Innovations

Adoption of Student-Centered Learning in Ethiopia: Global Discourses and the Need for Contextual Integration in University Classrooms

Markos Tezera Taye (PhD)

Associate Professor, College of Education, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

Abstract: *This systematic literature review explores the adoption of student-centered learning in Ethiopian university classrooms, examining how global discourses from influential international organizations such as the United Nations (UN), the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and the World Bank promote a shift toward active, participatory pedagogies. Drawing on a rigorous analysis of peer reviewed articles, books, and policy documents sourced from electronic databases using targeted keywords, digital object identifiers (DOIs), and search engines, the study highlights the emphasis given for student centered learning for cultivating 21st century skills like critical thinking, collaboration, innovation, and entrepreneurship to meet the demands of the knowledge economy. However, the review identifies substantial implementation challenges in Ethiopia, including resource constraints, large class sizes, faculty resistance, and cultural norms favoring teacher centered methods. It underscores the essential need for contextual integration, advocating for adaptations that align global directives with local sociocultural, economic, and institutional realities to foster effective, equitable, and sustainable student centered learning in Ethiopian higher education.*

Keywords: *Student-centered learning, globalization in education, Ethiopia, higher education, contextual integration, university classrooms*

1. Introduction

In the current knowledge economy, the skills obtained through higher education are believed to contribute significantly to productivity and income, fostering economic development at both national and international levels (Craig & Gunn, 2010). Higher education institutions play a crucial role in preparing graduates with the necessary competencies to meet the demands of a rapidly evolving global labor market. However, Craig and Gunn (2010) argue that universities, particularly

in the era of globalization, must go beyond individual skill development and focus on broader economic contributions. This includes promoting policies and practices that support national and international economic growth, ensuring that educational institutions align with global expectations and economic imperatives.

The impact of globalization on higher education extends beyond economic considerations. Marginson (2010) contends that universities often engage in cooperative relationships rather than purely commercial or trade-based interactions. This cooperative model fosters the idea that education should be regarded as a global public good, serving as a tool to address shared global challenges, such as social inequality, environmental sustainability, and technological advancement. In this context, universities are expected to balance national interests with global priorities, ensuring that their instructional approaches contribute to both local and international development.

One significant outcome of globalization is the increasing demand for entrepreneurship education and the integration of entrepreneurial skills into higher education curricula. Othman, Othman, and Ismail (2012) found that globalization has heightened the necessity for university graduates to develop entrepreneurial capabilities to enhance employability and economic self-sufficiency. This shift aligns with the broader global discourse that emphasizes the role of universities in fostering innovation, creativity, and economic resilience. As higher education institutions adapt to these expectations, they must also consider the relevance of their instructional approaches in local contexts to ensure the effectiveness of globally influenced educational reforms.

At a broader level, international organizations such as the United Nations (UN), the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and the World Bank (WB) have played a pivotal role in shaping global education policies. These organizations promote frameworks that advocate for human capital development, economic productivity, and consumer-driven education systems (Spring, 2010). However, Spring (2010) also argues that an exclusive focus on human capital development may overlook other essential dimensions of education, such as social well-being, equity, and quality of life. As an alternative, he suggests evaluating educational policies based on their contributions to improving social conditions, including human happiness and longevity. This perspective underscores the importance of developing a more holistic approach to higher education that goes beyond economic utility to encompass broader societal benefits.

Similarly, Dale (2010) highlights the need to rethink traditional conceptions of curriculum design, advocating for a model that integrates both economic and social development objectives. This paradigm shift calls for higher education institutions to adopt curricula that not only equip students with technical and professional skills but also instill social responsibility and ethical leadership. In

doing so, universities can ensure that their graduates are well-prepared to contribute meaningfully to both national and global development efforts.

To successfully implement global education initiatives, the involvement and support of stakeholders at various levels are critical. Chan (2021) emphasizes that the success of these initiatives depends on the nature of the proposed changes, as well as the engagement of relevant stakeholders, including policymakers, educators, industry leaders, and community members. Wagner, Hassanein, and Head (2008) further highlight that stakeholder roles vary depending on the specific nature of the educational reform, necessitating a well-coordinated approach to implementation. This is particularly important in ensuring that higher education institutions integrate innovative teaching and learning practices that align with global standards while remaining relevant to local educational needs and cultural contexts.

