Innovations

Impact of Biodiversity Loss on the Stability of Financial Systems in Developing Ccountries

Chimeziem C. G Udeze[1]
Department of Business Administration
Alex Ekwueme Federal University Ndufu Alike Ebonyi State

Okoroiwukemdi Lugard[2]
Accountancy Department .University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus

Graham Chimaobi Omenka[3]
Banking and Finance Department .University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus

Nwariaku Ihechiluru Samuel[4]
Accountancy Department .University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus

Abstract:
Biodiversity loss is a pressing global issue that has significant implications for ecological systems, human well-being, and socioeconomic development. While the ecological consequences of biodiversity loss are well documented, their potential impacts on the stability of financial systems, particularly in developing countries, have received limited attention. This research article aims to explore the complex relationship between biodiversity loss and financial system stability in developing countries. Data for the study was obtained from world development indicators for all Sub-Saharan African countries (SSA) with relevant data. The study adopted a pooled OLS model and a two-step system GMM model to investigate the impact of biodiversity loss on the stability of financial systems in SSA. The results of the two models suggest that carbon emissions, GDP, FDI, and the real exchange rate are all significant determinants of non-performing loans. Policymakers should consider these relationships and implement appropriate measures to enhance economic resilience, regulate investment inflows, and mitigate risks that could lead to non-performing loans and financial instability.

Introduction

The impact of human activities on biodiversity has unquestionably been substantial and adverse. The exponential growth of the human population and the rapid progression of technological advancements have inadvertently resulted in harmful effects on the delicate balance of ecosystems and the wide variety of animals that live there. Biodiversity loss refers to the reduction in the diversity and population size of species within an ecological system. It has been widely acknowledged as a significant global challenge, impacting significantly on the economic system. From an ecological perspective, the loss of biodiversity has a significant impact on the functioning and resilience of ecosystems.As a result, ecosystem services are ultimately provided less frequently, and the likelihood of environmental disturbances is raised. From a societal perspective, it impacts heavily on human well-being through its influence on crucial aspects such as food security, water availability, and public health. From an economic perspective, the loss of biodiversity can have substantial consequences for multiple sectors, including financial institutions.

There have been several studies in the literature reporting the ecological and social ramifications of biodiversity loss. However, a comparable level of attention has not been given to its potential effects on the stability of financial systems, particularly in developing nations. Financial system stability pertains to the capacity of financial institutions and markets to endure unforeseen disturbances and sustain seamless operations, thereby facilitating the attainment of sustainable economic growth. The maintenance of financial system stability is an essential element within any given economy, as it serves to guarantee the effective operation of financial institutions and markets. Financial system resilience pertains to the capacity of the financial system to endure and recover from shocks and disturbances, all while ensuring the provision of crucial services to the economy. The preservation of stability is of utmost importance in ensuring sustainable economic growth and the holistic welfare of both individuals and businesses. The existing body of literature provides evidence regarding the significance of maintaining stability within the financial system. (Battiston, S., Dafermos, Y., &Monasterolo, I., 2021; Nieto, M. J., 2019; Schellhorn, C., 2020).

The issue of biodiversity loss is gaining increasing recognition as a substantial global challenge, encompassing far-reaching consequences for ecological systems, human welfare, and socioeconomic progress. (CBD, 2020). The decline in biodiversity poses a significant obstacle to the advancement of the Sustainable Development Goals established by the United Nations. These goals encompass various areas such as poverty alleviation, ensuring food security, promoting public health, mitigating climate change, and fostering sustainable utilisation of both terrestrial and marine resources. (Lal, R., Bouma, J., Brevik, E., Dawson, L., Field, D. J., Glaser, B., & Zhang, J. et al., 2021; Omisore, A. G., 2018).

The increasing focus on the correlation between biodiversity and financial system stability stems from the acknowledgment that the decline of biodiversity and degradation of ecosystems can result in significant economic and financial consequences. Comprehending and mitigating these risks is imperative to fostering a financially sustainable and resilient system that incorporates the preservation and sustainable utilisation of biodiversity.Just a few of the numerous essential ecological services offered by biodiversity include pollination, water filtration, and climate regulation.. These services are crucial to the economy's daily operations, and their elimination would pose serious financial challenges.

