Innovations

Recent Advances and Diverse Approaches in Inguinal Hernia Repair: A Comprehensive Review of Contemporary Strategies

¹Dr.Ravikiran HR

Associate Professor of Surgery, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Sri Devaraj Urs Academy of Higher Education & Research, Kolar, Karnataka, India ²Dr.Ashwini NS

Professor of Anatomy, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Sri Devaraj Urs Academy of Higher Education & Research, Kolar, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Ashwini NS

Abstract: Inquinal hernia repair is a common surgical intervention with evolving techniques aimed at optimizing outcomes and minimizing complications. This systematic review explores recent innovations in surgical approaches, mesh types, fixation methods, and their impact on postoperative complications and long-term results. The review synthesizes evidence from studies published between 2010 and 2023, identified through a systematic search of PubMed, Cochrane Library, and relevant databases. Key findings highlight advancements in both open and laparoscopic techniques, including the durability of the Lichtenstein and Shouldice methods, the emergence of minimally invasive procedures such as Totally Extraperitoneal (TEP) and TransabdominalPreperitoneal (TAPP) repair, and the introduction of robotic-assisted hernia repair. Mesh innovations, such as lightweight, absorbable, and biological meshes, are also discussed, along with comparative studies on fixation methods (glue vs. sutures) and their implications for chronic pain and recurrence rates. The systematic analysis underscores the importance of tailored approaches in inguinal hernia repair to enhance patient outcomes and refine clinical practice.

Introduction:

Inguinal hernias are prevalent worldwide, necessitating effective surgical intervention to alleviate symptoms and prevent complications. Advances in surgical techniques and materials have significantly influenced outcomes, with ongoing efforts to enhance patient care and minimize morbidity. This review critically evaluates recent innovations in inguinal hernia repair, synthesizing evidence from current literature and guidelines.

Methods:

A systematic search of PubMed, Cochrane Library, and relevant databases identified studies published between 2010 and 2023. Keywords included "inguinal hernia repair," "laparoscopic hernia repair," "mesh types," "fixation methods," and "outcomes." Studies reporting on surgical techniques, mesh innovations, fixation strategies, complications, and long-term outcomes in adult patients were included.

Results:

1. Surgical Techniques:

- Open Repair Techniques: The Lichtenstein technique remains a cornerstone in inquinal hernia repair (21, 33). It offers durability and low recurrence rates, making it suitable for both primary and recurrent hernias. The Shouldice technique (21) continues to be favored for its tissuebased approach, potentially reducing foreign body reactions associated with synthetic meshes. Conversely, the Desarda technique (22, 36) has emerged as a promising alternative, emphasizing the use of fascial tissue to reinforce the inguinal canal without mesh, although comparative longterm data are needed.
- Laparoscopic Techniques: Totally Extraperitoneal (TEP) (47) and TransabdominalPreperitoneal (TAPP) repair (13, 52) have gained popularity due to their minimally invasive nature and advantages in bilateral and recurrent hernias. TEP offers the advantage of avoiding peritoneal entry, potentially reducing visceral injuries and postoperative complications. TAPP, on the other hand, provides direct visualization of the hernia sac and contralateral side, facilitating simultaneous bilateral repair.
- Robot-Assisted Repair: Robotic inquinal hernia repair (5, 16) represents a technological advancement allowing for enhanced precision and maneuverability in confined spaces. Although initial studies demonstrate feasibility and safety, long-term outcomes and cost-effectiveness compared to traditional laparoscopic techniques require further investigation.

2. Mesh Types and Fixation:

• Mesh Selection: The choice of mesh type significantly impacts postoperative outcomes. Lightweight meshes (39) aim to reduce foreign body sensation and chronic pain, potentially improving patient comfort and satisfaction. Absorbable meshes (18) offer temporary support and are particularly useful in contaminated fields or in patients at risk of infection. Biological meshes (20) present an alternative for patients with concerns over long-term complications associated with synthetic materials, although their higher cost and variable outcomes warrant cautious consideration.

• Fixation Methods: The debate between glue fixation versus suture fixation (28, 51) centers on minimizing postoperative pain and recurrence rates. Glue fixation avoids the need for foreign material in the groin, potentially reducing pain and discomfort. However, concerns over longterm mesh stability and adherence to tissue remain, prompting continued exploration of optimal fixation techniques tailored to patient-specific factors and hernia characteristics.

3. Complications and Long-Term Outcomes:

- Chronic Pain: Chronic pain following inquinal hernia repair remains a significant challenge (31, 38). Studies suggest that mesh type, fixation method, and surgical approach influence the incidence and severity of chronic pain. Minimally invasive techniques like TEP and TAPP generally report lower rates of chronic pain compared to open repairs. However, individual patient factors and surgeon experience also play crucial roles in outcomes.
- Recurrence Rates: Factors contributing to hernia recurrence include surgical technique, mesh-related factors, and patient characteristics (2, 38). While mesh reinforcement significantly reduces recurrence rates compared to tissue-based repairs, proper mesh placement and fixation are critical determinants of long-term success. Ongoing surveillance and patient education are essential to identify recurrence early and optimize management strategies.

4. Emerging Trends and Future Directions:

• Biological Meshes and Enhanced Recovery Protocols: Continued research into biological meshes (20) and their application in hernia repair aims to mitigate long-term complications associated with synthetic materials. Enhanced recovery protocols (12, 32) focus on multimodal strategies to optimize perioperative care, minimize complications, and expedite recovery, thereby improving overall patient outcomes.

Inguinal hernia repair continues to evolve with advancements in surgical techniques, mesh materials, and fixation methods. This systematic review highlights recent innovations and controversies in the field, emphasizing the importance of evidence-based practice and individualized patient care. Clinicians must remain informed about emerging trends to optimize outcomes and enhance patient satisfaction in inquinal hernia management.

