

## INNOVATIONS

### Serological screening for toxoplasmosis in antenatal women in a rural teaching hospital

**Anupriya A, Prabhusaran N\*, Saraswathi R**

Department of Microbiology, Trichy SRM Medical College Hospital and Research Centre Affiliated to The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai, Tiruchirapalli, India

\*Correspondence Email: [leptoprabhu@gmail.com](mailto:leptoprabhu@gmail.com)

---

#### Abstract

**Problem:** Toxoplasmosis can cause significant morbidity and mortality in the developing fetus if the pregnant mother gets acute infection during pregnancy. Early diagnosis of toxoplasmosis is essential to start appropriate treatment on time to reduce the transplacental transmission to the fetus. This study was therefore designed to determine the seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* among pregnant women attending ante-natal clinic. **Methodology:** This study was conducted in a tertiary rural teaching hospital, near Trichy, Tamil Nadu, for a period of 3 months on 100 asymptomatic antenatal women. Three (3ml) of blood sample was collected and serum was separated and stored at -40°C until analysis. The IgM and IgG antibodies were detected using the *Toxoplasma* ELISA kit. **Findings:** The antenatal women who participated in the screening study belonged to the age group of 15-40. Most of the women belong to the age group of 21-25 (46%). Nearly 78% of women did not cross graduation. (80%) of women belonged to middle or lower middle socio-economic status and (66%) of women were from urban areas. The IgM and IgG seropositivity among the study group was 4% and 9% respectively. **Conclusion:** Congenital toxoplasmosis is a preventable disease, and this study emphasizes the importance of early prenatal serological tests, and preventive measures so as to avoid fetal disease. It should be mandatory to screen every immunocompromised patient and antenatal woman for toxoplasmosis.

**Key words:** 1. Toxoplasma 2. Antenatal woman 3. Seropositivity

---

#### Introduction

Toxoplasmosis has been described as the most widespread zoonotic infection caused by an intracellular parasite, *Toxoplasma gondii* (Simposeet *al.*, 2006; Sensini,

2006). Although, the mortality rate of this parasite in adult is very low but it causes devastating effects including blindness, neurological impairment and mental retardation in congenitally infected children (Hill and Dubey, 2002; Ramshewak *et al.*, 2008). *Toxoplasma gondii* was implicated as a significant cause of fetal and neonatal mortality when acquired in-utero and an important contributor to early and later childhood morbidity.

Epidemiological studies suggest that prevalence of *T. gondii* infection in pregnant women varies substantially among different countries; in Europe it varies from 9% to 63%, 63.2% in Germany (Fiedler *et al.*, 1999), 19.8% in Italy (Masini *et al.*, 2008), and 9.1% in the UK (Nash *et al.*, 2008). In Asian countries the seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis was reported low: 3.7% in Korea and 11.2% in Vietnam (Buchy *et al.*, 2003; Borkakoty *et al.*, 2007) while prevalence is as high as 41.6% to 45% in Indian pregnant women (Borkakoty *et al.*, 2007), 66.9% in Jordan and 53.1% in Kuwait (Jumaian, 2005; Iqbal and Khalid, 2007). In the American continent, the seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis was reported to be 77.5% in Brazil (Proto *et al.*, 2008) and 63.5% in Colombia (Castro *et al.*, 2008).

Detection of recently acquired infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* is important in pregnant women for prevention of transmission of the infection to their fetuses. Unfortunately, till now, *Toxoplasma* is not a reportable disease in India and screening of pregnant women for this parasite is not part of the routine test carried out on antenatal women, thus, congenital abnormality cases are still being seen in the hospitals. Despite the untold hardship caused by this parasite on the children of infected pregnant women, information on the seroprevalence of this zoonotic disease is limited.

Seroprevalence and incidence of toxoplasmosis in Indian women of child bearing age has remained a contentious issue. Different laboratories have used different patient recruitment criteria, methods and variable results, making these data unreliable. This study was therefore designed to determine the sociodemographic profile and seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* among pregnant women attending ante-natal clinic.

