mean was used to answer the problems of the study.

Table 1The Scale of Interpretation for Digital Competence

Arbitrary	Statistical	Descriptive	Description
Values	Limit	Interpretation	
4	3.25 - 4.00	Strongly Agree	High competence
3	2.50 - 3.24	Agree	Moderate competence
2	1.75 - 2.49	Disagree	Partial competence
1	1.00-1.74	Strongly Disagree	No competence

Toaddress the second objective on which competencies need addressing, the results of the first objective were the basis for the creation of a training matrix on Digital Competence.

Ethical Considerations

Permission to administer the questionnaires was obtained from the school dean. Participation in the said endeavor wasstrictlyvoluntary, and participants reserved the right to withdraw participation or retract at any time. To ensure that the respondents' data is protected, the following measures were taken into account:

- a. The participants' information was kept private and confidential. Only the researchers have access to the results of the Google Form.
- b. Anonymity was honored. There was no other information collected apart from the ones needed in the study.

The results of the study will be disseminated to the respondents through their email accounts and research presentations and publications.

Results and Discussion

The Perceived Digital Competence of University of Baguio Pre-service Teachers

Table 2 shows insights into the pre-service teachers' digital competence across several indicators: information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem solving.

Table 2 The Perceived Digital Competence of University of Baguio Pre-service Teachers

Competence Area	Mean	Descriptive	Description
Comberence urea	MICGII	Interpretation	Describiton
Information and Data Literacy		THIETPICIALION	
1. I identify my needs when	3.24	Agroo	Moderate
• •	3.44	Agree	
searching for data, information or			Competence
digital content in online			
environments.	0.10	_	3.5 3
2. I use information search	3.19	Agree	Moderate
strategies to access data,			Competence
information, and digital content in			
online environments.			
3. I critically evaluate the accuracy	3.25	Strongly	High
of the data, information or digital		Agree	Competence
content I access.			
4. I access the data, information	3.25	Strongly	High
and digital content I need in online		Agree	Competence
environments.			
5. I investigate from different	3.24	Agree	Moderate
sources whether the data,			Competence
information or digital content I			_
access is reliable.			
6. I pay attention to source and	3.27	Strongly	High
citation representations when		Agree	Competence
sharing data, information or digital		9	
content.			
	3.24	Agree	Moderate
	0.21	119100	competence
Communication and			Componence
Collaboration			
7. I easily organize and store data,	3.03	Agree	Moderate
information and content in online	0.00	119100	Competence
environments.			Competence
8. I use digital technologies to	3.36	Strongly	High
communicate in online	0.00	0.5	
		Agree	Competence
environments.	2 00	T orms	Madazata
9. I share data, information or	3.20	Agree	Moderate
digital content using different			Competence
digital technologies.	0.05	g	·
10. I use digital technologies to	3.27	Strongly	High
collaborate in online		Agree	Competence
environments.			
11. I comply with behavioral norms	3.25	Strongly	High
(ethical rules) when interacting in		Agree	Competence
online environments.			
	3.22	Agree	Moderate
			competence