Table 1: Studies on Inguinal Hernia Repair Techniques

Reference	Study Design	Interventions	Main Findings
1	Review	Current options in	Various techniques
		repair	discussed (Hippokratia)
2	Review	Recurrence rates	Factors influencing
			recurrence (Int J Surg)
3	Review	Crucial anatomy, cues	Technical tips for
			laparoscopic repair
			(World J
			GastrointestSurg)
4	Review	Overview	Overview of inguinal
			hernia (Laeknabladid)
5	RCT	Robotic vs	Comparable outcomes
		laparoscopic repair	in RIVAL trial (JAMA
			Surg)
6	Systematic	Open vs laparoscopic	Summary of systematic
	Review	repair	reviews (SurgEndosc)
7	Systematic	Asymptomatic	Meta-analysis findings
	Review	contralateral repair	(Hernia)
8	Cochrane	Mesh vs non-mesh	Effectiveness of mesh
	Review	repair	discussed (Cochrane
			Database)
9	Randomized	Regional anesthesia	Efficacy in open hernia
	Trial		repair (Eur J Med Res)
10	StatPearls	Clinical management	Overview of clinical
			management
			(StatPearls)
11	Systematic	Open mesh repairs	Cost-effectiveness and
	Review		efficacy (Health Technol
			Assess)
12	Systematic	TEP vs TAPP	Comparative efficacy
	Review		(Hernia)
13	RCT	TREPP vs TIPP	Randomized comparison
			(Ann Surg)
14	RCT	Mesh alone vs darn	Comparative outcomes
		and mesh	(J Med Life)
15	Case Series	Robotic TAPP repair	Lessons learned from
			cases (Rev Col Bras Cir)
16	Review	Progress in	Recent advancements
		laparoscopic repair	(Medicine)
17	Prospective	Long-term resorbable	Outcomes with
	Study	mesh	resorbable mesh (JSLS)

18	RCT	Associate vs medical doctors	Trial results in Sierra Leone (JAMA Netw Open)
19	RCT	Nanoscalefibrinogen patch	Non-inferiority trial results (J Am CollSurg)
20	Cochrane Review	Shouldicevs other techniques	Effectiveness of Shouldice technique
21	Randomized Trial	Desardavs Darning technique	(Cochrane Database) Emergency repair comparison (J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad)
22	Randomized Trial	Mesh fixation in TAPP	Changing consensus (Int J Surg)
23	Review	Clinical pearls in mesh fixation	Short and long-term outcomes (Chirurgia)
24	Prospective Study	Mesh displacement	Postoperative care implications (JSLS)
25	RCT	ULTRAPRO vs Lichtenstein	Comparative study outcomes (IntSurg)
26	RCT	3D vs 2D laparoscopy	Prospective randomized study (SurgEndosc)
27	Case Series	Endoscope-assisted repair	Feasibility and outcomes (JSLS)
28	Meta-analysis	Mesh fixation with glue vs suture	Comparative outcomes (Medicine)
29	Meta-analysis	Single-incision vs multi-incision	Comparative study (Int J Surg)
30	Clinical Trial	Postoperative infection	Comparative effectiveness (Med SciMonit)
31	Prospective Study	Mesh and chronic pain	Long-term outcomes (World J Surg)
32	RCT	Lichtenstein vsOnstep	Double-blinded trial protocol (Dan Med J)
33	RCT	Tisseel/Tissucol for mesh	Secondary results from TIMELI trial (Hernia)
34	RCT	Lichtenstein vs Lichtenstein plus plug	Preliminary results (Tunis Med)
35	Controlled Randomized Study	Tension-free vsBassini	Comparative study findings (Int J Surg)
36	Randomized	Desardavs Lichtenstein	Randomized comparison

	Clinical Trial		(Int J Surg)
37	Long-term	Lichtenstein vsValenti	5-year outcomes
	Follow-up Study		(Hernia)
38	Meta-analysis	Non-sutured vssutured	Meta-analysis findings
		mesh	(BJS Open)
39	Randomized	Standard vs	Comparative trial
	Controlled Trial	lightweight mesh	results (Int J Surg)
40	Randomized	Open preperitonealvs	Randomized study (BMC
	Study	anterior	Surg)
41	Prospective	Mesh fixation in TEP	Mesh stability findings
	Trial		(JSLS)
42	Prospective	Swing mesh vsKugel	Prospective trial results
	Randomized	mesh	(Acta Cir Bras)
	Study		
43	Observational	Fibrin glue vs	Observational study
	Study	polypropylene	(Chirurgia)
44	Large-scale	Open vs laparoscopic	Comparative study (N
	Trial	repair	Engl J Med)
45	Prospective	Mesh fixation methods	Comparative study (Can
	Trial		J Surg)
46	Prospective	TEP vs mesh-plug vs	Prospective study (Ann
	Randomized	Lichtenstein	Surg)
	Trial		
47	Population-	TAPP vs TEP	Population-based study
	based Analysis		(World J Surg)
48	Randomized	Three meshes in	Comparative outcomes
	Trial	Lichtenstein	(Int J Surg)
49	Prospective	Open Lichtenstein vs	Early and long-term
	Study	TEP	outcomes (Turk J Med
			Sci)
50	Randomized	Stapled vs unstapled	Randomized trial (JSLS)
	Controlled Trial	TEP	
51	Prospective	Fibrin glue vs staples	Prospective study
	Study		outcomes (Ann Surg)

Table 2: Key Findings from Systematic Review of Inguinal Hernia Repair Techniques

Study Design	Interventions		Main Findings	
Review	Various	arious repair Comprehensive overview		
	options		inguinal hernia repair techniques	
RCT	Robotic	vs	Comparable efficacy and safety	
	laparoscopic		outcomes in RIVAL trial	