The loss of biodiversity has the potential to have substantial consequences for the financial security of emerging nations. Several important elements show how biodiversity, environmental services, and economic stability are all intertwined, even if there is no clear causal relationship between biodiversity loss and financial systems. For instance, many underdeveloped nations rely tremendously on the extraction and sale of natural resources to fuel their economies. Reduced productivity, lost income, and greater sensitivity to economic shocks are all possible results of biodiversity loss in these areas. (Okoyeuzu, C., &Ukpere, W., 2022; Aloui, D.; Gaies, B.; &Hchaichi, R., 2023). Kedward, K., Ryan-Collins, J., &Chenet, H. (2022; Khan, M., & Yoon, S. M. 2021).The loss of biodiversity can render poor countries more susceptible to natural disasters, economic downturns, and other shocks. This is because these nations' resilience may weaken as a result of biodiversity loss.

Climate risk, which is exacerbated by the destruction of biodiversity, has been linked to an increase in the frequency and severity of extreme weather events, including hurricanes, droughts, and floods. The stability of financial systems, especially in developing countries, is threatened by these occurrences. (IPCC, 2018). For example, a study by Hallegatte et al. (2016) found that the financial markets, insurance, and infrastructure are just a few of the areas where extreme weather events can have a significant negative economic impact. The financial dangers posed by the loss of biodiversity are particularly dangerous for the insurance industry. Risks associated with biodiversity may impact how much a company is valued and how easily it can access capital markets. (UNCTAD, 2020). Thornton et al. (2017) conducted research on the market ramifications of biodiversity loss and potential financial institution effects.

The significance of maintaining financial system stability in developing nations stems from the acknowledgment that a stable financial system plays a pivotal role in facilitating economic development, reducing poverty, promoting international trade, ensuring financial inclusion, enhancing resilience to

shocks, and fostering sustainable development. It assumes a crucial function in bolstering inclusive and sustainable growth agendas within these nations.

The presence of financial instability can exert a substantial influence on the rate of economic growth. It also poses challenges for businesses in obtaining credit, thereby resulting in a reduction in investment and impeding economic growth. The promotion of economic development in developing countries is heavily reliant on the stability of the financial system. A stable financial system plays a crucial role in facilitating the efficient allocation of resources, mobilization of savings, access to credit, and provision of investment opportunities. It offers a conducive environment for the expansion of businesses, the generation of employment opportunities, and the facilitation of overall economic development.

Poverty and inequality tend to be worse in developing nations. By making savings, credit, and insurance more available to undeserved communities, a strong financial system can help alleviate poverty. It aids in wealth formation, risk mitigation, and entrepreneur promotion, all of which contribute to better economic outcomes for individuals and society. Investment Attraction: Attracting both domestic and international investments require emerging countries to have financially stable financial systems. In order to make educated investments, businesses and investors need the financial markets to be stable and predictable. It is impossible to achieve long-term economic growth and development without the long-term investments, reduced risks, and increased trust that a stable financial system provides.

International trade and integration play a crucial role in the economic development of developing countries. A robust financial system is essential for facilitating seamless and effective trade transactions, encompassing reliable payment systems, the availability of trade financing, and effective risk management tools. The process of enhancing a country's integration into global markets and facilitating its participation in international trade and investment flows is of paramount importance. Social unrest can result from a country's unstable economy. Social discontent and political instability can result when people see their funds evaporate or their enterprises collapse.

The presence of financial instability can exert adverse effects on the global economy. The destabilization of financial systems in developing nations can potentially have a cascading impact on the global economy. The stability of the financial system is intricately interconnected with the pursuit of sustainable development in developing nations. The acknowledgement of the significance of environmental, social, and governance factors in the processes of financial decision-making is evident. A robust financial system has the capacity to facilitate the funding of sustainable projects and initiatives, encompassing areas such as renewable energy, climate adaptation, and biodiversity conservation. This, in turn, aids in the attainment of environmental and social goals.

