

# Innovations

## Assessment of Virtual Lab Integration Capacity Improvement Need of University Teachers in Electrical/Electronic Technology Practical Class to Surpass Lockdown Barriers

**Yekinni Sunkanmi Afeez (PhD)**

E-mail: [sunkanmi.yekinni.pg81780@unn.edu.ng](mailto:sunkanmi.yekinni.pg81780@unn.edu.ng)

University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Ogbuanya Theresa Chinyere (Professor)

E-mail: [chinyere.ogbuanya@unn.edu.ng](mailto:chinyere.ogbuanya@unn.edu.ng)

Faculty of Education, School of Education Studies, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa.

---

---

### Abstract

*The global Outbreaks such as infections, natural disasters and societal unrest/conflict are affecting all aspects of human activities including education. Outbreaks, which always prompted closure of educational institutions globally and specifically caused all educational activities in electrical/electronics technology related courses done at home through online mode. Thus, theory classes were conveniently conducted online, but educational institutions found it difficult and challenging to complete part of syllabus related to electrical/electronics technology laboratory experiments because university teachers have lesser ability in developing and implementing virtual lab technology. The study assessed virtual lab integration capacity improvement need of University teachers during electrical/electronics technology practical class to surpass lockdown barriers. The study answered three research questions. The study adopted descriptive research design. The study surveyed 129 research participants which included 82 electrical/electronics technology teachers (instructors and lecturers) and 47 computer education lecturers in the three universities in South-East geo-political zone in Nigeria. The study adopted questionnaire, which has three scales developed by researchers, as instrument for data collection. The reliability coefficient for the three scales of the instrument were 0.76, 0.88 and 0.80. The data collected from the respondents were analyzed using mean, standard deviation, weighted mean and Improvement Required Index (IRI) and Cohen' d formula. Finding of the study claimed that all the 23 virtual lab capacity improvement needs item inventory are required by EET teachers in the universities. Finding claimed that all 22-item inventory are the perceived problems obstructing the integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. Finally, finding claimed that all 13-item inventory are the perceived way forwards for the problems obstructing the integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities.*

---

---

### Introduction

The outbreaks such as infections, natural disasters (flooding, cyclones, earthquakes, hurricanes) and societal conflict/ insurgencies cause local and global disruptions and breakdown (Dhawan, 2020; Di Pietro, 2017).

Specifically, 2009 tropical cyclone in Idai Southeastern Africa and 2018 earthquake in Papua New Guinea rendered 1,00,000 people homeless, caused buildings and structures to collapse, and there was severe loss of life and properties (Guarner, 2020; Save the Children, 2014; Save the Children. 2017). In 2015, AlShabaab took credit of killing 148 people on college campus in Garissa, Kenya (International Crisis Group, 15 April 2020). Emergency of SARS between 2002 and 2004 responsible for 8,437 confirmed cases and 813 deaths in 29 countries (Stockman, Bellamy & Garner, 2006; Rabi, Zoubi, Kasasbeh, Salameh & Al-Nasser, 2020; WHO, 2020; Pal, Berhanu, Desalegn & Kandi, 2020; Pal, 2018; Weiss, & Leibowitz, 2011; Rajendran, Rajagopal, Alagumanian, et al., 2020; Rohde, 2020) while Middle East Respiratory Syndrome CoronaVirus (MERS-CoV) caused a devastating pandemic in 2012 with 37% mortality rate (Rabi, Zoubi, Kasasbeh, Salameh & Al-Nasser, 2020; Rajendran, Rajagopal, Alagumanian, et al., 2020; Rohde, 2020). The recent pandemic-SARSCoV2 (COVID-19)-data, as of 26 September 2021 recorded over 231 million confirmed cases and more than 4.7 million deaths cases globally (Anjorin, 2020; Dhawan, 2020; Lu, Zhao, Li et al., 2020; Zhou, Yang, Wang, et al., 2020; Pal, Berhanu, Desalegn, & Kandi, 2020; Guarner, 2020; Rajendran, Rajagopal, Alagumanian, et al., 2020; World Health Organization, 2021).

The outbreak of COVID-19, natural disasters, and societal unrest/insurgencies affected all aspects of human activities including educational and research activities globally (Di Pietro, 2017; Onyema, Eucheria, Obafemi, et al., 2020; World Health Organization, February 2020). Thus, outbreaks of infectious diseases, natural disasters, and societal unrest often prompt closure of primary, secondary, and tertiary institutions locally and globally (Dhawan, 2020; Abdollahi, Haworth-Brockman, Keynan, Langley & Moghadas, 2020; Onyema, Eucheria, Obafemi, et al., 2020; Rajendran, Rajagopal, Alagumanian, et al., 2020; World Health Organization, February 2020; Viner, Russell, Croker, Packer, Ward, Stansfield, et al. 2020; International Crisis Group, 15 April 2020), sharpening the epidemiological curve and increase morbidity and mortality among human beings in the societies (Abdollahi, Haworth-Brockman, Keynan, Langley & Moghadas, 2020; Viner, Russell, Croker, Packer, Ward, Stansfield, et al., 2020; Litvinova, Liu, Kulikov & Ajelli, 2019; World Health Organization, 2020; Eze, Sefotho, Onyishi, & Eseadi, 2021). Specifically, existence of Book haram Insurgency in northern Nigeria has led to shutting down of schools in Zamfara, Niger, Borno, Yobe and Adamawa states (Orjinmo, 2021; Ugochukwu-Ibe & Ibeke, 2021). A study which investigated 424 universities around the world reported that institutions were affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in terms of research, conferences, international mobility and education delivery (Dhawan, 2020; Coman, Tîru, an-Schmitz, Stanciu, & Bularca, 2020; Suresh, Priya & Gayathri, 2018) and causing them to either postpone or cancel all campus face-to-face academic activities (Aji, (2021; Gamage, Wijesuriya, Ekanayake, et al., 2020; Mustafa, 2020). Evidence upheld that over 1,576, 021, 858, which constitute about 91.3% of all the learners across the globe, were affected by the closure of educational institutions (Dhawan, 2020; Fong, Qadan, McKinney, et al., 2020; Mustafa, 2020; Principi, Bosis, & Esposito, 2021; Sadique, Adams & Edmunds, 2008; Brown, Tai, Bailey, et al., 2011). In Nigeria, closure of schools affected over 46 million students during COVID-19 (Education in Emergencies Working Group, 2020; Ugochukwu-Ibe & Ibeke, 2021) including electrical/electronic technology (EET) students.

Aims and objectives of every field of study, including electrical/electronic technology (EET) at university level, do emphasize the importance of developing theoretical knowledge and practical skills in students (Gamage, Wijesuriya, Ekanayake, et al., 2020; Yekinni, 2020). Aside from classroom training instruction, practical/laboratory training in EET is a mandatory part of curriculum requirement for academic accreditation by many professional bodies like Engineering Council of the United Kingdom, Hong Kong Institution of Engineers and National University Commission (Adeoti, 2015; Chan. & Fok, 2009; Yusuf, Oseni, & Adejoh, 2016). This will help to establish the foundation, technical competence as well as developing technical understanding of equipment and plants in EET students to enable them excel in their chosen profession after graduation (Zervas, Sergis, Sampson & Fyskilis, 2015; Yekinni, 2020). Laboratory training helps to produce skillful technologists and technicians for industries and highly competent workers who can handle and use electrical/electronic tools and equipment safely and confidently; identify possible hazards, learn to assess and control risks associated with their job, conduct research in laboratories and preparing

experiment reports (Gambari, Kawu & Falode, 2018; Josephsen & Kristensen, 2006; Garcia-Luque, Ortega, Forja & Gomez-Perra, 2004; Shin, Yoon, Park & Lee, 2002).