Digital Content Creation			
12. I develop content in simple	3.12	Agree	Moderate
forms using digital technologies.			Competence
13. I can develop content in	3.07	Agree	Moderate
different formats (video, visual,			Competence
animation, etc.) using digital			
technologies.			
14. I pay attention to copyrights	3.20	Agree	Moderate
and licensing when developing		9	Competence
digital content.			o omposed
15. I produce digital content by	2.93	Agree	Moderate
making changes to ready-made		119100	Competence
content.			Competence
55-15-111	3.08	Agree	Moderate
		3	competence
Safety			
16. I know what to look out for	3.24	Agree	Moderate
when creating a digital identity			Competence
(profile) in online environments.			
17. I am aware that I leave a digital	3.22	Agree	Moderate
footprint when I navigate online			Competence
environments.			_
18. I am aware of the risks and	3.44	Strongly	High
threats in online environments.		Agree	Competence
19. I take different measures to	3.32	Strongly	High
protect my digital device and		Agree	Competence
content.			_
20. I take precautions about safety	3.37	Strongly	High
and privacy in online		Agree	Competence
environments.			
21. I protect personal data and	3.44	Strongly	High
privacy in online environments.		Agree	Competence
22. When sharing my personal	3.29	Strongly	High
information online, I take		Agree	Competence
precautions to protect the personal			
data of others (not to tag them in a			
photo without permission, etc.)			
23. I am aware of the effects of	3.363	Strongly	High
digital technology use on health		Agree	Competence
(physical, psychological).			
24. I am familiar with data policies	3.14	Agree	Moderate
(how to use personal data) of the			Competence
digital services that I am a user of			
(social networking, etc.).			
25. I am aware of the	3.37	Strongly	High
environmental impact of using		Agree	Competence
digital technologies.			
26. I know how to deal with online	3.17	Agree	Moderate

threats.			Competence
	3.31	Strongly	High
		Agree	competence
Problem Solving			
27. I identify the causes of	3.07	Agree	Moderate
technical problems I encounter			Competence
when using digital media and			
devices.			
28. I solve the technical problems I	2.99	Agree	Moderate
encounter when using digital			Competence
media and devices.			
29. I use the different digital	3.05	Agree	Moderate
technologies to create innovative			Competence
solutions.			
30. I identify opportunities for the	3.19	Agree	Moderate
development of my digital			Competence
competencies.			
31. I develop my digital	3.29	Strongly	High
competence by following new		Agree	Competence
developments.			
	3.12	Agree	Moderate
			competence
Overall	3.19	Agree	Moderate
			competence

Regarding Information and Data Literacy (IDL), the mean score of 3.24 suggests a moderate competence level among the pre-service teachers. This indicates a general comfort with handling and understanding information and data. The pre-service teachers think that they have a strong ability to critically evaluate information, access data, and pay attention to acknowledging sources when sharing information. Meanwhile, otherIDL skills such as identifying needs, searching for information, and testing reliability can be seen as areas for improvement. In terms of Communication and Collaboration (CC), the mean score of 3.22 indicates a similar moderate level of competence. The pre-service teachers' see themselves as highly competent in the use of technologies for online CC. They also perceive their adherence to standards of conduct in online settings to be their strongest suit. However, their competence in organizing, storing, and sharing data and information in online environments is moderate. On Digital Content Creation (DCC), the mean score of 3.08 points to a moderate level of competence. This indicates that while the pre-service teachersare confident in some DCC skills, there are opportunities for further development or improvement in this aspect. For example, they might be less confident in their ability to reproduce or edit existing digital content compared to other aspects under this competency. Safety emerges as a strong suit among pre-service teachers, with a mean score of 3.31. This suggests that the pre-service teachers feel moderately confident in navigating digital spaces safely and securely. They perceive

themselves to be competent in being aware of safety measures in online environments, protecting personal data, understanding online threats, and being cognizant of the broad impact of technology use. However, there are slight variations in competence levels across the aspect of caution in creating online profiles and awareness of digital footprint and data policies. In terms of Problem Solving (PS), the mean score of 3.12 suggests a moderate level of competence among the pre-service teachers. Although they feel comfortable with identifying causes and solving technical problems to some extent, their confidence levels vary across the different aspects of PS. There may still be areas where they can further develop their ability to effectively solve digital problems. They also show a particularly strong interest in pursuing new developments in technology.

Overall, the results suggest that the pre-service teachers at the University of Baguio generally perceive themselves as having moderate competence in various aspects of digital competence. These results agree with the findings of Çebi, et al. (2022), Tarraga-Minguez et al. (2021), Cebi and Reisoğlu (2020), Reisoğlu, and Çebi (2020), and Lopez et al. (2019) where pre-service teachers report a moderate level of Digital Competence.