The primary objective of this study therefore, is to investigate the interconnections between the decline in biodiversity and the stability of the financial system in the Sub-Saharan African region. The significance of our study lies in its emphasis on the economic relevance of biodiversity. The study holds particular significance due to its connection to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). One of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) outlined by the United Nations is SDG 15. This goal pertains to the safeguarding, restoration, and advancement of sustainable practices in terrestrial ecosystems. It also encompasses the responsible management of forests, the mitigation of desertification, the prevention and reversal of land degradation, and the cessation of biodiversity decline. The research examining the influence of biodiversity on the stability of the financial system underscores the significance of Sustainable Development Goal 15 in relation to the economy.

The research also emphasizes the necessity for financial institutions to undertake measures in order to evaluate and alleviate the risks linked to biodiversity depletion. Through this action, financial institutions have the capacity to safeguard the economy and guarantee its enduring viability. To achieve our aim, the study is structure thus :following this introductory section is a reviewed literature. Section 3 and 4 presents the methodology and findings respectively. The 5th section concludes the paper with policy implications of the research findings.

Literature Review

Biodiversity, climate change, and financial system resilience

Two interrelated global issues—biodiversity loss and climate change—have a significant impact on ecosystems, people, and economies. The major effects of these occurrences on the stability of the financial system have been underlined by a recent study. (Radchuk, V.et.al 2019).;Dasgupta, 2021). The loss of biodiversity has the potential to disturb ecosystems, resulting in the depletion of ecosystem services, heightened susceptibility to the effects of climate change, and the possibility of economic upheaval. In contrast, climate change exacerbates the decline of biodiversity by causing habitat degradation, leading to the extinction of species, and disrupting ecological dynamics. The aforementioned inter dependencies underscore the need for a more profound comprehension of the intricate linkages among biodiversity, climate change, and the resilience of the financial system.

The financial system's stability may be at risk both directly and indirectly from biodiversity loss. Sectors like agriculture, forestry, fisheries, and tourism may be impacted by ecosystem degradation and biodiversity loss, creating economic risks. (UNEP, 2019). The decline in pollinator populations, for instance, has the potential to lower crop yields and, by extension, the revenue generated by the agriculture industry. (IPBES, 2019). Similar to how changes in marine ecosystems brought on by overfishing or pollution can have an impact on marine activities (FAO, 2018).

Biodiversity is seriously threatened by climate change. Extreme weather occurrences are increasing in frequency as a result of the Earth's changing climate, which is also fragmenting habitats. Many species are struggling to survive as a result of these alterations. Physical and transitional hazards are among the systemic threats that climate change poses to the financial system (Dasgupta, 2021). Physical concerns include escalating sea levels, shifting climatic patterns, as well as an increase in the number and severity of extreme weather events. These hazards have the potential to cause immediate financial losses, including infrastructure and property damage, which might have an effect on banks, insurers, and asset values. The transition to a low-carbon economy, altered policies, and shifting market dynamics all provide transition risks. These dangers may lower the value of carbon-intensive assets, result in stranded assets, and cause market disruptions.

Risks associated with biodiversity and climate change must be taken into account when making financial decisions in order to increase financial system resilience. This entails including environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations in risk evaluation, stress testing, and investment analysis. (Bauer et al., 2019). Financial institutions and investors must consider the possible impacts of biodiversity loss and climate change on investment portfolios before implementing policies and procedures that promote sustainable practices and investments. These financial worries have the potential to have a profound effect on the economy. (Zhao, J. et al. 2021; Grippa, P.; Schmittmann, J.; &Suntheim, F. 2019; Semieniuk, G. et al. 2021)

There must be a holistic and integrated strategy for sustainable finance because of the links between biodiversity, climate change, and the stability of the financial system. A resilient financial system that supports sustainable development goals requires acknowledging and resolving risks linked to biodiversity loss and climate change.

The vulnerability of the financial system in developing countries

Developing nations frequently encounter distinct vulnerabilities within their financial systems as a result of multiple factors, such as structural deficiencies, constrained institutional capabilities, and susceptibility to external disturbances. These vulnerabilities could have a considerable negative impact on the resilience and stability of financial systems, which could have negative effects on economic growth. (Rao, P., et al., 2021; Nguyen, C. P., & Su, T. D., 2021).

The susceptibility of financial systems in developing nations has been brought to attention through various financial crises witnessed in the past few decades. The Asian financial crisis that occurred from 1997 to 1998, as well as the global financial crisis that took place from 2008 to 2009, exerted a

substantial influence on developing nations. Naudé, W. (2009). *The financial crisis of 2008 and the developing countries* (No. 2009/01), WIDER Discussion Paper.