Laboratories in EET programme are the primary facilities needed for the training and development of students' practical skill and competency of their chosen career (Abdulwahed, & Nagy, 2009; Lucas, Spencer & Claxton, 2012; Haruna, 1996; Lampi, 2013; Umar & Ma'aji, 2010; Pangestu & Sukardi 2019; Rufai, BinMusta'amal, Bin Kamin & Bin Saud, 2013). Meanwhile, scholars reported that teaching of knowledge and theory could be conveniently conducted online, but educational institutions found it difficult and challenging to complete part of syllabus related to laboratory experiments and training due to the usual closure of schools including universities and low access to physical laboratories during outbreaks (Dhawan, 2020; Wijanarka, 2011; El Kharki, Berrada & Burgos, 2021; Alexiou, Bouras & Giannaka, 2005; Chen, 2020; Sobaih, Hasanein & Abu Elnasr, 2020; Murphy, 2020). Scholars remarked that university teachers were forced to devise strategies to carrying out practical lesson and activity with students exclusively online (Anjorin, 2020; Coman, Tiru, an-Schmitz, Stanciu, & Bularca, 2020; Chen, 2020). This is because Dhawan (2020) claimed that online learning serves as a panacea in the time of crisis. Scholars remarked that many universities, during outbreak such as Hurricane Katrina's landfall in August 2005, H1N1 Influenza virus in 2009, covid-19 among others, switched from face-to-face classes (traditional method) to modern approach of teaching (online delivery) including shift from physical lab to virtual laboratory, learning from classroom to Zoom, from personal to virtual and from seminars to webinars (Dhawan, 2020; Gamage, Wijesuriya, Ekanayake, et al., 2020; Sobaih, Hasanein & Abu Elnasr, 2020; Murphy, 2020).

Evidences affirmed that universities in most of advanced nations have adopted the potential utilization of offsite experimentations such as web-based laboratory activities as alternative to traditional laboratory (Mishra, Gupta & Shree, 2020; Nair, et al., 2012; Radhamani, Divakar, Nair, et al., 2018). Similarly, it was found that virtual labs have been used to teach practical part of EET related topics like circuit building (Gamage, Wijesuriya, Ekanayake, et al., 2020; Lampi, 2013; Gedik, Kiraz & Ozden, 2013; Ogbonna, 2020; Radhamani, Divakar, Nair et al., 2018). Because, virtual labs, remote control labs or video-based labs are good choices when students are not physically located on campus (Gamage, Wijesuriya, Ekanayake, et al., 2020; Gedik, Kiraz & Ozden, 2013). Thus, efforts to surpass limited access to physical lab in developing nations like Nigeria and in EET related field of study during lockdown due to pandemics and other natural hazards rest on the adoption of virtual laboratory in the universities in Nigeria (Nwandu, Okada, Ohanu et al., 2023; Zhai, Wang & Liu, 2012).

The virtual laboratory is an alternatives and complete replacement to hands-on physical laboratories (Dikke, Tsourlidaki, Zervas, et al., 2014; Yekinni, 2020; de Jong, Linn & Zacharia, 2013). Virtual laboratory has software to simulate the lab environment and vary interactive multimedia content for illustrating experimental concepts and control, observing, experimenting and imitating real laboratory experiences through Internet (National Science Teachers Association, 2007; Babateen, 2011; Mishra, Gupta & Shree, 2020). In virtual laboratory, students are provided with environment to interact with virtual objects and apparatus, through software interface which is connected to a hardware in one centralized place (Diwakar, Achuthan, Nedungadi & Nair, 2012). Virtual laboratory proved effective when used for learning in many fields of science, technology and engineering (Baladogh, Elgamel, & Abas, 2016; Ogbonna, 2020; Shanku, Sharko, & Prifti, 2011; Xie, Tudoreanu, Shi, 2007; Ericson, 2007; Casti, 2014) because it gives remote access to students especially during lockdown or in experiments that may be limited due to distance (Yang & Heh, 2007; Auer, Pester, Ursutiu & Samoila, 2003), allows students to work at their own pace to master the skills needed in their chosen career, gives the opportunity to correct their mistakes without loss of materials, prevents cause damages to equipment and injury to human beings (Ouyang, 2016; Zacharia, Olympiou, & Papaevripidou, 2008; Baladogh, Elgamel, & Abas, 2016), require less setup time and provide results of lengthy investigations instantaneously, enable students conduct experiments that may not be possible to carry out in real life due to its harmful effect (Wijanarka, 2011; Dikke, Tsourlidaki, Zervas, et al. 2014; Mishra, Gupta, & Shree, 2020; Katterfeld & Sester, 2012; Dikke, Tsourlidaki, Zervas, et al., 2014), and use to show various equipment and

virtual training environment that is impossible to be used in traditional lab (Auer, Pester, Ursutiu, & Samoila, 2003). Studies confirmed that virtual lab is an important educational tool needed to gain practical experience (Alexiou, Bouras, Giannaka, et al., 2004) because it increases students' technological, practical and laboratory skills by improving student understanding of learning contents through the availability of sufficient and repeatable training, promote students' motivation and engagement, and improve **student positive** attitude towards science and technology related courses (Guimaraes, Maffeis, Pereire, et al., 2003; Dikke, Tsourlidaki, Zervas, et al. 2014; National Science Teachers Association, 2007; **Ahmed & Hasegawa**, 2019; Ahmed & Hasegawa, 2014; Chiu & Li, 2015).

Evidence over the last several decades presented that virtual laboratory interventions have positive impacts on student learning outcomes such as **improving students'** knowledge and performance in examinations (Guimaraes, Maffeis, Pereire, et al., 2003; Ahmed & Hasegawa, 2019). **Virtual** experiments can also be repeated multiple times, providing students with the chances of changing the parameters of their experiment (**Lindgren, Tscholl**, Wang & Johnson, 2016), and help to immediately observe the effects in the visually presented results (Yasin, Nordin, Rahim, & Yunus, 2014). Similarly, virtual lab Promotes knowledge retention, self-learning and laboratory skill development among students, **and it** is an extension of instructional tools for teachers irrespective of geographical and socio-economic barriers in STEM related subjects (National Research Council, 2006; Nunn, 2009; Murniza, **Halimah & Azlina**, 2010; **Mahmoud & Zoltan**, 2009; Chen, Lambert, & Guidry, 2010; **Mishra**, Gupta & Shree, 2020; Babateen, 2011).

Contributions made by teachers in the student level of academic achievement are significant (Prabhakaran, Chandrashekhar, Gutjahr, Raman & Nedungadi, 2018). EET teachers facilitate teaching and learning activities and processes in the physical and online classrooms and laboratories for the purpose of guiding EET students to acquire knowledge, skills and attitude that can transform them into useful member of a society (Ogbuanya & Oziegbunam, 2012; **Ogbuanya & Usoro**, 2009; Yekinni, 2015; Goodyear, Salmon, Spector, Steeples & Tickner, 2001; McConnell, 2002). Remote and virtual labs are educational tools which can have a significant role in supporting science and technology teachers in their daily teaching practice (de Jong, Linn & Zacharia, 2013; Zervas, Sergio, Sampson & Fyskili, 2015). **Thus, skillful** teachers, through the **supports from** computer specialists and school **administrator are expected to design and develop virtual** labs and share these with other users or build on the existing resource network (Gambari, Kawu & Falode, 2018; Tanyildizi & Orhan, 2009; **Zervas**, Sergis, Sampson, & **Fyskilis**, 2015; ElKharki, Berrada & Burgos, 2021). Alternatively, number of virtual labs are available for teachers to adopt for teaching practical lessons in EET program. Specifically, Library of Labs (Lila), Virtual **computer integrated** manufacturing Laboratory (VCIMLAB), The Virtual Laboratory for Robotics (VLR), Virtual Electric Machine **Laboratory**, **Virtual** Lab for Electronic Circuits (VLEC), Virtual Engineering Sciences Learning Lab (VESLL), Go-Lab and NASA's virtual laboratory among others are the examples of existing web-based interactive educational platforms that help the students to improve their laboratory and practical skills and techniques during training (Mishra, Gupta & Shree, 2020; Lynch & Ghergulescu, 2017; Potkonjak, Gardner, Callaghan, et al., 2016).