Systematic Review	Open vs laparoscopic	Reduced postoperative	
1	repair	complications and faster recovery	
	_	with laparoscopic techniques	
Cochrane Review	Mesh vs non-mesh	Lower recurrence rates and	
	repair	improved outcomes with mesh	
		reinforcement	
Randomized Trial	Regional anesthesia	Efficacy and patient satisfaction in	
		open hernia repair	
StatPearls	Clinical management	Detailed clinical management	
		guidelines for inguinal hernia	
Systematic Review	Open mesh repairs	Cost-effectiveness and clinical	
		effectiveness of open mesh repairs	
RCT	TEP vs TAPP	Comparative efficacy and safety	
	121 10 1121	outcomes in laparoscopic	
		techniques	
RCT	Mesh alone vs darn	Comparable outcomes in primary	
	and mesh	inquinal hernia repair	
Case Series	Robotic TAPP repair	Technical feasibility and	
		perioperative outcomes in robotic-	
		assisted surgery	
Review	Progress in	Advances and refinements in	
	laparoscopic repair	laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	
		techniques	
Prospective Study	Long-term resorbable	Benefits and outcomes with long-	
	mesh	term resorbable mesh in TEP	
RCT	Associate vs medical	Comparative outcomes in	
	doctors	healthcare delivery in resource-	
		limited settings	
RCT	Nanoscale fibrinogen	Non-inferiority of fibrinogen patch	
	patch	compared to porcine small intestine	
		submucosa graft	
Cochrane Review	Shouldicevs other	Effectiveness of Shouldice technique	
	techniques	in inguinal hernia repair	
Randomized Trial	Desardavs Darning	Comparative efficacy in emergency	
	technique	inguinal hernia repair	
Review	Mesh fixation in TAPP	Evolving consensus on mesh fixation	
		techniques in laparoscopic	
		hernioplasty	
Prospective Study	Mesh displacement	Implications for surgical technique	
		and postoperative care in bilateral	
		hernia repair	
RCT	ULTRAPRO vs	Comparative outcomes and patient	

	Lichtenstein	recovery in different mesh repair
		techniques
RCT	3D vs 2D laparoscopy	Comparative visualization and
		precision outcomes in laparoscopic
		TAPP
Case Series	Endoscope-assisted	Feasibility and outcomes in
	repair	minimizing invasiveness and
		optimizing surgical outcomes
Meta-analysis	Mesh fixation with	Comparative outcomes in terms of
	glue vs suture	pain and recurrence rates
Meta-analysis	Single-incision vs	Comparative feasibility and patient
	multi-incision	recovery outcomes in laparoscopic
		surgery
Clinical Trial	Postoperative	Effectiveness of Mesalt combined
	infection	with Mepilex dressing in reducing
		infection rates
Prospective Study	Mesh and chronic	Long-term impact of mesh and
	pain	fixation on chronic pain in
		Lichtenstein hernia repair
RCT	Lichtenstein vsOnstep	Comparative outcomes and patient
	_	satisfaction in different repair
		techniques
RCT	Tisseel/Tissucol for	Secondary results and benefits of
	mesh	fibrin sealants in mesh fixation
		during hernia repair
RCT	Lichtenstein vs	Preliminary results and comparative
	Lichtenstein plus plug	effectiveness in reducing
		recurrence rates
Controlled	Tension-free	Comparative study findings in
Randomized Study	vsBassini	managing strangulated inguinal
,		hernia
Randomized	Desardavs	Randomized comparison in
Clinical Trial	Lichtenstein	treatment outcomes for primary
		inguinal hernia
Long-term Follow-	Lichtenstein vsValenti	Comparative long-term outcomes
up Study		and patient satisfaction in different
		repair techniques
Meta-analysis	Non-sutured vs	Meta-analysis findings in terms of
1.10ta ariary 515	sutured mesh	pain management and patient
		satisfaction
Randomized	Standard vs	Comparative effectiveness in
Controlled Trial	lightweight mesh	reducing recurrence rates and
Controlled IIIai	TIGITIMETATILITIESTI	reducing recurrence rates and

		complications	
Randomized Study	Open	Randomized study in recurrent	
	preperitonealvs	inguinal hernia repair techniques	
	anterior		
Prospective Trial	Mesh fixation in TEP	Prospective study on mesh stability	
		and postoperative recovery in TEP	
Prospective	Swing mesh vsKugel	Prospective randomized study	
Randomized Study	mesh	outcomes in primary inguinal hernia	
		repair	
Observational	Fibrin glue vs	Observational study outcomes in	
Study	polypropylene	wound healing and postoperative	
		complications	
Large-scale Trial	Open vs laparoscopic	Comparative study in safety and	
	repair	efficacy of different surgical	
		approaches	
Prospective Trial	Mesh fixation	Prospective trial outcomes in	
	methods	comparing different mesh fixation	
		methods	
Prospective	TEP vs mesh-plug vs	Comparative outcomes and patient	
Randomized Trial	Lichtenstein	satisfaction in various repair	
		techniques	
Population-based	TAPP vs TEP	Population-based study outcomes in	
Analysis		endoscopic inguinal hernia repair	
Randomized Trial	Three meshes in	Comparative outcomes and long-	
	Lichtenstein	term recurrence rates in different	
Due are a atima Cturden	On an Ii abtanatain	mesh types	
Prospective Study	Open Lichtenstein vs	Early and long-term outcomes in	
	TEP	comparing open and laparoscopic techniques	
Randomized	Stanled we unstanled	*	
Controlled Trial	Stapled vs unstapled TEP	Comparative trial results in operative time and postoperative	
Controlled Illai	111	pain	
Prospective Trial	Fibrin glue vs staples	Prospective study outcomes in	
110spective IIIal	Tibilit glue vs staples	wound healing and postoperative	
		complications	
	<u> </u>	ntermentions and findings related to	

These tables summarize the key studies, interventions, and findings related to inguinal hernia repair techniques as discussed in the systematic review.

Discussion

Inguinal hernia repair remains a common surgical procedure, with various options available to clinicians based on patient-specific factors and surgeon preference (1). Recent innovations in surgical techniques have expanded the

repertoire of options for repair, including both open and laparoscopic approaches (2). The recurrence rates after hernia repair continue to be a concern, prompting ongoing research into the factors contributing to recurrence and the effectiveness of different repair techniques (3). Laparoscopic techniques such as TEP and TAPP have gained popularity due to their minimally invasive nature and reduced postoperative pain compared to traditional open repairs (4). The RIVAL trial compared robotic inguinal hernia repair with laparoscopic approaches, demonstrating comparable outcomes in terms of efficacy and safety (5). Systematic reviews highlight the benefits of laparoscopic repair in reducing postoperative complications and enhancing recovery compared to open techniques (6). A systematic review and meta-analysis questioned the routine repair of asymptomatic contralateral inquinal hernias, suggesting careful consideration of benefits versus risks (7). The use of mesh versus non-mesh techniques in hernia repair has been extensively studied, emphasizing lower recurrence rates and improved outcomes with mesh reinforcement (8). Regional anesthesia continues to be debated in open inquinal hernia repair, with studies exploring its efficacy and patient satisfaction (9). StatPearls provides a comprehensive overview of adult inguinal hernia, emphasizing clinical management and surgical considerations (Ref. 10). Evidence-based guidelines underscore the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of open mesh repairs in elective settings, guiding clinical practice and resource allocation (11).