The economic implications of biodiversity loss are evaluated in a comprehensive review that has been commissioned by the government of the United Kingdom. This study examines the interrelationships among biodiversity, ecosystems, and human well-being, encompassing the financial system as a significant component. ParthaDasgupta (2021): The review conducted revealed that the decline in biodiversity poses a significant risk to the overall welfare of humanity and the economic advancement of societies. According to estimates, the decline in biodiversity has the potential to diminish the global Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by a maximum of 10% by the year 2050. The review additionally discovered that the loss of biodiversity is presently exerting a substantial influence on the financial system. For instance, there is evidence suggesting a correlation between climate change and a heightened probability of natural calamities, resulting in potential harm to critical infrastructure and the disruption of economic operations. Suggested recommendations for mitigating biodiversity loss encompass the allocation of resources towards conservation efforts and the promotion of sustainable development practices, thereby alleviating the strain on natural ecosystems.

Gretchen C. Daily et al. (2016) examine the monetary worth of the ecosystem services offered by biodiversity. It emphasizes how crucial it is to incorporate ecosystem services into financial models and frameworks for making decisions.

Simatele and Sjö (2019) discovered from the study they did in SSA that financial systems exhibit susceptibility to contagion effects. Attention was given to the ramifications of financial shocks, specifically the contagion effects and macroeconomic implications. Conversely,substantial macroeconomic ramifications, including a reduction in economic growth and a rise in unemployment rates, can be triggered by a financial shock. The importance of implementing strong financial regulations and enhancing crisis management frameworks in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) became the focus of this study.

Biodiversity loss is a significant contributor to climate change, and this may also have an adverse effect on the region's financial stability. Okoyeuzu and Ukpere (2022)

A study by Demirgüç-Kunt et al.(2013) opined that there is a need to draw conclusions from the global financial crisis in order to increase the resilience of the financial system.Developing nations can witness serious danger to their financial stability due to the loss of biodiversity. (Espinosa Cantellano, 2021). This study came to the conclusion that attention should be given to the various risks associated with biodiversity loss as they are genuine and should not be disregarded.

By 2050, the loss of biodiversity, according to the Natural Capital Coalition's (2018) estimate, could cost the world economy up to $10 trillion annually. This shows that the loss of biodiversity may have a serious detrimental effect on the financial systems of emerging nations, which are frequently more dependent on natural resources than wealthy nations. There is a need for more quantitative research that examines the specific implications of biodiversity loss on financial institutions, despite the fact that some studies chronicle the literature on biodiversity loss and financial institutions. This research addresses that lack.

Methodology

Variables:

- Carbon emission
- Gross domestic product (GDP) growth
- Foreign direct investment (FDI)
- Inflation
- Non-performing loans (NPLs)
- Real exchange rate
- Lending rate

Justification for the selected variables

Carbon emission: Biodiversity loss boosts greenhouse gas emissions. This can create financial instability by increasing climate change risk, agricultural output interruption, and energy expenses.
GDP growth: Biodiversity loss may hurt economic growth. Biodiversity provides ecological services like pollination, water purification, and climate regulation that support economic activity. Losing these services might increase economic volatility, costs, and productivity.
Foreign direct investment (FDI): FDI funds developing nations. However, biodiversity loss may deter foreign investors. This is because investors are concerned about environmental risks.
Inflation: Loss of biodiversity may also result in a rise in inflation. This is due to the potential disruption of agricultural production, which would increase the cost of food and other necessities.
Non-performing loans (NPLs): In developing nations, the danger of NPLs might be exacerbated by biodiversity loss. This is due to the possibility of financial hardship for companies reliant on natural resources.
Real exchange rate: The price of a nation's currency in relation to other currencies, with inflation taken into account. In developing nations, the loss of biodiversity can result in a decline in the real exchange rate. Because it might make it more challenging for these nations to export their goods and services.
Lending rate: Loss of biodiversity may result in higher interest rates for loans in emerging nations. Due to the potential increase in default risk for borrowers, banks may become less willing to make loans.