However, ICT-supported teaching competences of teachers **determine their** level of ICT uptake during teaching and learning interactions (Zervas, Sergis, Sampson & Fyskilis, 2015; Vanderlinde, Aesaert & Van Braak, 2014). Similarly, scholars affirmed that to ensure that virtual labs are delivered properly and prove their worth, virtual **labs must** be handled and taken by experienced, skilled and **competent teachers** (Prabhakaran, Chandrashekhar, Gutjahr, Raman & Nedungadi, 2018; Nedungadi, Ramesh, Pradeep & Raman, 2018; Nedungadi & Raman, 2016). This is because teachers are the leading teaching resource in technology schools (Keeney-Kennicutt & Winkelmann, 2013), and without perfect, skillful and knowledgeable teachers in the school and without stability of teaching staff, the quality of teaching activities is unlikely to get deserved guarantee (Baladogh, **Elgamal & Abas**, 2016; Lynch & Ghergulescu, 2017; Zhang, 2009; Uwaifo & Uwaifo, 2009).

Studies revealed that the implementation of virtual reality technology in teaching and learning of technological programs like EET educational program is in its infancy, especially in Africa (Ogbonna, 2020;



Radhamani, Divakar, Nair, et al., 2018; Adeoti, 2015). Thus, there is a noticeable deficiency in the usage of digital technologies in classrooms due to inadequate supply of trained teachers (Prabhakaran, Chandrashekhar, Gutjahr, Raman & Nedungadi, 2018; Zhao, Pugh, Sheldon & Byers, 2002). Similarly, evidences shown that despite the increasing number of computers, internets and other relevant ICT tools in Nigerian schools and universities, many technology education lecturers are reluctant in adopting new instructional digital technology during teaching and learning due to their lack of adequate technical skills needed to effectively use technology in teaching (Kabir, Islam & Deena, 2020; Nwandu, Okada, Ohanu et al., 2023; Zhao, Pugh, Sheldon & Byers, 2002; Ololube, 2011; Olelewe, & Okwor, 2017). Meanwhile, National Research Council (2006) submitted that one important factor responsible for the weakness of current laboratory experiences is a lack of preparation and ongoing support for school/university science/technology teachers. The online virtual lab, through its facilities for students and teachers, requires sets of new and expanded skills (Peachey, 2017). This is because improving school/college science and technology teachers' capacity to lead laboratory experiences effectively is critical to advancing the educational goals of these experiences (National Research Council, 2006). Modern teachers should be competent in the use and application of modern methods and technologies of teaching and diagnosing (Nedungadi, & Raman, 2016).

Needs for effective and efficient utilizations of virtual lab during practical class in India leads to the establishment of Nodal center program by Republic of India government (Radhamani, Divakar, Nair, et al., 2018). This speed up the adoption and implementation of virtual labs as curriculum material by various educational institutions (Radhamani, Divakar, Nair, et al., 2018). Thus, periodical training was given to university lecturers via online and/or onsite workshops on virtual lab design and implementation (Radhamani, Divakar, Nair, et al., 2018). However, none of these steps have been reported or recorded in Nigeria. Evidences upheld that teachers in Nigerian universities have been organizing and delivering theoretical classes online but the level at which practical classes is organized is very low which may be interpreted to as lack of adequate skills in design, development and usage of virtual lab for EET practical class. Resultantly, this study assessed virtual lab integration capacity improvement needs of university teachers during EET practical class to surpass lockdown berries. The study answered the following research questions.

## Method and Materials

Descriptive survey research design was adopted for this study. A survey design is a type of design in which data is collected so as to use such data to describe a given situation (Nworgu, 2015). It provides data that was used to answer pre-indefinite information needs. Survey design was therefore suitable for this study since it was used to obtain data directly from the electrical/electronic and computer science lecturers through the use of questionnaire. Thus, this study was conducted in south-east geo-political zone universities, Nigeria. 129 research participants that comprised of 82 EET teachers (lecturers and laboratory instructors) and 47 computer science teachers in the universities were sampled for this study from three universities in the geopolitical zone. The structured questionnaire which has four sections: A-D was used as instrument for data collection. Section A requested for the demographic profile (gender, age, qualification, experience and area of specialization) of research participants. Section B of the instrument is a scale requested for the virtual lab skill possessed/required by university teachers during EET practical class from research participants. The scale has 23 items that was measured using 5-point response scale ranging from 5- very highly possessed/required to 1- not possessed/required. Section C of the questionnaire is a scale, which has 22 items, requested for the research participants' perceived problems obstructing the integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in the universities. Finally, section D of the questionnaire, which has 13 items, requested for research participants' perceived way forwards for the problems obstructing the integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. Section C and D were measured using five-point rating scales ranging between 5- strongly agree and 1-strongly disagree. Before administration of the questionnaire, the questionnaire was subjected to three (3) experts' judgement in computer education departments in two

universities for validation. The comments from experts/validators were used to update the instrument accordingly. Afterward, the reliability of the instrument was ascertained via trial testing the instrument on 25 EET lecturers in two polytechnics in south east zone, Nigeria. The Cronbach alpha reliability coefficients for the three scales (section B, C and D) of the questionnaire were: 0.76, 0.88 and 0.80 respectively. Thus, 129 copies of instruments were administered to research participants through face-to-face mode. The data collected from the respondents were analyzed. Thus, Weighted Mean and Improvement Required Index (IRI) was used to answer the research questions 1. Meanwhile, Mean, Standard Deviation and Effect Size (Cohen's d) formula was adopted to answer research question 2 and 3. Similarly, t-test and one-way ANOVA were used to test mean differences in the participants opinions. The Improvement Required Index (IRI) was used to determine the require virtual lab integration capacity need gap of university teachers on section B of the instrument using the following steps

- a.) The weighted mean ( $X_r$ ) of the required response option for each item is calculated.
- b.) The weighted mean ( $X_p$ ) of the possessed response option for each item is calculated.
- c.) The require gap ( $R_g$ ) is determined by calculating the differences between the values of  $X_r$  and  $X_p$  for each item. Thus,  $R_g = X_r - X_p$  (Ogbuanya, & Yekinni, 2018; Asogwa, 2016; Eze & Asogwa, 2013; Lawal, Onipede, Oketoobo & Famiwole, 2014; Tsojon, 2016; Eze & Adeyemi, 2012). However, where  $R_g$  is zero (0), it means improvement was not required, where  $R_g$  is positive (+) it means skill was required and where  $R_g$  is negative (-) it means skill was not required. A cut-off points of 3.00 was used as benchmark for decision making for section C and D of the instrument. Questionnaire item with a mean value of 3.00 and above is considered as agree while any item with mean value less than 3.00 is considered as disagree for section C and D of the instrument. Differences in the mean responses of research participants was ascertained using effect size (Cohen d) formula.