Advances in laparoscopic techniques, including TEP versus TAPP hernioplasty, have been systematically reviewed to evaluate comparative efficacy and safety outcomes (12). The TREPP versus TIPP trial compared open preperitoneal inguinal hernia repair techniques, highlighting differences in surgical outcomes and patient recovery (13). A randomized controlled trial investigated mesh alone versus combined darn and mesh techniques in primary inquinal hernia repair, revealing comparable outcomes in terms of recurrence and complications (14). Robotic TAPP inquinal hernia repair has shown promising results in terms of technical feasibility and perioperative outcomes (16). Current status and progress in laparoscopic inquinal hernia repair emphasize advancements and refinements in surgical techniques (17).

Long-term outcomes with TEP using long-term resorbable mesh have been studied, suggesting favorable results in terms of recurrence and patient satisfaction (Ref. 18). A randomized clinical trial in Sierra Leone compared outcomes of inguinal hernia repair performed by associate clinicians versus medical doctors, highlighting implications for healthcare delivery in resourcelimited settings (19). Comparative studies have evaluated electrospunnanoscale fibrinogen patches versus porcine small intestine submucosa grafts in inquinal hernia repair, revealing non-inferiority of the fibrinogen patch in terms of efficacy and safety (20). Cochrane reviews have compared the Shouldice technique with other open techniques for inguinal hernia repair, informing clinical decisionmaking and surgical practice (21). A study comparing Desarda's versus Darning technique in emergency inguinal hernia repair demonstrated comparable outcomes in terms of surgical efficacy and patient recovery (22).

Changing consensus on mesh fixation in laparoscopic inquinal hernioplasty has prompted research into short- and long-term outcomes associated with different fixation methods (23). Mesh displacement after bilateral inguinal hernia repair with no fixation has been studied, highlighting implications for surgical technique and postoperative care (24). Comparative studies have evaluated ULTRAPRO Hernia System versus Lichtenstein repair, revealing differences in surgical outcomes and patient recovery (25). Three-dimensional versus two-dimensional laparoscopy in TAPP repair has been investigated, suggesting potential advantages in surgical visualization and precision (26). Endoscope-assisted inquinal hernia repair has been studied for its feasibility and outcomes in minimizing invasiveness and optimizing surgical outcomes (27).

A meta-analysis compared mesh fixation with glue versus suture in Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair, highlighting differences in postoperative pain and recurrence rates (Ref. 28). Systematic reviews have evaluated single-incision versus multi-incision laparoscopic surgery for inquinal hernia repair, revealing comparable outcomes in terms of surgical feasibility and patient recovery (29). Clinical effectiveness of Mesalt combined with Mepilex dressing in postoperative infection of inguinal hernia has been studied, emphasizing strategies to minimize infectious complications and optimize wound healing (30). Long-term outcomes from the Finn Mesh Study have evaluated the impact of mesh and fixation on chronic inguinal pain in Lichtenstein hernia repair, providing insights into factors influencing postoperative pain management (31).

A randomized trial comparing Lichtenstein versus Onstep techniques for inguinal hernia repair has investigated differences in surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction, informing surgical practice and technique selection (32). The TIMELI trial investigated post-operative benefits of Tisseel/Tissucol for mesh fixation in Lichtenstein inquinal hernia repair, highlighting implications for surgical technique and postoperative care (33). Preliminary results from randomized controlled trials have compared Lichtenstein versus Lichtenstein plus plug techniques in prosthetic inguinal hernia repair, suggesting potential advantages in reducing recurrence rates (34). Comparative studies have evaluated tensionfree repair versus Bassini technique for strangulated inguinal hernia, emphasizing differences in surgical outcomes and complications (35).

Randomized clinical trials comparing Desarda versus Lichtenstein repair for treatment of primary inquinal hernia have investigated differences in recurrence rates and patient recovery, informing technique selection in clinical practice (36). Long-term follow-up studies have evaluated Lichtenstein repair versus the Valenti technique, revealing differences in recurrence rates and patient satisfaction over extended follow-up periods (37). Meta-analysis of postoperative pain using nonsutured or sutured single-layer open mesh repair has compared outcomes in terms of pain management and patient satisfaction (38). Randomized controlled trials have compared standard polypropylene mesh versus lightweight mesh for Lichtenstein repair of primary inguinal hernia, investigating differences in recurrence rates and complications (39).

Comparative studies have evaluated open preperitoneal versus anterior approach for recurrent inguinal hernia repair, highlighting differences in surgical outcomes and complication rates (40). Prospective studies have investigated fixation versus no fixation of mesh in totally extraperitoneal inquinal hernia repair, revealing implications for mesh stability and postoperative recovery (41). Comparative trials have evaluated swing mesh versus Modified Kugel mesh for primary inquinal hernia repair, providing insights into differences in surgical technique and patient outcomes (42). Observational studies have compared human fibrin glue sealing versus suture polypropylene fixation in Lichtenstein inguinal herniorrhaphy, highlighting differences in wound healing and postoperative complications (43).

Large-scale trials such as the Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program 456 Investigators have compared open mesh versus laparoscopic mesh repair of inguinal hernia, providing evidence for the safety and efficacy of both approaches (44). Randomized trials have compared sutures, N-butyl-2cyanoacrylate, and human fibrin glue for mesh fixation during primary inquinal hernia repair, revealing differences in wound healing and postoperative recovery (Ref. 45). Prospective trials have investigated tension-free inquinal hernia repair techniques including TEP, mesh-plug, and Lichtenstein, highlighting differences in recurrence rates and patient satisfaction (46). Population-based analyses have compared TAPP versus TEP for endoscopic inguinal hernia repair, revealing differences in surgical outcomes and recovery rates (47).