$$NPL_{it=}\partial + \beta_1 l_n Co2_{it} + \beta_2 l_n GDP_{it} + \beta_3 l_n FDI_{it} + \beta_4 Inf_{it} + \beta_5 lendingRt_{it} + \mu_{it.}$$

Section 4 Result Findings

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics and correlation matrix

Statistics	**LNPL**	**LCO2**	**LGDP**	**LEXCH**	**INF**	**LFDI**
Mean	2.0778	7.9530	1.3876	4.7373	1.5674	19.3286
Minimum	0	4.6101	-3.3874	0	-1.9107	10.9227
Maximum	55.0803	12.0508	3.0658	9.1630	6.3229	23.0286
Std. Dev.	9.0866	1.7237	0.83154	1.17510	2.3965	1.9834
Observations	360	360	360	360	360	360
Correlation matrix						
LNPL	1.0000					
LCO2	-0.0257	1.0000				
LGDP	-0.2618	0.0127	1.0000			
LEXCH	0.1458	-0.3378	-0.0596	1.0000		
INF	-0.0137	-0.0057	-0.0414	-0.1986	1.0000	
LFDI	-0.1353	0.7456	0.1384	-0.3608	0.0177	1.0000

Source: Authors' Computation

Our table above is a presentation of descriptive statistics and a correlation matrix. Six variables are under investigation, as already presented under methodology. (LNPL, LCO2, LGDP, LEXCH, INF, and LFDI)
We obtained some significant correlations between the variables from our correlation matrix. Observations on LNPL and LGDP indicate a positive relationship with a value of 0.7456. This shows a movement in the same direction. A positive relationship was also seen with LNPL and LFDI at a coefficient of 0.1384, though not as strong as the correlation between LNPL and LGDP. A correlation coefficient of -0.0137 was obtained for LNPL and INF. It indicates a movement in opposite directions. For LNPL and LEXCH,we obtained a coefficient of -0.1458, which is negative but weaker than LNPL and INF.

It is worth noting that just because two variables are correlated does not mean that one causes the other. We therefore move on to regression analysis.

Table 2

Estimated Results:

Variables	**Pooled OLS**	**Two-Step Sys GMM (Robustness)**
Non-performing loan (NPLs)	-	0.0547*** (0.000)
Carbon emission	0.5496* (0.069)	0.0834* (0.094)
Gross domestic product (GDP)	-1.9117*** (0.000)	-0.0033** (0.045)
Foreign direct investment (FDI)	0.6136* (0.063)	0.1170** (0.014)
Inflation	0.2926 (0.942)	0.1034* (0.083)
Real exchange rate	0.3457** (0.012)	0.3287*** (0.004)
Constant	14.872** (0.012)	0.1419** (0.028)
No of Countries	30	30
VIF	1.26	1.26
Number of instruments	N/A	18
AR(1)	N/A	0.040**
AR(2)	N/A	0.529
Hansen	N/A	0.320
R-Squared	0.7973	N/A
F Statistic	9.973*** (0.000)	421.55*** (0.000)
Note: Dependent variable is non-performing loans (NPLs). Estimated coefficients are reported while p-values are in parentheses. ***, ** and * denote significant at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively.		

Author's computation

In the above table, the results of two models employed in this analysis are presented. Our investigation was done to establish the relationship between non-performing loans and carbon emissions. Other variables were also part of our independent variables, as presented in Section . The investigation was done by employing Pooled OLS and Two-Step systematic GMM (Generalized Method of Moments). The estimated coefficients and statistical significance (p-values) for the two models are clearly shown in the table. The two models present consistent results.

From the results shown, carbon emissions, the real exchange rate, FDI, and GDP have a significant relationship with NPLs. An increase in carbon emissions shows an increase in NPLs in line with the positive coefficient obtained. An increase in GDP is associated with a decrease in NPLs, according to an observed negative coefficient. FDI has a positive coefficient. What this indicates is that an increase in FDI is associated with an increase in NPLs. For the real exchange rate, a positive coefficient indicates that an appreciation of the real exchange rate is associated with an increase in NPLs. Both models present a significant constant term. By using this significant constant term, a baseline level of NPLs that is not explained by the independent variables is captured.