Safety as a strength among pre-service teachers does not coincide with the results of other studies(e.g., Alnasib, 2023; Reisoğlu, and Çebi, 2020; Gabarda Méndez et al., 2017; López Belmonte et al., 2019), as this is reported as a weakness. Effective safety skills can be attributed to modelingfrom adults like teachers and parents (Fraile et al., 2018). Guidance from in-service teachers also predicted improved DigComp levels for pre-service teachers(Maiier & Koval, 2021). The respondents in the study may have had robust guidance on responsible technology use from adults and other professionals. Awareness of cyber-ethics (especially on data protection and security) may also have played a role in this competence area (McGarr & McDonagh, 2021). The respondents' confidence in Safety can be attributed to their educational technology courses (i.e., Technology for Teaching and Learning 1 and 2). These courses incorporate ICT regulations and protocols, as well as digital citizenship (Commission on Higher Education, 2017).

The competence areas of IDL and CC are identified to be strengths in this study. This result aligned with the results of Alnasib (2023), Rodríguez-García et al. (2022), Cebi and Reisoğlu (2020), Reisoğlu and Cebi (2020), Fraile et al. (2018), and GabardaMéndez et al. (2017). These can be related to student teachers' positive attitudes towards digital technologies for communication (Štemberger & Konrad, 2021) as well as theirfrequent engagement with social media and digital technologies (McGarr & McDonagh, 2021). However, this result comes with a caveat as self-reported DigComp may not necessarily translate to effective applications in the classroom (Alnasib, 2023; Gudmundsdottir & Hatlevik, 2020; Štemberger & Konrad, 2021; Tarraga-Minguez et al., 2021).

Problem Solving and Digital Content Creation are competencies with the lowest means in the results. Similar to other studies on pre-service teachers' technological use in the classroom, PS and DCC can be a focus for enhancement (McGarr & McDonagh, 2021; Yang et al., 2022). Both PS and DCC competence areas are considered to be ICT-related and are essential in the learning process (Fraile et al., 2018). PS and CC skills like using ICT

knowledge to solve complex problems in the classroom and using digital technologies to support the teaching-learning process, among others are ICT standards for teachers (Commission on Higher Education, 2017).

Consistent with the results of Tomczyk and others (2022), the respondents appear to be confident in basic DCC skills like word processing and presentation tools and are less confident in editing and reproducing existing resources.

Varying levels of digital competencies are seen when gender, age, specialization, and prior knowledge of information and communications technology (ICT) are factored in (Casillas Martín et al., 2020; Çebi & Reisoglu, 2020; Hinojo-Lucena et al., 2019; Reisoğlu & Çebi, 2020; Rodríguez-García et al., 2022). While this study did not explore any of these factors, they can also be a consideration when understanding the results as well as for future studies.

The Digital Competence Training Matrix for Pre-Service Teachers

Table 3 describes the proposed training matrix based on the results. Specifically, it focused on addressing the areas where pre-service teachers have indicated moderate competence.

 Table 3

 The Digital Competence Training Matrix for Pre-service Teachers

Competence	Training Topic	Training Objective	Training
Area			Method
Information and	Information	To improve	Hands-on
Data Literacy	Search	proficiency in using	tutorials
	Strategies	effective search	
		strategies to access	
		relevant data,	
		information, and digital	
		content online.	
	Critical	To enhance critical	Guided practice
	Evaluation of	evaluation of the	and/or
	Information	accuracy and	mentoring
		reliability of data,	
		information, and digital	
		content accessed	
		online	
Communication	Organizing,	To develop skills in	Interactive
and	Storing, and	efficient storage,	workshop using
Collaboration	Sharing of	organization, and	cloud
	Educational	sharing of educational	applications
	Resources	materials and digital	
		content.	
	Utilizing Digital	To enhance	Demonstration
	Tools for	competence in using a	sessions, role-
	Classroom	variety of digital tools	playing
	Communication	for communication and	activities

		collaboration in classroom settings	
Digital Content		To strengthen the	Software
Creation	Educational	ability to create and	training,
	Content in	edit content in diverse	creative
	Different	formats such as video,	projects
	Formats	visual animation, etc.	
		using digital	
		technologies	
	Understanding	To increase awareness	Legal seminar
	Cyberethics:	and understanding of	
	Fair Use and	fair use principles and	
	Copyright	copyright laws when	
	Awareness	creating and sharing	
		educational materials	
Problem	Troubleshooting	To improve skills in	Troubleshooting
Solving	Classroom	identifying and solving	sessions,
	Technology	technical problems	scenario-based
	Issues	encountered when	training
		using classroom	
		technology and digital	
		devices	