A single-surgeon randomized trial compared three meshes in Lichtenstein hernia repair, investigating differences in long-term recurrence rates and patient satisfaction (48). Comparative studies have evaluated early and long-term outcomes of open Lichtenstein repair versus totally extraperitonealherniorrhaphy for primary inquinal hernias, highlighting differences in postoperative complications and recovery (49). Randomized trials have compared stapled versus unstapled techniques of laparoscopic total extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair, revealing differences in operative time and postoperative pain (50). Prospective studies have investigated human fibrin glue versus staples for mesh fixation in laparoscopic transabdominalpreperitonealhernioplasty, revealing differences in wound healing and postoperative complications (51).

Table 3: Incidence on Inguinal Hernia Repair Techniques

Reference	,	Interventions	Incidence
1	Review	Current options in	Varied by technique.
1	Review	repair	Comparative overview
		Tepair	(Hippokratia)
2	Review	Recurrence rates	Recurrence rates reported.
4	Keview	Recurrence rates	: Factors influencing
			recurrence (Int J Surg)
3	Review	Crucial anatomy,	N/A. Technical tips for
3	Keview	cues	laparoscopic repair (World
		cues	GastrointestSurg)
4	Review	Overview	N/A. Overview of inguinal
4	Keview	Overview	hernia (Laeknabladid)
5	RCT	Robotic vs	Comparable in trial cohorts.
3	KC1	laparoscopic	Comparable outcomes in
		repair	RIVAL trial (JAMA Surg)
6	Systematic	Open vs	Reduced complications with
	Review	laparoscopic	laparoscopic. Summary of
	Keview	repair	reviews (SurgEndosc)
7	Systematic	Asymptomatic	Varied in meta-analysis.
1	Review	contralateral repair	Meta-analysis findings
	REVIEW	Contraratorar repair	(Hernia)
8	Cochrane	Mesh vs non-mesh	Lower recurrence with
	Review	repair	mesh. Effectiveness of mesh
			(Cochrane Database)
9	Randomized	Regional	Effective in pain
	Trial	anesthesia	management. Efficacy in
			open repair (Eur J Med Res)
10	StatPearls	Clinical	N/A. Results: Overview of
		management	clinical management
			(StatPearls)
11	Systematic	Open mesh repairs	Cost-effective option. Cost-
	Review		effectiveness and efficacy
			(Health Technol Assess)
12	Systematic	TEP vs TAPP	Varied by technique.
	Review		Comparative efficacy
			(Hernia)
13	RCT	TREPP vs TIPP	Outcomes in trial cohorts.
			Randomized comparison

			(Ann Surg)
14	RCT	Mesh alone vs darn	Comparative outcomes.
		and mesh	Comparative outcomes (
			Med Life)
15	Case Series	Robotic TAPP	Technical feasibility.
		repair	Lessons learned (Rev Col
		•	Bras Cir)
16	Review	Progress in	Advancements in
		laparoscopic	techniques. Recent
		repair	advancements (Medicine)
17	Prospective	Long-term	Benefits of long-term use.
	Study	resorbable mesh	Outcomes with resorbable
			mesh (JSLS)
18	RCT	Associate vs	Healthcare delivery
		medical doctors	outcomes. Trial results
			(JAMA Netw Open)
19	RCT	Nanoscale	Non-inferiority
		fibrinogen patch	demonstrated. Trial results
			(J Am CollSurg)
20	Cochrane	Shouldicevs other	Effectiveness in repairs.
	Review	techniques	Effectiveness of Shouldice
			(Cochrane Database)
21	Randomized	Desardavs Darning	Comparative efficacy.
	Trial	technique	Comparison (J Ayub Med
			Coll Abbottabad)
22	Randomized	Mesh fixation in	Changing consensus.
	Trial	TAPP	Changing consensus (Int J
			Surg)
23	Review	Clinical pearls in	Short and long-term
		mesh fixation	outcomes. Short and long-
			term outcomes (Chirurgia)
24	Prospective	Mesh displacement	Postoperative care.
	Study		Postoperative care
			implications (JSLS)
25	RCT	ULTRAPRO vs	Comparative study
		Lichtenstein	outcomes. Comparative
			outcomes (IntSurg)
26	RCT	3D vs 2D	Prospective study.
		laparoscopy	Prospective randomized
			study (SurgEndosc)
27	Case Series	Endoscope-	Feasibility outcomes.
		assisted repair	Feasibility and outcomes

			(JSLS)
28	Meta-analysis	Mesh fixation with glue vs suture	Comparative outcomes. Comparative outcomes (Medicine)
29	Meta-analysis	Single-incision vs multi-incision	Comparative study. Comparative study (Int J Surg)
30	Clinical Trial	Postoperative infection	Comparative effectiveness. Comparative effectiveness (Med SciMonit)
31	Prospective Study	Mesh and chronic pain	Long-term outcomes. Long- term outcomes (World J Surg)
32	RCT	Lichtenstein vsOnstep	Double-blinded trial. Double-blinded trial protocol (Dan Med J)
33	RCT	Tisseel/Tissucol for mesh	Secondary results. Secondary results from TIMELI trial (Hernia)
34	RCT	Lichtenstein vs Lichtenstein plus plug	Preliminary results. Preliminary results (Tunis Med)
35	Controlled Randomized Study	Tension-free vsBassini	Comparative study findings. Comparative study findings (Int J Surg)
36	Randomized Clinical Trial	Desardavs Lichtenstein	Randomized comparison. Randomized comparison (Int J Surg)
37	Long-term Follow-up Study	Lichtenstein vsValenti	5-year outcomes. 5-year outcomes (Hernia)
38	Meta-analysis	Non-sutured vs sutured mesh	Meta-analysis findings. Meta-analysis findings (BJS Open)
39	Randomized Controlled Trial	Standard vs lightweight mesh	Comparative trial results. Comparative trial results (Int J Surg)
40	Randomized Study	Open preperitonealvs anterior	Randomized study. Randomized study (BMC Surg)
41	Prospective Trial	Mesh fixation in TEP	Prospective study. Prospective study (World J Surg)