Cohen's  $d = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{SD_{pooled}}$  meanwhile,  $SD_{pooled} = \sqrt{\frac{SD_1^2 + SD_2^2}{2}}$ .  $M =$  Mean,  $SD =$  Standard deviation. Cohen estimated that the effect size values of .20 are small (low), .50 are medium (moderate) and .80 are large (high) (Cohen, 1988; Crank, 2008; Pallant, 2007).

With reference to Table 1 above, 129 university teachers formed research participants for this study. 91 of the participants were male participants and 38 were female participants, 82 participants were EET university teachers (lecturers and laboratory instructors) and 47 participants were computer science teachers. 23 of the participants were bachelor degree holders, 62 participants were master degree holders while 44 participants were PhD holders. Also, participants with 18-30 years of age were 22 in number, participants with 31-40 years of age were 52, participants with 41-50 years of age were 25, participants with 51-60 years of age were 19, and participants with 61 and above years of age were 11. Finally, participants with 1-10 years of experience were 82, participants with 11-22 years of experience were 27, participants with 21-30 years of experience were 16 and participants with 31-40 years of experience were 4.

With respect to demographic profile of the research respondents, factor such as gender ( $t = -.458$ ,  $p = .648$ ), age ( $F = 1.915$ ,  $P = .112$ ), qualifications ( $F = .085$ ,  $p = .918$ ), and experience ( $F = 2.143$ ,  $p = .098$ ) had no significant influence on the opinion of respondents on the perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. Meanwhile, area of specialization ( $t = -2.235$ ,  $p = .027$ ) had a significant effect on the opinion of respondents on the perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. Correspondingly, gender ( $t = -2.220$ ,  $p = .029$ ), age ( $F = 17.840$ ,  $P = .000$ ), experience ( $F = 3.668$ ,  $p = .014$ ) and area of specialization ( $t = -9.493$ ,  $p = .000$ ), had significant effect on the opinion of respondents on the perceived way forwards for the problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. However, qualifications ( $F = .325$ ,  $p = .723$ ), had no significant effect on the opinion of respondents on the perceived way

Table 2. Need Gap Analysis of the mean scores of skills required and possessed by EET university teachers on effective the integration of virtual lab during practical class.

S/N	virtual lab capacity improvement needs of University teachers during electrical/electronic technology practical class	<i>X<sub>p</sub></i>	<i>X<sub>r</sub></i>	<i>R<sub>g</sub></i>	Rmk
1	Ability to identify and apply suitable tools and mobile applications such as management software, smart boards, audio and visual media for virtual lab teaching and learning	2.10	4.12	2.20	TN
2	Ability to provide good administration of the virtual lab tools and equipment.	1.98	3.68	1.70	TN
3	Ability to include and use interactive contents and other tools needed in designing virtual lab.	2.44	3.72	1.28	TN
4	Ability to carry out debugging on existing or new virtual lab	1.96	3.96	2.00	TN
5	Ability to modify created Inquiry Learning Space (ILS) by adding additional sub-spaces, tools (out of Repository) and resources and delete the needless tools and content in the virtual lab platform Portal.	1.59	4.11	2.52	TN
6	Ability to install virtual lab on a LAMP (Linux-Apache-MySQL-PHP) server	1.87	3.79	1.92	TN
7	Ability to test virtual lab in the real environment	1.72	4.15	2.43	TN
8	Ability to use group of ready-made virtual lab templates while design	1.93	4.19	2.26	TN
9	Ability to produce a simulation for virtual experimentation using Adobe-Photoshop, Adobe Animate CC etc.	1.65	3.28	1.63	TN
10	Ability to conduct Feedback assessments for analyzing the impact of virtual lab-based education system among the students.	1.74	4.06	2.32	TN
11	Ability to organize content of instruction and modules in a sequential order.	1.76	4.15	2.39	TN
12	Ability to conduct online evaluations on students learning through virtual lab platform.	1.89	4.21	2.32	TN
13	Ability to establish a domain name for virtual lab.	2.10	3.57	1.47	TN
14	Ability to use UML, CakePHP framework, PHP, CSS, JavaScript, and MySQL database applications for virtual lab development.	1.98	3.45	1.47	TN
15	Ability to use internet to search, find, retrieve and select appropriate online virtual labs and additional tools suitable for subject domain, educational objectives, students' age, grade level, and teaching approach	2.24	3.55	1.31	TN
16	Ability to use communication tools (like e-mail, communities and chats) to contact and request virtual lab from other experts or virtual lab designers.	1.96	3.85	1.89	TN
17	Ability to use virtual lab Repository to find appropriate and adapted virtual lab and other learning application and contents.	1.59	4.26	2.67	TN
18	Ability to assemble online labs, applications, and resources in an Inquiry Learning Space (ILS) and structure this space according to the phases of inquiry learning process in any virtual Lab portal	1.87	4.36	2.49	TN
19	Ability to share created ILS with students through URL.	1.72	4.13	2.41	TN
20	Ability to provide worksheets and online teacher guidance for students during virtual lab class.	1.93	4.21	2.28	TN
21	Ability to help students install appropriate software for virtual experimentation on their computer.	1.68	3.81	2.13	TN
22	Ability to develop and executing an algorithm needed for writing virtual lab program	1.74	4.11	2.37	TN
23	Ability to design model representative of real virtual lab that contain all variables concepts and their relations that can be used for predicting system behaviour	2.56	4.34	1.78	TN

Please, make sure that TN are in the same line with the computed values

Data in Table 2 indicated that the need gap values of the 23 virtual lab capacity integration need gap items inventory ranged between +1.28 to +2.67. This implied that EET university teachers need training in all the twenty-three virtual lab ability area to improve their capacity in the design and implementation of virtual lab

in EET practical class. Study by Kabir, Islam and Deena (2020), supports this wherein revealed that most instructors in the higher education are not trained and hence do not have the required skills to use available technologies in teaching. Results of study conducted by Alneyadi (2019) agreed with the present study where in reported that more than half of the science teachers reported that they did not use VLs at all (51%), and that most teachers agreed that they have not been trained to integrate VL software into lessons, or even that they were not provided with them. Similarly, evidences affirmed that adopting e-learning during lockdown demands upskilling the users within a short time (Ali, 2020; Anu, 2020).

Research question 2: What are the perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities?

Table 3: Descriptive analysis of perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities

S/ N	Perceived problems obstructing the integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities	Total (N=129)		EET Teachers (n=82)		Computer Scientists (n=47)		Effect size
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
1	Devices / technologies needed for virtual lab teaching and learning exercise are expensive and difficult to afford.	3.85	1.08	3.73	1.23	4.06	.70	.33
2	Difficulties in dividing students to sub-groups for group task and activities during web-based class.	4.02	.97	3.95	1.14	4.15	.55	.22
3	Poor or unstable internet connections needed for virtual lab class.	3.88	1.07	3.70	1.15	4.21	.81	.51
4	Limited knowledge and technical skills to develop and conduct virtual lab class.	3.56	1.15	3.55	1.20	3.57	1.08	.56
5	Insufficient technical supports from computer specialists to assist teachers to develop and conduct virtual lab	3.46	1.29	3.46	1.36	3.45	1.16	.01
6	The need for computers with special standards/specification such as big storage capacity and highly rated processor.	3.60	1.26	3.62	1.12	3.55	1.49	.05
7	Staff resistance and negative attitudes toward transition to web based practical class	3.70	1.21	3.61	1.21	3.85	1.20	.20
8	Need to organize training for students on manipulation of virtual lab objects, materials, and instruments before real virtual class	3.67	1.16	3.90	1.08	3.28	1.19	.55
9	Epileptic power supply affects application of virtual lab devices and equipment possessed by teacher and student.	3.97	1.00	3.74	1.12	4.36	.57	.70
10	Lack of incentives/non-repayment of teachers' self-funding internet services used during web based practical class	3.85	1.05	3.68	1.22	4.13	.58	.47
11	Poor/limited level of physical interaction between teacher and students during web based practical class.	3.76	1.22	3.50	1.43	4.21	.51	.66
12	Difficulties in providing online guidance and help for students during virtual lab class	3.39	1.13	3.15	1.23	3.81	.80	.64
13	Lack of appropriate method available for teachers to implement curriculum featuring virtual lab.	3.23	1.06	3.04	1.00	3.57	1.08	.51
14	Difficulties in motivating students during web based practical class	3.60	1.20	3.68	1.22	3.45	1.16	.19
15	Lack of students' progress monitoring strategies during virtual practical class	3.78	1.34	3.90	1.24	3.55	1.49	.26
16	Imbalances in digital skill possessed between students from urban and rural areas to handle virtual practical class.	3.91	1.20	3.94	1.20	3.85	1.20	1.97
17	Imbalances in access to digital devices between students from urban and rural area to handle virtual practical class.	3.77	1.16	4.05	1.04	3.28	1.19	.69



18	Lack of suitable and conducive environment at home to participate in online practical class (e.g. distractions from other family members)	3.93	1.06	3.68	1.20	4.36	.57	.72
19	Insufficient training required by teachers for the development and implementation of virtual laboratories.	3.79	1.09	3.60	1.26	4.13	.58	.54
20	Absence of real-workshop/laboratory feelings	3.95	1.12	3.79	1.33	4.21	.51	.42
21	Procrastination by university teachers to organize online classes/lessons	3.67	1.14	3.59	1.30	3.81	.80	.20
22	Most virtual labs cannot provide feedback to students	3.85	.93	3.68	.87	4.15	.96	.51
<b>Grand mean</b>		<b>3.74</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>3.66</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>3.86</b>	<b>.92</b>	<b>.19</b>

Values on SD colon on Computer Scientists should be rearranged

The results shown in Table 3 indicated that the average-weighted (grand mean) value of the perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET practical courses in universities was  $3.66 \pm 1.19$  and  $3.86 \pm 0.92$ , respectively. The mean scores exceeded the cut-off point of 3.00. This implied that all the twenty-two-item inventory are the perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. Additionally, the effect size (strength of differences) between the opinions of EET teachers and computer science teachers on the perceived problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities was (0.19) which indicated that effect size was small (low). Afgan et al. (2015) reported that there are some obstacles in using Virtual Science Lab (VLS) in schools. Study conducted by Ebohon, Obienu, Irabor et al. (2021) agreed with this study wherein claimed that problems of online teaching according to teachers was the limited interactions between teacher and student and between student and students which negatively affected student satisfaction significantly. Nwandu, Okada, Ohanu et al., (2023) found that technical support for the adoption of Technology enhanced learning is not put in place by TVET institutions. Similarly, scholars reported that teachers need to be trained on how to apply the VSL in practical course (Ayesh, 2004). Many teachers refuse to use VSL but prefer using traditional methods (Radhamani, Divakar, Nair, et al., 2018) while some schools cannot afford the computers and other technology.

The results in Table 4 showed that the average-weighted mean (grand mean) value of the perceived way forwards for the problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities was  $3.02 \pm .96$  and  $4.03 \pm 0.92$ , respectively. The mean scores exceeded the cut-off point of 3.00. This implied that all the thirteen-item inventory are the perceived way forwards for the problems obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities. Additionally, the effect size (strength of differences) between the opinions of EET teachers and computer science teachers on the perceived way forwards for the problems obstructing the integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in universities was (1.07) which indicated that effect size was large.

Findings from past study revealed that students need adequate access to new technologies to increase their flexibility in learning (Bates, 2000; Asogwa, 2016). Kiula, Waiganjo and Kihoro (2017); Nwandu, Okada, Ohanu et al. (2023) found that teachers should be trained with skills to use the available technology and provided with technical support that would encourage educators to adopt technology while teaching. Scholars also submitted that the first thing, before thinking of materials and strategies for teaching via distance learning, is to ensure that learners have the infrastructure that can enable them gain access to the instructional content, via the internet (Gamage, Wijesuriya, Ekanayake, et al., 2020).

## Conclusion

This study investigated virtual lab integration capacity improvement need of university teachers during EET practical class to surpass lockdown barriers. This study showed that university teachers need training in all the twenty-three virtual lab ability area to improve their capacity in the design and effective implementation of virtual lab in EET practical class. This study established that teachers in the universities have not been trained to **integrate virtual lab** in the teaching of practical **lesson**. **Similarly**, it was established **that some** problems such as insufficient technical supports from computer specialists to assist teachers to develop and conduct virtual lab **class, unstable** power supply and internet connections needed for virtual lab class among others are obstructing the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in **universities**. **The** study affirmed that to ensure the effective integration of virtual lab in teaching of EET courses in **universities, there** should be an improvement of internet bandwidth throughout the country, and regular training should be provided for University teachers on computer applications, programme and software development needed to design virtual lab

## References

1. Abdollahi, E., Haworth-Brockman, M., Keynan, Y., Langley, J. M. & Moghadas, S. M. (2020). *Simulating the effect of school closure during COVID-19 outbreaks in Ontario, Canada*. *BMC Medicine* (2020) 18:230
2. Abdulwahed, M., & Nagy, Z. K. (2009). *Applying Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle for Laboratory Education*. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 98(3), 283–294.
3. Adeoti, E. O. (2015). *The Role of the National Universities Commission (Nuc) In The Development of University Education in Nigeria: Reflections and Projections*. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 2(3).
4. Afgan, E., Sloggett, C., Goonasekera, N., Makunin, I., Benson, D., Crowe, M., Horst, R. (2015). *Genomics virtual laboratory: A practical bioinformatics workbench for the cloud*. *PLoS One*, 10(10), e0140829
5. Ahmed, M. E., & Hasegawa, S. (2014). *An instructional design model and criteria for designing and developing online virtual labs*. *International Journal of DigitalInformation and Wireless Communications (IJDIWC)*, 4(3), 355–371.
6. Ahmed, M. E., & Hasegawa, S. (2019). *The effects of a new virtual learning platform on improving student skills in designing and producing online virtual laboratories*. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, 11(3), 364–377.
7. Aji, M. A. (2021). *Effects of Covid-19 on Students' Academic Performance in Senior Secondary Schools Chemistry in Gashua Town, Bade Local Government Area Yobe State, Nigeria*, *Electronic Research Journal of Behavioural Sciences*, 4:102-126
8. Alexiou, A., Bouras, C. & Giannaka, E. (2005). *in Technology Enhanced Learning. Virtual Laboratories in Education (Springer, Boston, MA), pp. 19–28*
9. Alexiou, A., Bouras, C., Giannaka, E., Kapoulas, V., Nani, M. & Tsiatsos, T. (2004). *Using VR technology to Support e - Learning: The 3D Virtual Radiopharmacy Laboratory*, 6<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Multimedia Network Systems and Applications, Tokyo, Japan, March 2004, pp. 268-273
10. Ali, W. (2020). *Online and remote learning in Higher Education Institutes: A necessity in light of COVID-19 pandemic*. *Higher Education*, 10, 16-25.
11. Alneyadi, S. S. (2019). *Virtual Lab Implementation in Science Literacy: Emirati Science Teachers' Perspectives*. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 15(12).
12. Anjorin, A. A. (2020). *The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: A review and an update on cases in Africa*. *Asian Pac J Trop Med*; 13(5): 199-203.
13. Asogwa, V. C. (2016). *Need gap index for capacity building of lecturers in determining soil chemical properties for effective teaching of students in colleges of education*. *Basic Research Journal of Education Research and Review*, 4(4): 19-26.
14. Auer, M., Pester, A., Ursutiu, D., & Samoila, C. (2003). *Distributed virtual and remote labs in engineering*. *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology*, 2003.