### **Materials and Methods**

**Setting of the Study:** This study was conducted in a tertiary rural teaching hospital, near Trichy, Tamil Nadu, for a period of three months.

**Sample Size:** Total number of samples collected was 108.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Antenatal women of age group between 15 and 40, both primigravida and multigravida belonging to all three trimesters of pregnancy with different socio-economic status from places in and around Tiruchirapalli. All

antenatal women were asymptomatic; antenatal women with history of previous abortions.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Ante -natal women with active lesions, rash, fever, lymphadenopathy and arthralgia.

This research proposal was approved by IEC. All study participants were assured about the confidentiality and anonymity and efforts were taken to ensure the privacy of the information. Informed consent was obtained from the women participating in this study. A structured proforma was used to collect a detailed history.

**Methodology:** Three(3ml) of blood sample was collected and serum was separated and stored at -40°C until analysis. The IgM and IgG antibodies were detected using the *Toxoplasma* ELISA kit (DSI Diagnostics). The result was interpreted as seropositive if the antibody titre was more than 10 IU/ML as per the kit insert. Simple descriptive statistics was used in this study to analyze the data.

### **Results and Discussion**

The antenatal women who participated in the screening study belonged to the age group of 15-40. Most of the women belong to the age group of 21-25 (46.2%). Nearly 78% of women did not cross graduation. (80%) of women belonged to middle or lower middle socio-economic status and (66.6%) of women were from rural areas. According to Modified Prasad's classification, the population is divided into six social classes according to the per capita social income in rupees (Agarwal, 2008). Class I (10,000 and above), Class II (5000-9999), Class III (3000-4999), Class IV (1500-2999), Class V (500-1499), Class VI (<500). The detailed socio-demographic details were depicted in table 1.

Of the IgM, seropositive women (2%) were from the age group of 21 -25 years and (2%) were from the age group of 31-35 years. Out of the ninety six IgM seronegative cases, 19%, 44%, 14%, 8% and 8% were from 15-20, 21-25, 26-30, 31-35 and 36-40 years respectively (Table 2).

Out of the IgG, seropositive women (3%) were from the age group of 21 -25 years, (3%) were from the age group of 26-30 years, (2%) were from 31-35 years and (1%) belonged to the age group of 15-20 years. Out of the ninety one sero-negative cases, 18%, 43%, 14%, 8% and 8% were from 15-20, 21-25, 26-30, 31-35 and 36-40 years respectively (Table 3).

Of the IgM seropositive antenatal women, three women belong to Class V and one woman belongs to Class IV. Out of nine IgG seropositives, five, three and one women belong to Class V, Class IV and Class I respectively (Table 4).

## Discussion

Toxoplasmosis is one of the most common parasitic infections seen in humans. The spectrum of infection varies to asymptomatic infection in immunocompetent individuals to ocular and neurological manifestations in immunocompromised individuals. Approximately one-third of the population is exposed to this parasite while it is dangerous for mothers infected during pregnancy and infants. Toxoplasmosis is usually diagnosed by serological tests by detection of specific immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG antibodies. A positive IgM titer establishes recent infection whereas negative IgM result virtually rules out recently acquired infection. Acute infection with toxoplasma during pregnancy and its potentially tragic outcome for the fetus continues to occur worldwide despite the fact that it can be prevented worldwide.

In the present study 46% of women belong to the age group 21-25 years, which is comparable with other studies (Spalding *et al.*, 2005; Hung *et al.*, 2007; Malarvizhiet *al.*, 2012). But in few studies it was found that prevalence increases as the age increases, the reason might be increasing risk of exposure with age (Morris and Croxson, 2004). The frequency of infection increases with older age groups as the probability of an individual coming into contact with the transmission routes increases as his or her age increases.