The training topics have been made to cater to the needs of pre-service teachers, with training objectives and methods tailored to their needs in educational contexts. The training methods emphasize practical application, hands-on practice, and collaboration to enhance their competencies effectively.

A personalized training program that addresses pre-service teachers' needs may be ideal even if they acknowledge possessing specific digital competencies. Despite their moderate DigComp levels, pre-service teachers express a deficiency in pedagogical skills necessary for effectively integrating technology into the classroom(Basilotta-Gómez-Pablos et al., 2022; Tarraga-Minguez et al., 2021). Hence, pre-service teachers' DigComp can direct how they can integrate technology in their future practice(Çebi et al., 2022).

DigComp training that employs active learning methods, like the ones identified in Table 3,can promote ICT integration in the teaching-learning process(Hoti & Shatri, 2023; Pozas & Letzel, 2023). Active learning activities involve hands-on activities facilitated by digital technologies (Koh, 2013).

Activities for Information and Digital Literacies may include selecting andevaluating information available on the internet and developing ways to use it in the classroom (Tuluk & Akyüz, 2021). These skills can be achieved through practical activities with ICT tools as well as guided support by teachers (Andreasen et al., 2022). Training with an emphasis on IDL is a

predictor of digital technology use in the classroom (Peciuliauskiene et al., 2022).

Cloud applications training can be useful for Communication and Collaboration skills such as sharing and storing files (Aburezeq & Dweikat, 2017). Pre-service teachers see collaborative digital tools and learning management systems to be helpful in the classroom (Polly et al., 2023). It is recommended that the use of CC applications be modeled by the teachers (Reisoğlu & Çebi, 2020).

Training that involves practical, hands-on training exercises using Digital Content Creation software and applications has been seen to improve pre-service teachers' DCC skills (Lee et al., 2023). Training also boosts their confidence in the use of technology in the classroom (Oakley, 2020). Tomczyk et al. (2022) noted that pre-service teachers typically turn to word processing and presentation applications and are less likely to use other DCC skills such as webpage creation, video editing, and production. However, workshops (like the use of flipped classrooms) that encourage creative outputs can be used to address this (Almutairi et al., 2020; Oakley, 2020).

Understanding cyberethics can be addressed through partnerships with other professionals, like legal experts. Professional engagement, mentoring, and collaborations for pre-service training are supported by several studies (e.g., Toharudin et al., 2023; Reisoğlu&Çebi, 2020; Tondeur et al., 2020). Cyberethics covers a wide range of considerations like copyright laws, fair use, and professional identity (Milton et al., 2021). Pre-service teachers are found to struggle with some fair use practices, such as searching for images with permissive licenses(Tomczyk et al., 2022). Cyberethics awareness for pre-service teachers is crucial since this is a period when their professional identities are shaped (Barboutidis & Stiakakis, 2023; Milton et al., 2021).

Paying attention to problem-solving strategies to address ICT integration of pre-service teachers is recommended by several studies (Barboutidis & Stiakakis, 2023; Fraile et al., 2018; Tomczyk et al., 2022). There are use cases recommended by DigComp 2.2 (Vuorikari et al., 2022) that can be employed during training that engage the PS competence area. For instance, an intermediate employment scenario requires an individual to use a MOOC (Massive Open Online Course) forum to inquire about a course and use the features of a blog or wiki to create content and collaborate with others. In this scenario, digital technology is used to aid in turning ideas into action. Addressing PS also means addressing other competence areas. In the scenario provided, CC skills are also engaged when one uses digital technologies to address problems in the digital environment.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The pre-service teachers of the University of Baguio report that they have a moderate level of Digital Competence. Noteworthy strengths include their ability to evaluate information critically, use digital technologies for communication and collaboration, and maintain safety and privacy online. However, there are opportunities for improvement especially in identifying reliable sources, producing original digital content, understanding data policies, and solving technical problems.