Despite these global advancements, African higher education institutions continue to face significant challenges in positioning themselves competitively within the knowledge economy. Evoh, Mugimu, and Chavula (2014) argue that many African universities struggle to provide the necessary infrastructure, funding, and policy frameworks to support the continent's participation in the global higher education landscape. The lack of adequate investment in higher education has impeded the ability of African institutions to produce graduates with the skills required to meet global labor market demands. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that takes into account both global educational trends and local contextual factors.

Given these considerations, it is imperative to examine the extent to which global educational discourse and expectations influence classroom instruction in Ethiopian higher education institutions. Additionally, understanding how local contexts mediate the implementation of globally driven instructional reforms is essential for ensuring their effectiveness. Therefore, this paper seeks to address the following research questions:

- To what extent do global discourses and expectations promote the adoption of student-centered learning in Ethiopian university classrooms?
- What is the importance of contextual integration in adopting global directives for student-centered learning in Ethiopian higher education?

To answer these questions, a comprehensive review of previous studies and policy documents has been conducted. The literature review draws from freely accessible sources on the web, ensuring a broad and representative analysis of existing research. The following sections elaborate on the methods used to identify relevant literature, as well as the specific sources consulted for this study.

2. Methodology

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to examine the impact of globalization on classroom instruction in Ethiopian higher education. The SLR method was chosen to synthesize existing knowledge, identify trends, and critically analyze the extent to which global education policies and discourses influence local teaching and learning practices. The review follows a structured and transparent process, ensuring the reliability and replicability of findings.

To identify relevant literature, multiple electronic databases were consulted, including Elsevier Science Direct, ProQuest-Education Journals, JSTOR, and SAGE Journals. Additionally, freely accessible web sources and policy documents were considered to ensure a comprehensive review of the topic. The literature search was conducted using a combination of keywords and Boolean operators to refine the results. The key search terms included globalization and education, globalization in higher education, globalization and classroom instruction, globalization and localization in education, globalization and higher education policies, teaching methodologies in the era of globalization, and student-centered learning and globalization. Mendeley reference manager was used to organize sources and facilitate access to relevant literature. The researcher used digital object identifiers (DOIs) and search engines to retrieve and download peer-reviewed journal articles and other scholarly works.

To ensure the relevance and quality of the literature included in the study, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. The inclusion criteria encompassed peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and policy documents published in English, studies focusing on globalization's influence on higher education, particularly classroom instruction, research published within the last two decades (2000–2024) to capture contemporary trends, and empirical and theoretical studies discussing the intersection of global and local educational practices. Studies unrelated to globalization's impact on higher education, non-academic sources such as opinion pieces, news articles, or blog posts, and studies that do not provide clear methodological approaches or empirical evidence were excluded.

The selected studies were reviewed and analyzed using thematic analysis. Key themes were identified based on the research questions, including the role of international organizations in shaping higher education policies, the influence of globalization on pedagogical practices, and challenges related to the localization of globally imposed educational reforms. The extracted data included study title, author(s), and publication year, research objectives and methodologies, findings related to globalization's influence on Ethiopian higher education, and recommendations for integrating global educational trends with local contexts. Thematic coding was conducted to group similar findings, allowing for a structured

synthesis of knowledge. The analysis also accounted for methodological variations across studies to assess the robustness of the conclusions.

To enhance the reliability and validity of this systematic review, several strategies were employed. Triangulation was applied by sourcing data from multiple databases and cross-checking with policy documents to ensure consistency. Only high-quality, peer-reviewed sources were included to maintain academic rigor.

Since this study is a secondary analysis of existing literature, no direct ethical concerns regarding human subjects arise. However, due diligence was taken to ensure proper citation and acknowledgment of all sources. The review adhered to academic integrity guidelines and avoided any form of plagiarism.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Global Discourses Promoting Student-Centered Learning in Ethiopian Higher Education

The influence of global organizations such as the World Bank, UNESCO, and the OECD on the promotion of active learning methods in Ethiopian universities is evident in their educational strategies, frameworks, and advocacy for quality education and skills development. These organizations have significantly shaped global educational discourse, which has in turn impacted Ethiopia's education policy, particularly in higher education.

The World Bank 2003) advocates for the adoption of active learning approaches within Ethiopian higher education institutions. A key strategy in this effort is the establishment of a National Pedagogical Resource Center (NPRC) along with local centers at individual institutions. These centers are designed to promote instructional innovation, support less experienced lecturers, and enhance teaching quality through the use of interactive and student-centered pedagogies. The NPRC is also expected to develop a strategic plan aimed at systematically improving the teaching skills of academic staff across both public and private institutions, ensuring that these efforts are institutionally supported and financially sustained.