The observed R-square in both models is relatively high. By doing so,we can deduce that the independent variables explain a significant amount of the variation in NPLs. Both Models also have significant F-

statistic. What this means is that the overall model is significant. The instruments used are valid, as indicated by the Hansen test, which is not significant.

We obtained a positive relationship between carbon emissions and NPLs, as indicated by 0.5496 with a p-value of 0.069. This significance level, as we can see, is not strong enough ($p > 0.05$) to conclude a statistically significant relationship. Although not statistically significant, there could be some explanations. It is highly possible that there are some environmental and economic sustainability challenges. This observation implies a positive correlation between elevated carbon emissions and increased occurrences of non-performing loans, potentially indicating the adverse consequences of environmental degradation on economic productivity. Policy interventions refer to actions taken by governments or other governing bodies to address specific issues or achieve desired outcomes. These interventions typically involve the discovery of information that can function as an indicator for policymakers in developing nations to contemplate the potential hazards linked to carbon emissions for the stability of their financial systems. The significance of enacting policies and regulations that foster sustainable development, incentivize eco-friendly practices, and address the adverse impacts of carbon emissions on economic performance and financial well-being may be emphasized.

The absence of statistical significance between carbon emissions and NPLs may also be the result of data limitations or particular contextual factors. Important considerations include data quality and coverage.

The result of FDI is a positive relationship with NPLs, as seen from a coefficient of 0.6136 and a p-value of 0.063. We cannot establish a robust statistical relationship from our p-value.

Although not statistically significant, the conclusion that there is a positive correlation between foreign direct investment (FDI) and non-performing loans (NPLs) in developing countries presents a number of questions and potential solutions. For example, Risks and economic development: Developing nations frequently attract FDI as a way to boost economic expansion, enhance investment, and generate job opportunities. However, despite its small size, the positive link raises the possibility of hidden dangers related to FDI inflows that can increase the likelihood of NPLs. In many developing nations, it can be challenging for banks to determine a borrower's creditworthiness because of the underdeveloped financial sector. As a result, banks may make riskier loans, which may raise the possibility of NPLs. Standards for corporate governance are lax. This could make it simpler for businesses to participate in riskier operations, which could raise the possibility of loan defaults.

Depending on the individual industries in which investment flows, FDI's effect on NPLs may differ. The association between FDI and NPLs may vary depending on the risk profiles of different industries. For instance, FDI may raise the chance of NPLs in sectors with high capital intensity or lengthy payback periods. FDI inflows' sectoral composition analysis may yield further information. The lack of a correlation between FDI and NPLs may indicate that developing nations have difficulty successfully managing the risks brought on by FDI. The inability to identify and mitigate possible risks, which results in NPLs, may be attributed to inadequate risk assessment systems, insufficient governance frameworks, or holes in monitoring and supervision. Economic shocks and turbulent market circumstances might have an impact on FDI inflows. The ability of borrowers to repay their debts may be impacted by sudden changes in interest rates, exchange rates, or general economic conditions, which increases the risk of NPLs. Developing nations may be more susceptible to these shocks, which could have an impact on how FDI and NPLs are related.

The general economic environment, legal and regulatory frameworks, political stability, and effectiveness of financial institutions are all country-specific elements that can have an impact on the relationship between FDI and NPLs. The association between FDI and NPLs may vary depending on how these factors differ among emerging nations. Political unrest can hinder businesses' capacity to function efficiently, which raises the risk of loan defaults. Many SSA countries are characterised by political instability. The lack of a correlation between foreign direct investment and nonperforming loans may also indicate that developing nations have trouble adequately managing the risks brought on by such investment. It may be difficult to identify and mitigate possible hazards that might result in NPLs. This may be due to inadequate risk assessment methods, shoddy governance structures, or oversight and monitoring holes. The general economic environment, legal and regulatory frameworks, political stability,

andeeffectiveness of financial institutions are all country-specific elements that can have an impact on the relationship between FDI and NPLs. The association between FDI and NPLs may vary depending on how these factors differ among developing nations.

The finding that there is no significant relationship between inflation and non-performing loans (NPLs) in developing countries, as indicated by the coefficient of 0.2926 and the high p-value of 0.942, suggests several explanations and considerations.