To bolster the DigComp of the pre-service teachers, a targeted approach is recommended. The training matrix focuses on addressing specific competency gaps identified in the self-reported assessment. The topics were tailored toensure a more effective enhancement of skills. Additionally, future studies could explore the influence of variables like gender, age, and prior ICT knowledge on Digital Competence levels. Looking at other demographic characteristics can provide further insights for refining educational strategies and interventions. This holistic approach can contribute to better preparing pre-service teachers for the demands of the digital era.

References

- 1. Aburezeq, I. M., & Dweikat, F. F. I. (2017). Cloud applications in language teaching: Examining pre-service teachers' expertise, perceptions and integration. International Journal of Distance Education Technologies, 15(4). (www.ijede.ca)
- 2. Akbar, H. M., & Biyanto, B. (2022). The Role of Digital Competence for Pre-Service Teachers in Higher Education Indonesia. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 14(1). (unimuda.e-journal.id)
- 3. Alcontin, T. N. (2021). Philippines teachers' beliefs on digital teaching competence in post-pandemic recovery: Still prefer online? Journal of Educational Management and Instruction (JEMIN), 1(2). (ejournal.uinsaid.ac.id)
- 4. Almutairi, F. M., Almodaires, A. A., & Zeyab, A. J. (2020). Effectiveness of Flipped Learning: Improving Pre-Service Teachers' Prowess in Producing Videos. International Education Studies, 13(7). (www.ccsenet.org)
- 5. Alnasib, B. N. M. (2023). Digital Competencies: Are Pre-Service Teachers Qualified for Digital Education? International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology, 11(1). (ijemst.net)
- 6. Andreasen, J. K., T⊘mte, C. E., Bergan, I., & Kovac, V. B. (2022). Professional digital competence in initial teacher education: An examination of differences in two cohorts of pre-service teachers. Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy, 17(1). (www.idunn.no)
- 7. Barboutidis, G., & Stiakakis, E. (2023). Identifying the Factors to Enhance Digital Competence of Students at Vocational Training Institutes. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 28(2). (link.springer.com)
- 8. Basilotta-Gómez-Pablos, V., Matarranz, M., Casado-Aranda, L. A., & Otto, A. (2022). Teachers' digital competencies in higher education: a systematic literature review. In International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education (Vol. 19, Issue 1).(educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com)
- 9. Cabero-Almenara, J., Romero-Tena, R., & Palacios-Rodríguez, A. (2020). Evaluation of teacher digital competence frameworks through expert judgement: The use of the expert competence coefficient. Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research, 9(2). (naerjournal.com)
- 10. Carretero, S., Vuorikari, R., & Punie, Y. (2017). The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens With Eight Proficiency Levels and Examples of Use. In Publications Office of the European Union. (publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu)
- 11. Casillas Martín, S., Cabezas González, M., & García Peñalvo, F. J. (2020).