42	Prospective	Swing mesh	Prospective randomized
	Randomized	vsKugel mesh	study. Prospective
	Study		randomized study (Hernia)
43	Observational	Fibrin glue vs	Observational study
	Study	polypropylene	outcomes. Observational
			study outcomes (J
			ClinDiagn Res)
44	Large-scale Trial	Open vs	Safety and efficacy study.
		laparoscopic	Safety and efficacy study
		repair	(Lancet)
45	Prospective Trial	Mesh fixation	Comparative outcomes.
		methods	Comparative outcomes (J
			Surg Res)
46	Prospective	TEP vs mesh-plug	Comparative outcomes.
	Randomized	vs Lichtenstein	Comparative outcomes (Int
	Trial		J Surg)
47	Population-	TAPP vs TEP	Population-based study
	based Analysis		outcomes. Population-
			based study outcomes
			(Hernia)
48	Randomized	Three meshes in	Comparative outcomes.
	Trial	Lichtenstein	Comparative outcomes
			(World J Surg)
49	Prospective	Open Lichtenstein	Early and long-term
	Study	vs TEP	outcomes. Early and long-
			term outcomes (Hernia)
50	Randomized	Stapled vs	Comparative trial results.
	Controlled Trial	unstapled TEP	Comparative trial results
			(Int J Surg)
51	Prospective Trial	Fibrin glue vs	Prospective study
		staples	outcomes. Prospective
			study outcomes (Hernia)

Comparison of Effectiveness of Inguinal Hernia Repair Procedures

1. Open Mesh Repair (Lichtenstein Technique):

- o Effectiveness: Widely accepted as a standard technique due to low recurrence rates (5-10%) and relatively straightforward implementation (Reference 8).
- o **Advantages**: Lower recurrence rates compared to non-mesh techniques, effective for both primary and recurrent hernias (8, 20).
- o Disadvantages: Potential for chronic pain, longer recovery time compared to laparoscopic techniques (6).

2. Laparoscopic Techniques (TAPP and TEP):

- o **Effectiveness**: Comparable or lower recurrence rates (10-20%) with reduced postoperative pain and quicker recovery times compared to open repair (6, 12).
- o **Advantages**: Minimally invasive, suitable for bilateral hernias, lower risk of wound infections, faster return to normal activities (6, 12).
- o Disadvantages: Technical expertise required, higher cost, risk of intraoperative complications such as injury to major vessels or organs (6, 12).

3. Robotic Assisted Repair:

- o Effectiveness: Comparable outcomes to laparoscopic repair with potential advantages in precision and dexterity (15).
- o Advantages: Enhanced visualization, improved ergonomics for surgeons, potentially reduced conversion rates to open surgery (15).
- o Disadvantages: High initial setup costs, longer operating times compared to standard laparoscopic techniques (15).

4. Tissue-Based Repairs (Desarda Technique):

- o **Effectiveness**: Promising results in selected patients, particularly in young and active individuals, with low recurrence rates reported (Reference 21).
- o Advantages: Utilization of native tissues, potentially lower risk of chronic pain compared to mesh repairs (21).
- o Disadvantages: Limited long-term data compared to mesh repairs, technique operator-dependent (21).

5. Biological and Resorbable Meshes:

- o Effectiveness: Varying outcomes reported, with some studies suggesting comparable effectiveness to synthetic meshes (17, 19).
- o **Advantages**: Reduced risk of long-term complications associated with permanent meshes, potential for better tissue integration (17,
- o Disadvantages: Higher cost, variability in resorption rates, and potential for hernia recurrence (17, 19).

6. Hybrid Techniques (e.g., Onstep Procedure):

- o **Effectiveness**: Preliminary studies indicate promising outcomes with potentially reduced postoperative pain and shorter recovery times (32).
- o Advantages: Combines principles of open and minimally invasive techniques, potentially reducing complications associated with each approach (32).
- o Disadvantages: Limited long-term data, specific training required for implementation (32).

Approaches (e.g., Nanotechnology, Endoscopic 7. Innovative Assistance):

- o Effectiveness: Emerging data suggests feasibility and potential advantages in specific patient populations, but long-term outcomes need further evaluation (19, 27).
- o Advantages: Precision, reduced tissue trauma, and potentially faster recovery (References 19, 27).
- o **Disadvantages**: Limited comparative data, higher procedural costs initially, and specific technical expertise required (References 19, 27).

Conclusion

The choice of inguinal hernia repair technique should be tailored to the patient's individual characteristics, hernia type, and surgeon's expertise. While laparoscopic techniques offer advantages in terms of quicker recovery and reduced postoperative pain, open mesh repairs like the Lichtenstein technique remain a reliable option with established long-term outcomes. Emerging technologies such as robotics and biodegradable meshes show promise but require further long-term studies to validate their efficacy and cost-effectiveness compared to traditional methods.

References

- 1. H Kulacoglu.Current options in inguinal hernia repair in adult patients. Hippokratia. 2011; 15(3): 223-231.
- 2. Sri VengadeshGopal, AchuthanWarrier. Recurrence after groin hernia repairrevisited. International Journal of Surgery. 2013; 374-377.
- 3. Yasukawa D, Aisu Y, Hori T. Crucial anatomy and technical cues for laparoscopic transabdominalpreperitoneal repair: Advanced manipulation for groin hernias in adults. World J Gastrointest Surg. 2020 Jul 27;12(7):307-325.
- 4. Berndsen MR, Gudbjartsson T, Berndsen FH. Inquinal hernia review. Laeknabladid. 2019 Sep;105(9):385-391.
- 5. Prabhu AS, Carbonell A, Hope W, Warren J, Higgins R, Jacob B, Blatnik J, Haskins I, Alkhatib H, Tastaldi L, Fafaj A, Tu C, Rosen MJ. Robotic Inguinal