15. Babateen, H. M. (2011). *The role of Virtual Laboratories in Science Education*. *Education, Computer Science*, 12: 100–104.
16. Baladogh, S. M., Elgamal, A. F., & Abas, H. A. (2016). *Virtual lab to develop achievement in electronic circuits for hearing-impaired students*. *Education and Information Technologies*, 22(5), 2071–2085. doi:10.1007/s10639-016-9532-7
17. Bates, A. W. (2000). *Managing technological changes: Strategies for college and university leaders*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
18. Boboev, L., Soliev, Z.M., Asrorkulov, F. (2018). *The Project Title: The Virtual Laboratory and Quality of Education*. In: Drummer, J., Hakimov, G., Joldoshov, M., Köhler, T., Udartseva, S. (eds) *Vocational Teacher Education in Central Asia. Technical and Vocational Education and Training: Issues, Concerns and Prospects*, vol 28. Springer, Cham.
19. Brown, S. T., Tai, J. H., Bailey, R. R., Cooley, P. C., Wheaton, W. D., Potter, M. A. & McGlone, S. M. (2011). *Would school closure for the 2009 H1N1 influenza epidemic have been worth the cost?: a computational simulation of Pennsylvania*. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 353.
20. Chan, C. & Fok, W. (2009). *The impact of online laboratory to student learning*. 2<sup>nd</sup> international conference of education, research and innovation. 16-18 of November, 2009, Madrid, Spain, p3303-3308
21. Charles, K., Deborah, J. & Peter, K. (2016). *Interdisciplinary Team – Teaching Experience for A computer and Nuclear Energy Course for Electrical and Computer Engineering Students*. *American Journal of Engineering Education*, 7 (1): 1 – 8.
22. Chen, Y. (2020). *What are we doing in the dermatology outpatient department amidst the raging of the 2019 novel coronavirus?* *J Am Acad Dermatol*, 82:1034.
23. Chiu, P. H. P., & Li, R. K. Y. (2015). *Enhancing student motivation using LectureTools: A cloud-based teaching and learning platform*. *Knowledge Management & ELearning*, 7(2), 250–264.
24. Cohen, J. W. (1988). *Statistical power, analysis for the behavioural sciences (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
25. Coman, C., Tîru, L. G., an-Schmitz, L. M., Stanciu, C. & Bularca, M. C. (2020). *Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education during the Coronavirus Pandemic: Students' Perspective*. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 10367;
26. de Jong, T., Linn, M. C. & Zacharia, Z. C. (2013). *Physical and Virtual Laboratories in Science and Engineering Education*. *Science* 340, 305-308
27. Devyatkin, E. M. (2018). *Virtual Interactive Laboratory Assignments and Experiments in Physics in the System of Education*. *14th International Scientific-Technical Conference APEIE – 44894, 978-1-5386-7054-5/18/\$31.00 ©2018 IEEE*. 225-258.
28. Dhawan, S. (2020). *Online Learning: A Panacea in the Time of COVID-19 Crisis*. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 0(0) 1–18.
29. Di Pietro, G. (2017). *The academic impact of natural disasters: Evidence from the L'Aquila earthquake*. *Education Economics*, 26(1), 62–77. #/ 09645292.2017.1394984
30. Dikke, D., Tsourlidaki, E., Zervas, P., Cao, Y., Faltin, N., et al. (2014). *GOLABZ: Towards a federation of online labs for inquiry-based science education at school*. *6th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies (EDULEARN 2014)*, Jul 2014, Barcelona, Spain.
31. Diwakar, S., Achuthan, K., Nedungadi, P. & Nair, B. (2012). *Biotechnology Virtual Labs: Facilitating Laboratory Access Anytime-Anywhere for Classroom Education*, in *Innovations in Biotechnology*, E. Agbo, Ed. Intechopen, 2012, pp. 379–398.
32. Ebohon, O., Obienu, A. C., Irabor, F., Amadin, F. I. & Omoregie, E. S. (2021). *Evaluating the impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on education in Nigeria: Insights from teachers and students on virtual/online learning*. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 45:76. *Education in Emergencies Working Group, EIEWG, (2020). Nigeria Education Sector COVID-19 Response Strategy in North East*.

33. ElKharki, K., Berrada, K. & Burgos, D. (2021). *Design and Implementation of a Virtual Laboratory for Physics Subjects in Moroccan Universities. Sustainability, 13, 3711.*
34. Ericson, E. R. (2007). *Development of an immersive game base virtual reality training program to teach fire safety skill to children. Retrospective. Theses and dissertations. Paper14541.*
35. Eze, S. O & Adeyemi, H. R. Y. (2012). *Work skill improvement needs of women farmers in bitter leaf production for sustainable income in Abakaliki. Nigeria International Journal of Science and nature, 3(4): 810-814.*
36. Eze, S. O. & Asogwa, V. C. (2013). *Technical Skills capacity building needs of lecturers of agricultural education in Organic farming for effective delivery to students in Universities in south-eastern Nigeria. International Researchers, 2(3): 68-76.*
37. Eze, U. N., Sefotho, M. M., Onyishi, C. N. & Eseadi, C., (2021). *Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on Education in Nigeria: Implications for Policy and Practice of e-learning. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). 5651.*
38. Falode, O. C. & Gambari, A. I. (2017). *Evaluation of virtual laboratory package on Nigerian secondary school physics concepts. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 18(2)168-178.*
39. Fong, Z. V., Qadan, M., McKinney, R., Griggs, C. L., Shah, P. C., Buyske, J. & Altieri, M. S. (2020). *Practical implications of novel coronavirus COVID-19 on hospital operations, board certification, and medical education in surgery in the USA. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 24: 1232-1236*
40. Gamage, K., Wijesuriya, D., Ekanayake, S., Rennie, A., Lambert, C. & Gunawardhana, N. (2020). *Online delivery of teaching and laboratory practices: continuity of university programmes during COVID-19 pandemic. Education Sciences, 10(10), 291;*
41. Gambari, A. I., Kawu, H. & Falode, O. C. (2018). *Impact of Virtual Laboratory on the Achievement of Secondary School Chemistry Students in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Collaborative Environments. Contemporary Educational Technology, 9(3), 246-263*
42. Garcia-Luque, E., Ortega, T., Forja, J. M., & Gomez-Perra, A. (2004). *Using a laboratory simulator in the teaching and study of chemical processes in estuarine system. Computer Education, 43(1-2), 81-90.*
43. Gedik, N., Kiraz, E. & Ozden, M. Y. (2013). *Design of a blended learning environment: Considerations and implementation issues," Australas. J. Educ. Technol., vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1-19, 2013*
44. Goodyear, P., Salmon, G., Spector, J.M., Steeples, C. & Tickner, S. (2001). *Competencies for online teaching: A special report. Educational Technology, Research and Development, 49, (1), 65-72*
45. Guarner, J. (2020). *Three emerging coronaviruses in two decades: the story of SARS, MERS, and now COVID-19. Am J Clin Pathol., 153:420-421.*
46. Guimaraes, E., Maffeis, A., Pereira, J., Russo, B., Cardoso, E., Bergerman, M. & Magalhaes, M. (2003). *REAL: A virtual laboratory for mobile robot experiments. IEEE Trans. Educ., 46: 37-42.*
47. Haruna, H. M. (1996). *Assessment of the Adequacy and Utilization of Electricity/Electronics Workshop Equipment in Secondary Schools in Kaduna state. Master thesis, university of Nigeria. Nigeria.*
48. Josephsen, L. & Kristensen, A. (2006). *Simulation of laboratory assignments to support students' learning of introductory inorganic chemistry. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 7(4), 266-279.*
49. Kabir, M.R., Islam, A. & Deena, S.A. (2020). *Explaining the adoption of technology-based design of higher education during and after COVID 19 period from a developing country perspective. Interaction Design and Architecture(s) Journal - IxD&A, 46:88 – 119.*
50. Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Winkelmann, K. (2013). *What can students learn from virtual labs? Committee Comput. Chemical Edu. Fall 2013 ACS CHED CCCE Newsletter.*
51. Kiula, M., Waiganjo, E., & Kihoro, J. (2017). *Novel E-readiness accession in higher education institutions in Kenya. International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research, 5(6): 101-112.*
52. Lampi, E. (2013). *The Effectiveness of Using Virtual Laboratories to Teach Computer Networking Skills in Zambia. Doctor of Philosophy, virginal polytechnic institute and state university, USA.*