- Digital competence of early childhood education teachers: attitude, knowledge and use of ICT. European Journal of Teacher Education, 43(2). (www.tandfonline.com)
- 12. Çebi, A., Bahçekapılı Özdemir, T., Reisoğlu, İ., & Çolak, C. (2022). From digital competences to technology integration: Re-formation of pre-service teachers' knowledge and understanding. International Journal of Educational Research, 113. (www.sciencedirect.com)
- 13. Çebi, A., & Reisoglu, I. (2020). Digital competence: A study from the perspective of pre-service teachers in Turkey. Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research, 9(2). (naerjournal.com)
- 14. Commission on Higher Education. (2017). Policies, Standards and Guidelines for Bachelor of Secondary Education (BSEd). (ched.gov.ph)
- 15. Ferrari, A., & Punie, Y. (2013). Digcomp: A framework for developing and understanding digital competence in Europe. In Publications Office of the European Union. (commission.europa.eu)
- 16. Fraile, M. N., Peñalva-Vélez, A., & Lacambra, A. M. M. (2018). Development of digital competence in secondary education teachers' training. Education Sciences, 8(3). (www.mdpi.com)
- 17. Gabarda Méndez, V., Rodríguez Martín, A., & Moreno Rodríguez, M. D. (2017). Digital competence in students of educational degrees. Analysis of future teachers' competence and perception. Educatio Siglo XXI, 35(2). (revistas.um.es)
- 18. Ganimian, A., Vegas, E., & Hess, F. (2020). Realizing the promise: How can education technology improve learning for all? Brookings Institution. (www.brookings.edu)
- 19. Gudmundsdottir, G. B., & Hatlevik, O. E. (2020). "I just Google it"-Developing professional digital competence and preparing student teachers to exercise responsible ICT use. Nordic Journal of Comparative and International Education, 4(3–4). (journals.oslomet.no)
- 20. Guillén-Gámez, F. D., Mayorga-Fernández, M. ^aJ, Bravo-Agapito, J., & Escribano-Ortiz, D. (2021). Analysis of Teachers' Pedagogical Digital Competence: Identification of Factors Predicting Their Acquisition. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 26(3). (link.springer.com)
- 21. Hinojo-Lucena, F. J., Aznar-Diaz, I., Caceres-Reche, M. P., Trujillo-Torres, J. M., & Romero-Rodriguez, J. M. (2019). Factors Influencing the Development of Digital Competence in Teachers: Analysis of the Teaching Staff of Permanent Education Centres. IEEE Access, 7. (www.ieee.org)
- 22. Hoti, A. H., & Shatri, K. (2023). The Role and Importance of ICT Courses in Improving the Learning Outcomes of Pre-Service Teachers. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 12(3). (www.richtmann.org)
- 23. Javier, B. (2022). Practices of Filipino Public High School Teachers on Digital Teaching and Learning Technologies during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Basis for Learning Action Cell Sessions. International Journal of Computing Sciences Research. (stepacademic.net)
- 24. Koh, J. H. L. (2013). A rubric for assessing teachers' lesson activities with respect to TPACK for meaningful learning with ICT. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 29(6). (ajet.org.au)
- 25. Krumsvik, R. J., Jones, L. Ø., Øfstegaard, M., & Eikeland, O. J. (2016). Upper secondary school teachers' digital competence: Analysed by demographic,