The education status of a woman plays an active role in acquiring the disease. Toxoplasmosis mainly spreads through ingestion of undercooked meat and meat products, contact with pet animals like cats whose feces are infected with oocysts of toxoplasma. If the woman is educated, they would probably have an idea about the different modes of acquisition of disease and preventive measures. Seroprevalence tends to be lower in pregnant women who adopted appropriate hygienic measures regarding food and cooking habits such as washing chopping boards with soap or bleach, using separate chopping board for meats and vegetables, the frequent washing of knives and hands while cooking and avoiding cross contamination of food compared to the uneducated group belonging to lower socio economic strata (Mohammed, 2011).

In the present study the predominantly seropositives belong to socioeconomic class V and IV. This was similar to a study done in Hyderabad, which showed a higher percentage of seropositivity in women of low socio-economic group (33%) compared to those of high socio-economic group (22%) (Yasodhara *et al.*, 2004). A multivariate analysis done in the United States showed that risk of *T.gondii* infection was higher in persons with a lower educational level and those who lived in crowded places (Jeffery *et al.*, 2001).

Seroprevalence of Toxoplasmosis IgM and IgG is four and nine percent respectively. The seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in human population varies greatly among different countries, geographical areas within the same country, and among the ethnic groups living in the same area (Hill and Dubey, 2002; Gilbert *et al.*, 1993). A study done in Nigeria (Ishaku *et al.*, 2009) reported prevalence rates to be 29.1% and 0.8% for chronic and acute infections respectively. The seroprevalence of anti-*Toxoplasma* IgG was 20% (39 out of 195), whereas IgM seropositivity was 6.2% (12 out of 195) in a study done in Saudi Arabia (Hussein *et al.*, 2014). Overall prevalence of anti-*Toxoplasma* IgG antibodies was seen at 22.4% and IgM varied between 0.4 to 2.9% in a multicentric study done in India (Sarman *et al.*, 2014). The study area being predominantly rural wherein contact with soil, farming and gardening are risk factors. It was also observed that high prevalence of *Toxoplasma* infection was seen in lower socioeconomic groups. Lack of education and knowledge about hygiene, close contact with soil and surface water which is contaminated with excreta of infected animals could be the possible reasons for seropositivity.

## Conclusion

Congenital toxoplasmosis is a preventable disease, and this study emphasizes the importance of early prenatal serological tests, and preventive measures so as to avoid fetal disease. It should be mandatory to screen every immunocompromised patient and antenatal woman for toxoplasmosis, and initiation of judicious treatment on time can prevent morbidity and mortality due to toxoplasmosis (Gohelet *et al.*, 2014). To estimate the real magnitude of the problem, investigative measures should be taken to undertake multicentric study as well as compulsory screening for the antenatal risk group. Increased awareness and education at the patient and public level through government and private organizations are required to reduce the *Toxoplasma* exposure and to reduce the risk of congenital transmission with respect to the various modes of spread.

## References

1. Simpoire, J., Savadogo, A., Ilboudo, D., Nadambega, M.C., Esposito, M., Yara, J., Pignatelli, S., Pietra, V. and Musumeci, S (2006). *Toxoplasma gondii*, HCV, and HBV seroprevalence and co-infection among HIV-positive and negative pregnant women in Burkina Faso. *Journal of Medical Virology* 78: 730-733 ([www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com](http://www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com)).