The degree of inflation may affect how closely NPLs and inflation are related. Even when inflation is not increasing, borrowers in high-inflation nations may be more likely to default on their debts. For this reason, it can be challenging for borrowers to organise their finances and ensure that they have enough money to repay their loans when there is a high rate of inflation.

Depending on the nation's financial system, there can be a connection between inflation and NPLs. Banks may be more adept at determining a borrower's creditworthiness and controlling their risks in nations with well-established financial sectors. Even though inflation is increasing, this can serve to lessen the possibility of NPLs.

Our findings on the lack of a relationship between inflation and non-performing loans (NPLs) may indicate that other economic indicators are more useful in determining creditworthiness and loan repayment capacity. The lack of significance in the association between inflation and NPLs may possibly be due to data constraints. The accuracy and validity of inflation data in developing nations must be taken into account. The statistical analysis can be impacted by inaccurate or missing data, which may hide any actual relationship between inflation and NPLs. Inflation can reduce purchasing power and breed economic uncertainty, which is a problem that developing nations frequently deal with. However, the lack of a strong correlation between inflation and NPLs may indicate that inflation is not the main cause of non-performing loans in these nations. It can imply that other aspects of the economy, the development of the financial sector, or particular government policies have a stronger impact on NPLs.

Conclusion

Our study's conclusions emphasize the significance of sustainable development, economic growth, efficient risk management, and financial stability in developing economies. To improve economic resilience, manage investment inflows, and reduce risks that could result in non-performing loans and financial instability, policymakers should take these relationships into account and put the right policies in place. It is critical to understand that these findings offer broad insights and should be confirmed with country-specific analysis and data to inform context-specific policy responses in emerging economies.

The stability of financial institutions in developing nations is seriously endangered by biodiversity loss. These risks can be addressed while promoting the resilience of the financial system, thanks to several encouraging policy implications and mitigation techniques. A vital first step is to strengthen regulatory frameworks to take biodiversity-related risks into account. Other important actions include supporting sustainable financial practices, capacity building, and education. Supporting eco-based adaptation, eco-friendly and sustainable companies, and public-private partnerships can additionally boost resilience and advance sustainable development. Enhancing data and research activities is also necessary for accurately identifying and measuring the financial risks related to biodiversity loss. By putting these strategies into practise, developing nations can overcome the difficulties brought on by biodiversity loss, support the stability of the financial system, and advance their goals for sustainable development.

Policy Implications and Mitigation Strategies

Risks to developing countries' financial systems due to biodiversity loss require policy implications and risk reduction methods. Financial decision-making in developing nations should take into account the hazards associated with biodiversity. As part of this effort, we must push for more sustainable investment practices as well as the assessment and disclosure of financial institutions' exposure to biodiversity hazards.

Tax breaks or other preferential treatment for banks that make lending and investment decisions that take biodiversity into account is one way that governments can encourage sustainable finance practices.

This has the potential to encourage funding for initiatives that protect biodiversity, promote responsible land use, and utilize renewable energy sources.

Governments have the ability to offer economic inducements, such as grants or loans with low interest rates, to environmentally conscious enterprises that place a high value on the preservation of biodiversity within their business practices. This has the potential to facilitate the development of sustainable industries, generate economic prospects, and enhance the stability of financial systems.

The establishment of collaborations among public, private, and civil society entities plays a pivotal role in ensuring the efficacy of biodiversity preservation efforts and maintaining stability within the financial system. Governments have the capacity to enhance these collaborations through the establishment of platforms for constructive discourse, the promotion of information exchange, and the provision of assistance to joint endeavours that incorporate biodiversity considerations into financial decision-making procedures.

Developing nations can strengthen their financial institutions, increase their resilience to biodiversity loss, and improve their overall financial health by adopting these policy implications and mitigation actions. If these policies are to be effectively implemented, the government, financial institutions, and other stakeholders must work together.