UNESCO, through its Education 2030 Framework for Action, promotes learner-centered education as a critical approach to achieving inclusive and equitable quality education (UNESCO, 2015). This framework emphasizes student engagement, critical thinking, and problem-solving—values that resonate strongly with active learning methods. UNESCO's global perspective encourages educational systems to shift from traditional, teacher-centered approaches to more participatory, student-driven pedagogies. This shift is evident in Ethiopia's own educational policies, which increasingly focus on modernizing teaching practices to enhance student engagement and improve learning outcomes.

OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) working papers have played a pivotal role in driving teaching reforms in higher education

globally by offering evidence-based analyses, policy guidance, and platforms for international collaboration and knowledge exchange. Through its initiative Future of Education and Skills 2030, the OECD has championed the integration of 21st-century competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, communication, and collaboration—skills that are foundational to active learning approaches (OECD, 2018). These global educational priorities emphasize the importance of inquiry-based learning, problem-solving, and cooperative pedagogies. Ethiopian higher education cannot remain isolated from these global reform trends.

The Education Sector Development Programs (ESDP) of Ethiopia reflect the country's ongoing efforts to reform its education system in line with global standards set by organizations like the World Bank, UNESCO, and the OECD. For instance, the Education Sector Development Program (ESDP) IV of Ethiopia, which spans from 2010 to 2015, emphasizes a shift towards a student-centered approach (Ministry of Education (MoE), 2010). This shift is part of the broader educational reform that aims to improve the quality of education in the country by focusing on student engagement, active learning, and the development of critical thinking skills. The shift is particularly highlighted in the context of making the educational process more interactive, participatory, and tailored to the needs of students. The ESDP outlines goals to modernize pedagogy in Ethiopian universities, which align with the active learning principles advocated by international organizations. This broader educational reform vision is a direct result of global influences, aiming to make higher education in Ethiopia more engaging, effective, and relevant to the needs of learners.

The contributions of global organizations like the World Bank, UNESCO, and the OECD have been pivotal in shaping Ethiopia's educational reforms, particularly in promoting active learning. Their frameworks and strategies have influenced Ethiopia's policy on higher education, which seeks to improve quality and relevance by adopting innovative, student-centered pedagogies. The integration of these global perspectives into Ethiopia's national educational reforms is clear in the country's ongoing efforts to modernize teaching practices and enhance student engagement through active learning.

Hence, Ethiopia's shift towards student-centered learning is aligned with global educational trends that emphasize the need for active learning strategies over traditional, teacher-centered methods. Traditional pedagogical models, which focus mainly on knowledge transmission and individual achievement, often result in passive learning environments where students play a minimal role in constructing knowledge (Thanh, 2010). In contrast, the student-centered approach encourages active participation, collaboration, and problem-solving, which are essential skills for the 21st-century knowledge economy (Sawyer, 2014).

Sawyer (2014) further argues that in today's knowledge-based economy, it is insufficient for students to merely memorize facts and procedures. Instead, they must engage in higher-order thinking processes such as analysis, evaluation, and synthesis to generate new ideas and theories. This paradigm shift reflects the broader global influence on education, as there is a growing focus on producing innovative and adaptable graduates (Altbach et al., 2009). As globalization has intensified competition in the labor market, educational reforms have prioritized transferable skills like critical thinking, creativity, and digital literacy (Knight, 2007). Ethiopian higher education institutions have recognized this need and have implemented policies aimed at improving the quality of instruction. The 2003 Ethiopian Higher Education Proclamation marked a key milestone in this effort, facilitating the adoption of innovative pedagogical strategies to improve educational outcomes (World Bank, 2003).

The student-centered approach is not only a response to globalization but also a pedagogical necessity to address local challenges. Ethiopian universities serve a diverse student population, many of whom have varying levels of preparedness, necessitating the use of flexible and inclusive teaching methodologies (Manaze & Zeleke, 2019). This pedagogical shift is crucial in providing equitable access to quality education, ensuring that all students can engage actively in their learning process.

In summary, globalization has significantly influenced educational practices in Ethiopian higher education, driving the need for a shift towards student-centered learning. This approach aligns with international educational trends and aims to equip students with the necessary skills for success in the knowledge economy. However, for this transformation to be fully realized, systematic efforts must be made to address the challenges of adopting these new teaching methods, ensuring that Ethiopian higher education institutions can effectively prepare graduates for the global workforce. The next section will discuss the challenges of adopting globally introduced changes in teaching methods.