- vsTransabdominal Laparoscopic Inquinal Hernia Repair: The RIVAL Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Surg. 2020 May 1;155(5):380-387.
- 6. Haladu N, Alabi A, Brazzelli M, Imamura M, Ahmed I, Ramsay G, Scott NW. Open versus laparoscopic repair of inquinal hernia: an overview of systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials. SurgEndosc. 2022 Jul;36(7):4685-4700.
- 7. Park JB, Chong DC, Reid JL, Edwards S, Maddern GJ. Should asymptomatic contralateral inguinal hernia be laparoscopically repaired in the adult population as benefits greatly outweigh risks? A systematic review and metaanalysis. Hernia. 2022 Aug;26(4):999-1007.
- 8. Lockhart K, Dunn D, Teo S, Ng JY, Dhillon M, Teo E, van Driel ML. Mesh versus non-mesh for inguinal and femoral hernia repair. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Sep 13;9(9):CD011517.
- 9. Bakota B, Kopljar M, Baranovic S, Miletic M, Marinovic M, Vidovic D. Should we abandon regional anesthesia in open inquinal hernia repair in adults? Eur J Med Res. 2015 Sep 17; 20(1):76.
- 10. Morrison Z, Kashyap S, Nirujogi VL. Adult Inquinal Hernia. 2022 Aug 29. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-PMID: 30725926.
- 11. Sharma P, Boyers D, Scott N, Hernández R, Fraser C, Cruickshank M, Ahmed I, Ramsay C, Brazzelli M. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of open mesh repairs in adults presenting with a clinically diagnosed primary unilateral inguinal hernia who are operated in an elective setting: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess. 2015 Nov;19(92):1-142.
- 12. Berger D. Evidence-Based Hernia Treatment in Adults. DtschArztebl Int. 2016 Mar 4;113(9):150-7; quiz 158.
- 13. Aiolfi A, Cavalli M, Del Ferraro S, Manfredini L, Lombardo F, Bonitta G, Bruni PG, Panizzo V, Campanelli G, Bona D. Total extraperitoneal (TEP) versus laparoscopic transabdominalpreperitoneal (TAPP) hernioplasty: systematic review and trial sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. Hernia. 2021 Oct;25(5):1147-1157.
- 14. Bökkerink WJV, Koning GG, Vriens PWHE, Mollen RMHG, Harker MJR, Noordhof RK, Akkersdijk WL, van Laarhoven CJHM. Open Preperitoneal Inguinal Hernia Repair, TREPP Versus TIPP in a Randomized Clinical Trial. Ann Surg. 2021 Nov 1;274(5):698-704.
- 15. Al-Hakkak SMM, Alnajim AA, Al-Wadees AA, Ahmed MA. Mesh alone versus combined darn and mesh in primary inquinal hernia repair in adults: a randomized control trial. J Med Life. 2023 Apr;16(4):546-553.
- 16. Morrell ALG, Morrell Junior AC, Mendes JMF, Morrell AG, Morrell A. Robotic TAPP inquinal hernia repair: lessons learned from 97 cases. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2021 Jan 29;48:e20202704.
- 17. Xu LS, Li Q, Wang Y, Wang JW, Wang S, Wu CW, Cao TT, Xia YB, Huang XX, Xu L. Current status and progress of laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair: A review. Medicine (Baltimore). 2023 Aug 4;102(31):e34554.

- 18. Ruiz-Jasbon F, Ticehurst K, Ahonen J, Norrby J, Ivarsson ML. TEP With Long- Term Resorbable Mesh in Patients With Indirect Inguinal Hernia. JSLS. 2018 Jan-Mar;22(1):e2017.00076.
- 19. Ashley T, Ashley H, Wladis A, Bolkan HA, van Duinen AJ, Beard JH, Kalsi H, Palmu J, Nordin P, Holm K, Ohene-Yeboah M, Löfgren J. Outcomes After Elective Inquinal Hernia Repair Performed by Associate Clinicians vs Medical Doctors in Sierra Leone: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Jan 4;4(1):e2032681.
- 20. Li S, Xiao H, Yang L, Hua L, Qiu Z, Hu X, Ping D, Zheng K, He H, Tang J. Electrospun P(LLA-CL) Nanoscale Fibrinogen Patch vs Porcine Small Intestine Submucosa Graft Repair of Inguinal Hernia in Adults: A Randomized, Single-Blind, Controlled, Multicenter, Noninferiority Trial. J Am Coll Surg. 2019 Dec;229(6):541-551.e1.
- 21. Amato B, Moja L, Panico S, Persico G, Rispoli C, Rocco N, Moschetti I. Shouldice technique versus other open techniques for inquinal hernia repair. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012 Apr 18;2012(4):CD001543.
- 22. Hussain A, Mehsam S, Ali M, Rasul S, Parveen S, Memon A. Emergency Inquinal Hernia Repair: Comparison OfDesarda's Versus Darning Technique. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2017 Oct-Dec;29(4):551-553. PMID: 29330975.
- 23. Habeeb TAAM, Mokhtar MM, Sieda B, Osman G, Ibrahim A, Metwalli AM, Riad M, Khalil OMH, Mansour MI, Elshahidy TM, Abdelhamid MI, Mohamed MB. Changing the innate consensus about mesh fixation in trans-abdominal preperitoneal laparoscopic inquinal hernioplasty in adults: Short and long term outcome. Randomized controlled clinical trial. Int J Surg. 2020 Nov;83:117-124.
- 24. Claus CMP, Rocha GM, Campos ACL, Paulin JAN, Coelho JCU. Mesh Displacement After Bilateral Inguinal Hernia Repair With No Fixation. JSLS. 2017 Jul-Sep;21(3):e2017.00033.
- 25. Karateke F, Ozyazici S, Menekse E, Özdogan H, Kunt M, Bozkurt H, Baliİ, Özdogan M. ULTRAPRO Hernia System versus lichtenstein repair in treatment of primary inguinal hernias: a prospective randomized controlled study. Int Surg. 2014 Jul-Aug;99(4):391-7.
- 26. Koppatz HE, Harju JI, Sirén JE, Mentula PJ, Scheinin TM, Sallinen VJ. Threedimensional versus two-dimensional high-definition laparoscopy transabdominalpreperitoneal inquinal hernia repair: a prospective randomized controlled study. SurgEndosc. 2020 Nov;34(11):4857-4865.
- 27. Chawla S, Lal P, Ganguly PK, Arora MP, Hadke NS. Endoscope-assisted inguinal hernia repair. JSLS. 2005 Jan-Mar;9(1):42-6.
- 28. Lin H, Zhuang Z, Ma T, Sun X, Huang X, Li Y. A meta-analysis of randomized control trials assessing mesh fixation with glue versus suture in Lichtenstein inquinal hernia repair. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018 Apr;97(14):e0227.
- 29. Sajid MS, Khawaja AH, Sayegh M, Baig MK. A systematic review comparing single-incision versus multi-incision laparoscopic surgery for inguinal hernia repair with mesh. Int J Surg. 2016 May;29:25-35.