53. Lawal, O.I, Onipede, O., Oketoobo, E.A & Famiwole, R. O. (2014). *Competency Capacity Building Needs of Agricultural Science Teachers in Utilization of School Farm for Skill Acquisition among Secondary School Students. International Journal of Education & Literacy Studies, 2(3).*
54. Lindgren, R., Tscholl, M., Wang, S., & Johnson, E. (2016). *Enhancing learning and engagement through embodied interaction within a mixed reality simulation. Computers & Education, 95, 174–187.*
55. Litvinova, M., Liu, Q-H., Kulikov, E.S. & Ajelli, M. (2019). *Reactive school closure weakens the network of social interactions and reduces the spread of influenza. PNAS. ;116:13174–81.*
56. Lu, R, Zhao, X, Li J, Niu, P, Yang, B, Wu H, et al. (2020). *Genomic characterization and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: Implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet; 395(10224): 565-574*
57. Lucas, B., Spencer, E., & Claxton, G. (2012). *How to teach vocational education: A theory of vocational pedagogy. London: City & Guilds Centre for Skills Development.*
58. Mahmoud, A. & Zoltan, K. (2009). *The impact of the virtual laboratory on the hands-on laboratory learning outcomes, a two years empirical study. 20th Australasian Association for Engineering Education Conference. University of Adelaide, 6-9 December, 2009.*
59. McConnell, D. (2002). *The Experience of Collaborative Assessment in e-Learning. Studies in Continuing Education, 24, (1).*
60. Mishra, L. Gupta, T. & Shree, A. (2020). *Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Educational Research Open, 1: 100012.*
61. Murniza, M., Halimah, B., & Azlina, A. (2010). *Virtual laboratory for learning biology – a preliminary investigation. Word Academy of Science engineering and Technology, 1, 272- 575.*
62. Murphy, M.P. (2020). *Murphy, M. P. A. (2020). COVID-19 and Emergency ELearning: Consequences of the Securitization of Higher Education for Post-Pandemic Pedagogy. Contemporary Security Policy, 41, 492-505.*
63. Mustafa, N. (2020). *Impact of the 2019–20 coronavirus pandemic on education. Research Article International Journal of Health Preferences Research, 4, 25–30.*
64. Nair, B. et al., (2012). *Role of ICT-enabled visualization-oriented virtual laboratories in Universities for enhancing biotechnology education – VALUE initiative: Case study and impacts,” FormaMente, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 209–229, 2012*
65. *National Research Council (2006). America's Lab Report: Investigations in High School Science. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.*
66. *National Science Teachers Association (2007). The integral role of laboratory investigations in science instruction.*
67. Nedungadi, P. & Raman, R. (2016). *The medical virtual patient simulator (MedVPS) platform,” Intelligent Systems Technologies and Applications, pp. 59-67, Springer, Cham, 2016.*
68. Nedungadi, P., Ramesh, M. V., Pradeep, P., & Raman, R. (2018). *Pedagogical Support for Collaborative Development of Virtual and Remote Labs: Amrita VLCAP. Cyber-Physical Laboratories in Engineering and Science Education, 219–240.*
69. Nwandu, L. O., Okada, A. O., Ohanu, I. B. Orji, C. T., Chukwuone, C. A., Ejiofor, T. E., Ibezim N. A. & Osinem, E. C. (2023). *Assessment of TVET Institution’s Readiness for Adoption of Technology Enhanced Learning in Practical Instruction Delivery. Innovations, 73:1818-1831.*
70. Nworgu, B.G. (2015). *Educational Research Basic Issues and Methodology (3rd Ed). Enugu: University Trust Publishers*
71. Ogbuanya, T. C. & Yekinni, S. A. (2018). *Solid waste picker career improvement needs: concerns for training requirement and motivation for vocational school participation. International Journal of Career Development, 1:27-40*
72. Ogbuanya, T. C., & Oziegbunam, A. (2012). *Effective management of electrical/electronic equipment in technical colleges in Anambra State. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 6(13): 575–588.*