3.2 Challenges and the Need for Contextual Integration in Adopting Student-Centered Learning as a Global Reform

The impact of globalization on pedagogy and educational development is inevitable; however, the evolution of pedagogical theories increasingly aligns with national and local contexts (Chigisheva, 2015). In Malaysia, for instance, globalization continues to shape higher education due to the government's vision of transforming the country into a regional education hub (Arokiasamy & Nagappan, 2012). Nonetheless, globalization in higher education does not equate to Americanization or Europeanization. Instead, Asian higher education systems strive to integrate national and cultural values while adapting beneficial aspects of

Western educational models. Blindly adopting Western approaches without contextual adaptation may hinder universities' contributions to global development efforts (Cheung, 2012).

While globalization fosters innovation in higher education, its successful implementation requires a shift in mindset and a departure from familiar practices toward uncharted territories (Setser & Morris, 2015). Despite the growing necessity for universities to adopt innovative teaching methodologies in response to social, economic, and technological changes, not all stakeholders view such innovations as indispensable (Zhu & Engels, 2014). This underscores the need for university leaders and faculty members to actively promote innovation and embrace emerging technologies and novel business models, even when additional resources are required for implementation. McMahan and Loyola (2011) warn that restrictive regulations, inadequate funding, and institutional complacency can stifle innovation, placing institutions at a disadvantage compared to more agile international competitors. Therefore, national and institutional policies that encourage innovation, coupled with sufficient financial support, are critical to ensuring the successful adaptation of global educational reforms.

Different studies in higher education illustrate the challenges associated with adopting globally driven educational changes without sufficient contextual consideration. The transition to a student-centered approach has been met with significant hurdles, including limited faculty training, large class sizes, and inadequate learning resources (Tadesse & Gillies, 2015). Furthermore, teachers' entrenched beliefs and resistance to change significantly hinder the adoption of student-centered learning approaches. For instance, Pedersen and Liu (2003) found that educators' perceptions of effective teaching practices influenced their implementation of technology-enhanced, student-centered learning environments. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing professional development for instructors, investment in educational technology, and institutional support for innovative teaching methodologies.

Research further highlights the discrepancies between policy expectations and actual classroom practices in Ethiopia. Alemu (2010) examined the implementation of active learning approaches in mathematics education and found that, despite being emphasized in educational policy documents, traditional lecture methods continue to dominate university classrooms. Among the various active learning techniques, only a handful are consistently utilized, largely due to a lack of instructional materials, insufficient administrative support, rigid timetables, and an overwhelming curriculum. Similarly, Teshome (2012) investigated faculty perceptions and implementation of active learning in Ethiopian public universities and found that, although instructors had a positive outlook on active learning, they

struggled to apply it effectively. Major barriers included shortages of teaching materials, time constraints, and large class sizes.

Further supporting these findings, Woldeamanuel, Atagana, and Engida (2013) examined lecturers' attitudes toward active learning in Ethiopian higher education institutions. Their study, involving 160 lecturers from three public universities, revealed significant differences in faculty attitudes toward active learning across six measured domains. These variations suggest that both institutional and personal factors play a crucial role in determining whether globally initiated changes are embraced or resisted.

Institutional culture emerges as another key determinant of the success or failure of educational reforms. A study conducted in medical schools in the Netherlands and Jamaica found that cultural and social structures significantly influence the adaptation of problem-based learning (Stevens & Goulbourne, 2012). Understanding an institution's organizational culture is therefore essential for university leaders seeking to implement change effectively (Dale, 2012). Similarly, Zhu and Engels (2014) confirmed in the Chinese higher education context that organizational culture significantly impacts instructional innovation.

In Ethiopia, Gebremeskel (2015) explored how organizational culture affects management innovation in higher education institutions. His findings indicate that fostering a supportive institutional culture is critical to successfully implementing management-driven educational reforms. Likewise, Selvaraja and Pihie (2015) argue that school culture plays a pivotal role in creating a conducive work environment that enhances institutional innovation. They assert that the specific cultural dynamics of a school directly influence its ability to adopt and sustain innovative practices.

Ethiopia is characterized by a high power distance culture, where authority is respected and rarely challenged (Hofstede, 1980), which implies that students are less likely to engage in critical dialogue or question instructors—an attitude that conflicts with student-centered learning (SCL) principles (Tesfaye & Berhanu, 2015).