2. Sensini, A (2006). *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pregnancy: opportunities and pitfalls of serological diagnosis. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 12: 504-512 ([www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
3. Hill, D. and Dubey, J.P (2002). *Toxoplasma gondii*: transmission, diagnosis and prevention. *Clinical Microbiology Infection* 8:634-640 ([www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
4. Ramsewak, S., Gooding, R., Ganta, K., Seepersadsingh, N. and Adesiyun, A.A (2008). Seroprevalence and risk factors of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among pregnant women in Trinidad and Tobago. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Publica* 23: 164-170 ([www.scielosp.org](http://www.scielosp.org)).
5. Fiedler, K., Hulsse, C., Straube, W. and Briese, V (1999). *Toxoplasmosis* antibody seroprevalence in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania. *Zentralblatt. Fur. Gyn. akologie.* 121: 239-243 ([www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
6. Masini, V., Casarella, L., Grillo, R.L., Zannella, M.P. and Oliva, G.C (2008). Epidemiologic study on anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies prevalence in an obstetric population. *Italian Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 20: 159-166 ([www.gynaecology-obstetrics-journal.com](http://www.gynaecology-obstetrics-journal.com)).
7. Nash, J.Q., Chissel, S., Jones, J., Warburton, F. and Verlander, N.Q (2005). Risk factors for toxoplasmosis in pregnant women in Kent, United Kingdom. *Epidemiology and Infection* 133: 475-483 ([www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
8. Han, K., Shin, D.W., Lee, T.Y. and Lee, Y.W. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection and risk factors associated with seropositivity of pregnant women in Korea. *Journal of Parasitology* 94: 963-965
9. Buchy, P., Follezou, J.Y. and Lien, T.X (2003). Serological study of toxoplasmosis in Vietnam in a population of drug users (Ho Chi Minh City) and pregnant women (Nha Trang). *Bulletin de la Societe de pathologie exotique* 96: 46-47
10. Borkakoty, B., Borthakur, A. and Gohain, M (2007). Prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection amongst pregnant women in Assam, India. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* 25: 431-432
11. Jumaian, N.F (2005). Seroprevalence and risk factors for *Toxoplasma* infection in pregnant women in Jordan. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal* 11: 45-51
12. Iqbal, J. and Khalid, N (2007). Detection of acute *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in early pregnancy by IgG avidity and PCR analysis. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 56: 1495-1499.

13. Porto, A.M., de Amorim, M.M., Coelho, I.C. and Santos, L.C (2008). Serologic profile of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women attended at a teaching-hospital in Recife. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira* 54: 242-248
14. Castro, T., Congora, A. and Gonzalez, M.E (2008). *Toxoplasma gondii* antibody seroprevalence in pregnant women from Villavicencio, Colombia. *Orinoquia* 12: 91-100
15. Agarwal, A.K (2008). Social Classification: The need to update in the present scenario. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine* 33: 50-51 ([www.ijcm.org.in](http://www.ijcm.org.in)).
16. Spalding, S.M., Amendoeira, M.R., Klein, C.K. and Ribeiro, L.C (2005). Serological screening and toxoplasmosis exposure factors among pregnant women in the south of Brazil. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 38: 173-177
17. Malarvizhi, A., Viswanathan, T., Lavanya, V., Sheeba, A. and Malar, S (2012). Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in pregnant women. *Journal of Public Health Epidemiology* 4: 170-177 ([www.academicjournals.org](http://www.academicjournals.org)).
18. Hung, C.C., Fan, C.K., Su, K.E., Sung, F.C. and Chiou, A.Y (2007). Serological screening and toxoplasmosis exposure factors among pregnant women in the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 101: 134-139 ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
19. Morris, A. and Croxson, M (2004). Serological evidence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among pregnant women in Auckland. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 117: U770 ([www.nzma.org.nz](http://www.nzma.org.nz)).
20. Mohammed, T.K (2011). Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* among pregnant women in Baghdad city. *Iraq Academy Science Journal* 24: 21-28 ([www.iasj.net](http://www.iasj.net)).
21. Yasodhara, P., Ramalakshmi, B.A., Lakshmi, V. and Krishna, T.P (2004). Socioeconomic status and prevalence of toxoplasmosis during pregnancy. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* 22: 241-243 ([www.journals.elsevier.com/indian-journal-of-medical-microbiology](http://www.journals.elsevier.com/indian-journal-of-medical-microbiology)).
22. Jeffrey, J.L., Deanna, K.M. and Marianna, W (2001). *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in the United States: seroprevalence and risk factors. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 154: 357-365 ([www.academic.oup.com](http://www.academic.oup.com)).
23. Gilbert, R.E., Tookey, P.A., Cubitt, W.D., Ades, A.E., Masters, J and Peckham, C.S (1993). Prevalence of toxoplasma IgG among pregnant women in West London according to country of birth and ethnic group. *British Medical Journal* 24: 306:185 ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
24. Ishaku, B.S., Ajogi, I., Umoh, J.U., Lawal, I. and Randawa, A.J (2009). Seroprevalence and risk factors for *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among antenatal

women in Zaria, Nigeria. *Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 4: 483-488 ([www.arnmsmb.com](http://www.arnmsmb.com)).