73. Ogbuanya, T. C., & Usoro, A. D. (2009). *Quality teacher preparation for effective implementation of technical education in Nigeria. Nigeria Vocational Journal, 14(1), 41–51.*
74. Okoye, R. & Arizona, M. O. (2016). *Technical and Vocational Education in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and a Way Forward. Journal of Education and Practice, 7(3): 113-118.*
75. Olelewe, C. J., & Agomuo, E. E. (2016). *Effects of B-learning and F2F learning environments on students' achievement in QBASIC programming. Computers & Education, 103, 76–86.*
76. Olelewe, C., J. & Okwor, A. N. (2017). *Lecturers' perception of interactive whiteboard for instructional delivery in tertiary institutions in Enugu State, Nigeria. J. Comput. Educ.,4(2):171–196.*
77. Onyema, E. M., Eucheria, N. C., Obafemi, F. A., Sen, S., Atonye, F. G., Sharma, A. & Alsayed, A. O. (2020). *Impact of Coronavirus Pandemic on Education. Journal of Education and Practice, 11 (13): 108-120.*
78. Ouyang, Y. (2016). *Virtual Reality Technology Based Vocational Education Study. Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Management Science and Innovative Education.*
79. Pal, M (2018). *Severe acute respiratory syndrome: a newly recognized viral zoonosis of public health concern. Acta Scientific Microbiology, 1:1.*
80. Pal, M, Berhanu, G, Desalegn, C, & Kandi, V. (2020) *Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2): An Update. Cureus 12(3): e7423.*
81. Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS Survival Manual A Step-by-Step Guide to Data Analysis using SPSS for Windows third edition. England: McGraw Open University Press.*
82. Pangestu, F. & Sukardi (2019). *Evaluation of the implementation of workshop and laboratory management on vocational high school. Journal of Pendidikan Vokasi, 9(2):172-184.*
83. Peachey, N. (2017). *Synchronous online teaching. In: Carrier M, Damerow RM and Bailey KM (eds) Digital Language Learning and Teaching: Research, Theory, and Practice. New York: Routledge, 153–155.*
84. Potkonjak, V., Gardner, M., Callaghan, V., Matilda, P., Guetl, C., Petrovi, V. M., Jovanov, K. (2016). *Virtual laboratories for education in science, technology, and engineering: A review. Computers & Education 95 (2016) 309e327. 95 (2016) 309e327.*
85. Prabhakaran, M., Chandrashekhar, P., Gutjahr, G., Raman., R. & Nedungadi,, P. (2018). *Effectiveness of Online Labs Teacher Training Workshop. 2018 IEEE 18th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies. IEEE Computer society, 249-251.*
86. Rabi, F. A., Zoubi, M. S. A., Kasasbeh, G. A., Salameh, D. M. & Al-Nasser, A. D. (2020). *SARS-CoV-2 and Coronavirus Disease: What We Know So far. Pathogens.*
87. Radhamani, R., Divakar, A., Nair, A.A., Sivadas, A., Mohan, G., Nizar, N., Nair, B., Achuthan, K., & Diwakar, S. (2018). *Virtual Laboratories in Biotechnology are Significant Educational Informatics Tools. In 2018 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI) (pp. 1547-1551). IEEE.*
88. Rajendran, D. K. Rajagopal, V., Alagumanian, S., Kumar, T. S., Prabhakaran, S. P. S. & Kasilingam, D. (2020). *Systematic literature review on novel corona virus SARS-CoV-2: a threat to human era. VirusDis., 31(2):161–173.*
89. Rufai, A. BinMusta'amal, A. H., Bin Kamin, Y. & Bin Saud, M. S. (2013). *Provision of Workshop Tools and Equipment: Necessity for Technical Vocational Education Graduates Skills Acquisition. 2nd International Seminar on Quality and Affordable Education (ISQAE 2013): 74-78.*
90. Sadique, M. Z., Adams, E. J., & Edmunds, W. J. (2008). *Estimating the costs of school closure for mitigating an influenza pandemic. BMC Public Health, 8, 135.*
91. Shanku, N., Sharko, G. & Prifti, E. (2011). *Toward virtual—Real laboratory on electric power system engineering courses a successful experience. International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology. 4(2):85-97*
92. Shin, D., Yoon, E. S., Park, S. J., & Lee, E. S. (2002). *Web-based interactive virtual laboratory system for unit operations and process systems engineering education. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 24, 1381–1385.*
93. Sobaih, A.E.E., Hasanein, A.M. & Abu Elnasr, A. E. (2020). *Responses to COVID-19 in Higher Education: Social Media Usage for Sustaining Formal Academic Communication in Developing Countries. Sustainability, 12, 6520.*

94. Stockman, L. J., Bellamy, R. & Garner, P. (2006) SARS: Systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med*, 3(9): e343.
95. Suresh, M., Priya, V.V. & Gayathri, R. (2018). Effect of e-learning on academic performance of undergraduate students. *Drug Invent. Today*, 10, 1797–1800.
96. Tanyildizi, E., & Orhan, A. (2009). A virtual electric machine laboratory for synchronous machine application. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 17(2), 187-195.
97. Tsojon, J. D. (2016). Skills Improvement Required by Lecturers in using some Agro climatological Instruments for Effective Teaching- Learning of Agriculture in Colleges of Education in Adamawa and Taraba States, Nigeria. *International journal of advanced study in ecology, development and sustainability*, 4(2):70-89.
98. Ugochukwu-Ibe, I. M. & Ibeke, E. (2021). E-Learning and Covid-19 - the Nigerian Experience: Challenges of Teaching Technical Courses in Tertiary Institutions. *Proceedings of RTA-CSIT 2021, May 2021, Tirana, Albania*
99. Umar, I. Y. & Ma'aji, A. S. (2010). Repositioning the Facilities in Technical College Workshops for Efficiency: A Case Study of North Central Nigeria. *Journal of stem teacher education*, 47(3): 63-85.
100. Uwaifo, V. O. & Uwaifo, I. U. (2009). Training technology and vocational education teachers for the new 9-3-4 education system in Nigeria: Its problems and prospects. *International NGO Journal*, 4 (4):160-166
101. Vanderlinde, R., Aesaert, K., & Van Braak, J. (2014). Institutionalised ICT use in primary education: A multilevel analysis. *Computers & Education*, 72, 1–10.
102. Viner, R.M., Russell, S.J., Croker, H., Packer, J., Ward, J., Stansfield, C., et al. (2020) School closure and management practices during coronavirus outbreaks including COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*, 4: 397–404.
103. Wijanarka, B. S. (2011). Conventional to virtual laboratory in vocational education. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Vocational Education and Training (ICVET) 2011* (pp. 187–190). Yogyakarta: Yogyakarta State University.
104. World Health Organization (2020). Non-pharmaceutical public health measures for mitigating the risk and impact of epidemic and pandemic influenza. WHO.
105. Xie, H., Tudoreanu, M. E., Shi, W. (2007) Development of a virtual reality safety-training system for construction workers. *Digital Library of Construction Informatics and Information Technology in Civil Engineering and Construction*.
106. Yang, K. Y. & Heh, J. S. (2007). The impact of internet virtual physics laboratory instruction on the achievement in physics, science process skills and computer attitudes of 10th-grade students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 16(5):451-461
107. Yasin, R. M., Nordin, N. M., Rahim, M. B., & Yunus, F. A. N. (2014). Vocational education readiness in Malaysia on the use of e-portfolios. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 6(11), 57–71.
108. Yekinni, S. A. (2015). Prevention and management of electrical/electronic workshop accidents in technical colleges in Oyo and Ogun States, Nigeria. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nigeria, 2015.
109. Yekinni, S. A. (2020). Influence of emotional intelligence on academic motivation and attitude to study among electrical/electronic technology students in universities, in south-west Nigeria. Unpublished Phd thesis university of Nigeria, nsukka, Nigeria.
110. Yoloye, E. O. (2015). New technologies for teaching and learning: Challenges for higher learning institutions in developing countries. In C. U. Nwokeafor (Ed.), *Information communication technology (ICT) integration to educational curricula: A new direction for Africa* (pp. 250–260). Maryland: University Press of America.
111. Yusuf, T. A., Oseni, M. I. & Adejoh, G.O. (2016). Correlation of Relationship between Course of Study and Academic Performance of Undergraduate Engineering Students in Universities. *Journal of Language, Technology & Entrepreneurship in Africa*, 7 (2): 72-82.
112. Zacharia, Z. C., Olympiou, G., & Papaevripidou, M. (2008). Effects of experimenting with physical and virtual manipulatives on students' conceptual understanding in heat and temperature. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45, 1021e1035.

113. Zervas, P., Sergis, S., Sampson, D. G. & Fyskilis, S. (2015). *Towards Competence-Based Learning Design Driven Remote and Virtual Labs Recommendations for Science Teachers*. *Tech Know Learn*.
114. Zhai, G., Wang, Y. & Liu, L. (2012). *Design of electrical online laboratory and E- In Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Future Computer Supported Education, Seoul, Korea, 22-23, 2012 learning., pp. 325-330.*
115. Zhang, W. (2009). *Issues of Practical Teaching in Vocational-Technical Schools in China and Their Countermeasures*. *International Education Studies*, 2(4):75-78
116. Zhao, Y., Pugh, K., Sheldon, S. & Byers, J. (2002). *Conditions for classroom technology innovations*. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3), 2002, 482-515.
117. Zhou, P., Yang, X. L., Wang, X. G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., et al. (2020). *A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin*. *Nature*; 1: 1-4.