4. Limitations of the Study

Despite its comprehensive approach, this study has certain limitations that should be acknowledged. One of the primary constraints is its reliance on secondary sources rather than primary data collection. The findings are shaped by the perspectives, methodologies, and potential biases of previous researchers, which may limit the generalizability of the conclusions. Additionally, the study focuses specifically on Ethiopian higher education institutions, which have unique sociocultural, economic, and institutional characteristics. While the insights gained

are valuable, they may not be directly applicable to other educational contexts with different globalization dynamics.

Another limitation is the potential for publication bias, as the selection of literature was restricted to peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and policy documents available through electronic databases. Unpublished studies, grey literature, and local reports that could provide additional perspectives were not included, potentially leading to an incomplete representation of the topic. Moreover, the study primarily considers research published within the last two decades (2000–2024) to ensure relevance to contemporary globalization influences. While this approach captures recent developments, it may exclude historical insights that could provide a more nuanced understanding of the evolution of globalization's impact on Ethiopian higher education.

The study also lacks empirical validation, as it does not incorporate primary data from classroom observations or direct input from stakeholders such as faculty members, students, and policymakers. The conclusions are drawn from existing literature, which, while valuable, does not provide firsthand evidence of the actual implementation and challenges of globalization-driven educational reforms. Furthermore, the variability in methodological approaches across the reviewed studies presents another challenge. The inclusion of diverse methodologies, ranging from qualitative case studies to large-scale quantitative analyses, may introduce inconsistencies in the findings, making direct comparisons difficult.

Finally, the dynamic nature of globalization and its continuous evolution means that the conclusions drawn from this review may need periodic reassessment. Global educational policies, technological advancements, and socio-political shifts continue to shape higher education, necessitating ongoing research to capture these changes. Future studies could address these limitations by incorporating empirical research methods, including surveys and interviews with relevant stakeholders. Additionally, comparative studies involving other countries or regions could provide a broader perspective on how globalization interacts with different educational systems, further enriching the understanding of its implications for higher education.

5. Conclusions

Globalization plays an important role in shaping teaching approaches in higher education institutions. However, adopting global educational trends without due consideration of local contexts can create serious barriers to implementation. Universities need to adapt global models to their own cultural, institutional, and resource realities. By promoting innovation friendly policies, investing in faculty development, and building supportive institutional cultures, higher education institutions can integrate global discourses, especially those that emphasize student centered learning, while preserving their national and cultural identities. A systematic assessment of local educational conditions is therefore essential to

identify opportunities and challenges, ensuring that classroom adaptations remain relevant, effective, and sustainable in advancing learner engagement and success.

Conflict of Interest
The author declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. *Alemu, B. M. (2010). Active learning approaches in mathematics education at universities in Oromia, Ethiopia. (Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation). University of South Africa, South Africa.*
2. *Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2009). Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution. UNESCO.*
3. *Arokiasamy, A. R. A., & Nagappan, K. (2012). An analysis of globalization and higher education in Malaysia. Business Intelligence Journal, 5(1), 141–150.*
4. *Chan, G. (2021). Stakeholder Management Strategies: The Special Case of Universities. International Education Studies, 14(7), 12-26.*
5. *Cheung, A. B. L. (2012). Higher Education in Asia: Challenges from and contributions to globalization. International Journal of Chinese Education, 1(2), 177–195.*
6. *Chigisheva, O. (2015). Transformation of pedagogical theory and methodology in the focus of globalization. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 180, 262–267.*
7. *Craig, J., & Gunn, A. (2010). Higher skills and the knowledge economy: the challenge of offshoring. Higher Education Management and Policy, 22(3), 1-17*
8. *Dale, R. (2010). Globalization and curriculum. In the international encyclopedia of education (pp. 312–317). Elsevier.*
9. *Evoh, C.J., Mugimu, C.B., & Chavula, H.K. (2014). Knowledge production in the knowledge economy: Higher education institutions and the application of Innovations in ICT for capacity development in Africa. The Development of Higher Education in Africa: Prospects and Challenges (International Perspectives on Education and Society, Volume 21) Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.285 - 323*
10. *Gebremeskel, H. H. (2015). Academic leaders' views of the role of organizational culture in implementing management innovation: The case of Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia (Master's thesis, University of Tampere). Retrieved from: tampub.uta.fi., Library.educause.edu.*

11. Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

12. Knight, J. (2007). Cross-border higher education: Issues and implications for quality assurance and accreditation. Report: Higher Education in the World 2007: Accreditation for Quality Assurance: What is at Stake?