25. Hussein, A., Eman, K., Gayar, E., Perveen, D.K., Abdullah, N., Ayesha, A., Ibrahim, B., Mohamed, SM., Saif, E.A. and Ibrahim, M.E (2014). Seroepidemiology of *Toxoplasma gondii* amongst pregnant women in Jazan Province, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Tropical Medicine* 2014, 913950 ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).
26. Sarman, S., Arshi, M., Sugandhi, R., Sanjay, M. and Naba, K (2014). Serologic prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in Indian women of child bearing age and effects of social and environmental factors. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 8: e2737 ([www.journals.plos.org](http://www.journals.plos.org)).
27. Gohel, T., Pawar, S., Turbadkar, D. and Baveja, S (2014). Seroprevalence and clinical correlates of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among pregnant women in Tertiary care hospital. *International Journal of Science studies* 2:29-33 ([www.ijss-sn.com](http://www.ijss-sn.com)).

**Table: 1 Socio-demographic details of the antenatal women (n=108)**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Socio-demographic details</b>	<b>Number (%)</b>
Age groups	15 -20	21 (19.3%)
	21 -25	50 (46.2%)
	26 -30	18 (16.5%)
	31 -35	11 (10.1%)
	36 -40	08 (7.4%)
<b>Education Status</b>	Illiterate	9 (8.3%)
	Primary school	14 (12.9%)
	Middle school	22 (20.4%)
	High school	17 (15.7%)
	Higher secondary	24 (22.3%)
	Graduate	20 (18.6%)
	Post graduate	02 (1.8%)
<b>Socioeconomic Status</b>	Class I	07 (6.5%)
	Class II	14 (12.9%)
	Class III	17 (15.8%)
	Class IV	29 (26.8%)
	Class V	35 (32.5)

	Class VI	6(5.5%)
<b>Residence</b>	Rural	72(66.6%)
	Urban	36 (33.4%)

[Figures in parenthesis denote percentage]

**Table 2: Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma IgM among antenatal women (n=108) according to age**

<b>Age (in years)</b>	<b>No. tested</b>	<b>Toxoplasma Ig M positivity</b>	<b>Toxoplasma IgM negativity</b>
15-20	21	-	21 (19.4%)
21-25	50	2 (1.85%)	48 (44.4%)
26-30	18	-	18 (16.6%)
31-35	11	2 (1.85%)	9 (8.3%)
36-40	8	-	8 (7.4%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>4 (3.7%)</b>	<b>104 (96.3%)</b>

[Figures in parenthesis denote percentage]

**Table 3: Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma IgG among antenatal women (n=108) according to age**

<b>Age</b>	<b>No tested</b>	<b>Toxoplasma IgG positivity</b>	<b>Toxoplasma IgG negativity</b>
15-20	21	1(0.9%)	20(18.5%)
21-25	50	3(2.8%)	47(43.5%)
26-30	18	3(2.8%)	15(13.9%)
31-35	11	2(1.9%)	9 (8.3%)
36-40	8	0	8(7.4%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>9 (8.4%)</b>	<b>99(91.6%)</b>

[Figures in parenthesis denote percentage]

**Table 4: Distribution of Seropositivity (IgM&IgG) according to socioeconomic status**

<b>Socioeconomic status</b>	<b>IgMSeropositivity (N=4)</b>	<b>IgGSeropositivity (N=9)</b>
Class I	-	1(11.1)
Class II	-	-
Class III	-	-
Class IV	1(25%)	3(33.3)
Class V	3 (75%)	5 (55.6%)

[Figures in parenthesis denote percentage]