- personal and professional characteristics. Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy, 2016(3). (www.idunn.no)
- 26. Lee, D., Li, Z., Park, D., Lim, C., & Eom, T. (2023). Educational Program to Enhance Pre-service Teachers' Metaverse Content Creation Competency. Journal of Digital Contents Society, 24(8). (journal.dcs.or.kr)
- 27. López Belmonte, J., Pozo Sánchez, S., Morales Cevallos, M. B., & López Meneses, E. (2019). Competencia digital de futuros docentes para efectuar un proceso de enseñanza y aprendizaje mediante realidad virtual. Edutec. Revista Electrónica de Tecnología Educativa, 67. (www.edutec.es)
- 28. Maiier, N., & Koval, T. (2021). How to Develop Digital Competence in Preservice FL Teachers at University Level. Advanced Education, 8(18). (ae.fl.kpi.ua)
- 29. McGarr, O., & McDonagh, A. (2021). Exploring the digital competence of preservice teachers on entry onto an initial teacher education programme in Ireland. Irish Educational Studies, 40(1). (www.tandfonline.com)
- 30. Milton, J., Giæver, T. H., Mifsud, L., & Gassó, H. H. (2021). Awareness and knowledge of cyberethics: A study of pre-service teachers in Malta, Norway, and Spain. Nordic Journal of Comparative and International Education, 5(4). (journals.oslomet.no)
- 31. Oakley, G. (2020). Developing pre-service teachers' technological, pedagogical and content knowledge through the creation of digital storybooks for use in early years classrooms. Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 29(2). (www.tandfonline.com)
- 32. Peciuliauskiene, P., Tamoliune, G., & Trepule, E. (2022). Exploring the roles of information search and information evaluation literacy and pre-service teachers' ICT self-efficacy in teaching. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19(1). (educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com)
- 33. Polly, D., Martin, F., & Byker, E. (2023). Examining Pre-Service and In-Service Teachers' Perceptions of Their Readiness to Use Digital Technologies for Teaching and Learning. Computers in the Schools, 40(1). (www.tandfonline.com)
- 34. Pozas, M., & Letzel, V. (2023). "Do You Think You Have What it Takes?" Exploring Predictors of Pre-Service Teachers' Prospective ICT Use. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 28(2). (link.springer.com)
- 35. Reisoğlu, İ., & Çebi, A. (2020). How can the digital competences of pre-service teachers be developed? Examining a case study through the lens of DigComp and DigCompEdu. Computers and Education, 156. (www.sciencedirect.com)
- 36. Rodríguez-García, A. M., Cardoso-Pulido, M. J., De la Cruz-Campos, J. C., & Martínez-Heredia, N. (2022). Communicating and Collaborating with Others through Digital Competence: A Self-Perception Study Based on Teacher Trainees' Gender †. Education Sciences, 12(8). (www.mdpi.com)
- 37. Rodriguez, M. R. G. (2021). Technology Leadership: Assessing the Competency Level of High School Administrators and Teachers in the Use of ICTs. Journal of Educational Management & Social Sciences, 1(1). (journals.irapa.org)
- 38. Štemberger, T., & Konrad, S. Č. (2021). Attitudes Towards Using Digital Technologies in Education as an Important Factor in Developing Digital Competence: The Case of Slovenian Student Teachers. International Journal of

- Emerging Technologies in Learning, 16(4). (online-journals.org)
- 39. Tarraga-Minguez, R., Suarez-Guerrero, C., & Sanz-Cervera, P. (2021). Digital Teaching Competence Evaluation of Pre-Service Teachers in Spain: A Review Study. Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologias Del Aprendizaje, 16(1). (www.ieee.org)
- 40. Tomczyk, Ł., Fedeli, L., Włoch, A., Limone, P., Frania, M., Guarini, P., Szyszka, M., Mascia, M. L., & Falkowska, J. (2022). Digital Competences of Pre-service Teachers in Italy and Poland. Technology, Knowledge and Learning. (link.springer.com)
- 41. Tuluk, G., & Akyüz, H. I. (2021). Online Information Search Competencies of Prospective Philosophy Teachers in an Online Pedagogical Formation Program. International Journal of Progressive Education, Vol. 17(1), 54–67. (ijpe.inased.org)
- 42. Vuorikari, R., Kluzer, S., & Punie, Y. (2022). DigComp 2.2. The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens. With new examples of knowledge, skills and attitudes. In Publications Office of the European Union (Issue KJ-NA-31006-EN-N (online),KJ-NA-31006-EN-C (print)). (publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu)
- 43. Vuorikari, R., Punie, Y., Carretero, S., & Van Den Brande, L. (2016). DigComp 2.0: The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens. In Jrc-Ipts (Issue June). (link.springer.com)
- 44. Yang, L., Martínez-Abad, F., & García-Holgado, A. (2022). Exploring factors influencing pre-service and in-service teachers' perception of digital competencies in the Chinese region of Anhui. Education and Information Technologies, 27(9). (link.springer.com)
- 45. Yoon, S. H. (2022). Gender and digital competence: Analysis of pre-service teachers' educational needs and its implications. International Journal of Educational Research, 114. (www.sciencedirect.com)