13. Manaze, M., & Zeleke, B. (2019). Managing Students' Diversity in Ethiopian Public Universities: Practices and Challenges. The Ethiopian Journal of Higher Education, 6(1), 1-57.

14. Marginson, S. (2010). Higher education in the global knowledge economy. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2(5), 6962–6980.

15. McMahan, T.V., & Loyola, M. (2011, May). College 2.0: Transforming higher education through greater innovation and smarter regulation. Washington, DC: U.S. Chamber of Commerce Institute for a Competitive Workplace

16. Ministry of Education. (2010). Education sector development program IV (ESDP IV): Program action plan (2010/11–2014/15). Ministry of Education.

17. Ministry of Education. (2015). Education Sector Development Program (ESDP) V (20015/16-2019/20). The program action plan, Ministry of Education, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

18. OECD. (2018). Fostering students' creativity and critical thinking: What it means in school. OECD Publishing. Sawyer, R. K. (2014). Explaining creativity: The science of human innovation. Oxford University Press.

19. Othman, N., Othman, N. H., & Ismail, R. (2012). Impact of globalization on trends in entrepreneurship education in higher education institutions. International Journal of Trade, Economics, and Finance, 3(4), 267-270.

20. Pedersen, S., & Liu, M. (2003). Teachers' beliefs about issues in the implementation of a student-centered learning environment. Educational Technology Research and Development, 51(2), 57–76.

21. Sawyer, R. K. (Ed.). (2014). The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (2nd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.

22. Selvaraja, K., & Pihie, Z. L. (2015). The relationship between school culture and school innovativeness among national type Tamil Primary Schools, SJK(T)S in Kuala Langat District, Selangor. International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education, 2(1), 126-137.

23. Setser, B. and H. Morris (2015). Building a culture of innovation in higher education: Design and practice for leaders. Retrieved from

24. Spring, J. (2010). Globalization of education. International Journal of Chinese Education, 1, 139-176

25. Stevens, F. C. J., & Goulbourne, J. D.S. (2012). Globalization and the modernization of medical education. Medical Teacher, 34(10), 684-689.

26. Tadesse, T., & Gillies, R. (2015). Nurturing cooperative learning pedagogies in higher education classrooms: Evidence of instructional reform and potential challenges. Current Issues in Education, 18(2).

27. Tesfaye, G., & Berhanu, K. (2015). The practice and challenges of active learning in Ethiopian universities. Journal of Education and Practice, 6(34), 31–40.

28. Teshome, A. (2012). Teachers' perceptions and practices of active learning in Haramaya University, Eastern Ethiopia: The case of faculty of education. Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal, 1(4), 74-83

29. Thanh, P. T. H. (2010). Implementing a student-centered learning approach at Vietnamese higher education institutions: Barriers under layers of Casual Layered Analysis (CLA). Journal of Futures Studies, 15(1), 21-38.

30. Thanh, T. D. (2010). Teacher-centered versus student-centered approach: An investigation into EFL teachers' and students' preferences. English Language Teaching, 3(3), 80-90.

31. UNESCO (2015). SDG4-Education 2030, Incheon Declaration (ID) and Framework for Action. For the Implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 4, Ensure Inclusive and Equitable Quality Education and Promote Lifelong Learning Opportunities for All, ED-2016/WS/28. unesdoc.unesco.org.

32. Wagner, N., Hassanein, K., & Head, M. (2008). Who is responsible for e-learning success in higher education? A stakeholders' analysis. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 11(3), 26-36.

33. Woldeamanuel, M.M., Atagana, H., & Engida, T. (2013). Ethiopian university science and technology instructors' attitudes toward active learning. International Journal of Science and Technology Educational Research, 4(3), 47-56

34. World Bank. (2003). Ethiopian Higher Education Proclamation. World Bank.

35. World Bank. (2003). Higher education development for Ethiopia: Pursuing the vision. Retrieved from: siteresources.worldbank.org.

36. World Bank. (2003). Higher education development for Ethiopia: Pursuing the vision (Report No. 27942-ET). Washington, DC: World Bank. documents.worldbank.org.

37. World Bank. (2020). World Bank strategy for education 2020: A World Bank Group education strategy. World Bank Group. openknowledge.worldbank.org.

38. Zhu, C., & Engels, N. (2014). Organizational culture and instructional innovations in higher education: Perceptions and reactions of teachers and students. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 42(1), 136–158.