References

1. *Aloui, D., Gaies, B., &Hchaichi, R. (2023). Exploring environmental degradation spillovers in Sub-Saharan Africa: the energy–financial instability nexus. Economic Change and Restructuring, 1-26.*
2. *Battiston, S., Dafermos, Y., &Monasterolo, I. (2021). Climate risks and financial stability. Journal of Financial Stability, 54, 100867.*
3. *Bernhard, G. H., Neale, R. E., Barnes, P. W., Neale, P. J., Zepp, R. G., Wilson, S. R., & White, C. C. (2020). Environmental effects of stratospheric ozone depletion, UV radiation and interactions with climate change: UNEP Environmental Effects Assessment Panel, update 2019. Photochemical &Photobiological Sciences, 19(5), 542-584.*
4. *CBD (Convention on Biological Diversity). (2020). Global Biodiversity Outlook 5. Retrieved from www.cbd.int*
5. *Demirgüç-Kunt, A., &Klapper, L. (2013). Measuring financial inclusion: Explaining variation in use of financial services across and within countries. Brookings papers on economic activity, 2013(1), 279-340.*
6. *Espinosa Cantellano, Irene. "Moving beyond climate - the relevance of biodiversity loss for the financial system." Bank for International Settlements Review (2021).*
7. *Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2018). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2018: Meeting the sustainable development goals. FAO.*
8. *Hallegatte, S., et al. (2016). Shock Waves: Managing the Impacts of Climate Change on Poverty. World Bank Group.*
9. *IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). (2018). Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C. Retrieved from www.ipcc.ch*
10. *IPBES (Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services). (2019). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Retrieved from ipbes.net*
11. *Kedward, K., Ryan-Collins, J., &Chenet, H. (2022). Biodiversity loss and climate change interactions: financial stability implications for central banks and financial supervisors. Climate Policy, 1-19.*
12. *Khan, M., & Yoon, S. M. (2021). Financial instability and environmental degradation: a panel data investigation. Applied Economics, 53(54), 6319-6331.*
13. *Lal, R., Bouma, J., Brevik, E., Dawson, L., Field, D. J., Glaser, B., ...& Zhang, J. (2021). Soils and sustainable development goals of the United Nations: An International Union of Soil Sciences perspective. Geoderma Regional, 25, e00398.,*

14. *Naudé, W. (2009). The financial crisis of 2008 and the developing countries (No. 2009/01). WIDER Discussion Paper.*
15. *Nieto, M. J. (2019). Banks, climate risk and financial stability. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 27(2), 243-262.*
16. *Nguyen, C. P., & Su, T. D. (2021). Easing economic vulnerability: Multidimensional evidence of financial development. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 81, 237-252.*
17. *Omisore, A. G. (2018). Attaining Sustainable Development Goals in sub-Saharan Africa; The need to address environmental challenges. Environmental development, 25, 138-145.*
18. *Okoyeuzu, C. R. (2022). Climate Change and Financial System Stability: Developing Country's Perspective. Journal of Research in Administrative Sciences (ISSN: 2664-2433), 11(2), 29-35.*
19. *Okoyeuzu, C. R., &Ukpere, W. I. (2022). Dynamics of biodiversity loss and financial system stability nexus in developing countries. Environmental Economics, 13(1), 79-88.*
20. *Ouyang, Z., Zheng, H., Xiao, Y., Polasky, S., Liu, J., Xu, W., ...& Daily, G. C. (2016). Improvements in ecosystem services from investments in natural capital. Science, 352(6292), 1455-1459.*
21. *Radchuk, V., Reed, T., Teplitsky, C., van de Pol, M., Charmantier, A., Hassall, C., ...& Kramer-Schadt, S. (2019). Adaptive responses of animals to climate change are most likely insufficient. Nature communications, 10(1), 3109.*
22. *Rao, P., Goyal, N., Kumar, S., Hassan, M. K., &Shahimi, S. (2021). Vulnerability of financial markets in India: The contagious effect of COVID-19. Research in International Business and Finance, 58, 101462.*
23. *Schellhorn, C. (2020). Financial system stability, the timing of climate change action and the Federal Reserve. Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 9(3), 45-59.)*
24. *Semieniuk, G., Campiglio, E., Mercure, J. F., Volz, U., & Edwards, N. R. (2021). Low-carbon transition risks for finance. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 12(1), e678*
25. *UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development). (2020). Trade and Environment Review 2020: Supporting Sustainable and Inclusive Economic Recovery through Trade and Environmental Policies. Retrieved from unctad.org*
26. *Zhao, J., Shahbaz, M., Dong, X., & Dong, K. (2021). How does financial risk affect global CO2 emissions? The role of technological innovation. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 168, 120751..*