- 30. Liu Z, Xiong Z, Wu J, Wang F. Clinical curative effect of Mesalt combined with Mepilex dressing in postoperative infection of inquinal hernia. Med SciMonit. 2015 Apr 9;21:1038-42.
- 31. Matikainen M, Vironen J, Kössi J, Hulmi T, Hertsi M, Rantanen T, Paajanen H. Impact of Mesh and Fixation on Chronic Inguinal Pain in Lichtenstein Hernia Repair: 5-Year Outcomes from the Finn Mesh Study. World J Surg. 2021 Feb;45(2):459-464.
- 32. Andresen K, Burcharth J, Rosenberg J. Lichtenstein versus Onstep for inquinal hernia repair: protocol for a double-blinded randomised trial. Dan Med J. 2013 Nov;60(11):A4729.
- 33. Campanelli G, Pascual MH, Hoeferlin A, Rosenberg J, Champault G, Kingsnorth A, Bagotd'Arc M, Miserez M. Post-operative benefits of Tisseel(®)/Tissucol (®) for mesh fixation in patients undergoing Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair: secondary results from the TIMELI trial. Hernia. 2014 Oct; 18(5):751-60.
- 34. Boudokhane M, Fodha M, Abdekefi MT, Nacef K, Majdoub N, Affes A, Sfari N, Attia H, Samed N, Bahloul A, Majdoub A, Binous MY, Ben Soussia R, Hleli K, Fodha Lichtenstein versus Lichtenstein plus plug in prosthetic inquinal hernia repair: preliminary results of a prospective randomized controlled trial]. Tunis Med. 2012 May;90(5):401-6.
- 35. Elsebae MM, Nasr M, Said M. Tension-free repair versus Bassini technique for strangulated inguinal hernia: A controlled randomized study. Int J Surg. 2008 Aug;6(4):302-5.
- 36. Youssef T, El-Alfy K, Farid M. Randomized clinical trial of Desarda versus Lichtenstein repair for treatment of primary inguinal hernia. Int J Surg. 2015 Aug;20:28-34.
- 37. Mitura K, Garnysz K, Michałek I. Long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of Lichtenstein repair vs the Valenti technique for inquinal hernia. Hernia. 2019 Jun;23(3):547-554.
- 38. Van Steensel S, van Vugt LK, Al Omar AK, Mommers EHH, Breukink SO, Stassen LPS, Winkens B, Bouvy ND. Meta-analysis of postoperative pain using nonsutured or sutured single-layer open mesh repair for inquinal hernia. BJS Open. 2019 Feb 27;3(3):260-273.
- 39. Demetrashvili Z, Khutsishvili K, Pipia I, Kenchadze G, Ekaladze E. Standard polypropylene mesh vs lightweight mesh for Lichtenstein repair of primary inquinal hernia: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Surg. 2014 Dec;12(12):1380-4.
- 40. SaberA, Ellabban GM, Gad MA, Elsayem K. Open preperitoneal versus anterior approach for recurrent inquinal hernia: a randomized study. BMC Surg. 2012 Oct 30;12:22.
- 41. Koch CA, Greenlee SM, Larson DR, Harrington JR, Farley DR. Randomized prospective study of totally extraperitoneal inquinal hernia repair: fixation versus no fixation of mesh. JSLS. 2006 Oct-Dec;10(4):457-60. PMID: 17575757; PMCID: PMC3015750.

- 42. Zhou Z, Hu X, Zhang B, Gu Y. Swing mesh versus Modified Kugel mesh forn primary inquinal hernia repair. A prospective randomized clinical trial. Acta Cir Bras. 2016 Dec;31(12):834-839.
- 43. Damiano G, Gioviale MC, Palumbo VD, Spinelli G, Buscemi S, Ficarella S, Bruno A, Tomasello G, Lo Monte AI. Human fibrin glue sealing versus suture polypropylene fixation in Lichtenstein inquinal herniorrhaphy: a prospective observational study. Chirurgia (Bucur). 2014 Sep-Oct;109(5):660-3.
- 44. Neumayer L, Giobbie-Hurder A, Jonasson O, Fitzgibbons R Jr, Dunlop D, Gibbs J, Reda D, Henderson W; Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program 456 Investigators. Open mesh versus laparoscopic mesh repair of inquinal hernia. N Engl J Med. 2004 Apr 29;350(18):1819-27.
- 45. Testini M, Lissidini G, Poli E, Gurrado A, Lardo D, Piccinni G. A single-surgeon randomized trial comparing sutures, N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate and human fibrin glue for mesh fixation during primary inquinal hernia repair. Can J Surg. 2010 Jun;53(3):155-60. PMID: 20507786; PMCID: PMC2878998.
- 46. Bringman S, Ramel S, Heikkinen TJ, Englund T, Westman B, Anderberg B. Tension-free inguinal hernia repair: TEP versus mesh-plug versus Lichtenstein: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2003 Jan;237(1):142-7.
- 47. Gass M, Banz VM, Rosella L, Adamina M, Candinas D, Güller U. TAPP or TEP? Population-based analysis of prospective data on 4,552 patients undergoing endoscopic inguinal hernia repair. World J Surg. 2012 Dec;36(12):2782.
- 48. Paajanen H, Rönkä K, Laurema A. A single-surgeon randomized trial comparing three meshes in lichtenstein hernia repair: 2- and 5-year outcome of recurrences and chronic pain. Int J Surg. 2013;11(1):81-4.
- 49. Sevinç B, Damburacı N, Güner M, Karahan Ö. Comparison of early and long term outcomes of open Lichtenstein repair and totally extraperitonealherniorrhaphy for primary inquinal hernias. Turk J Med Sci. 2019 Feb 11;49(1):38-41.
- 50. Parshad R, Kumar R, Hazrah P, Bal S. A randomized comparison of the early outcome of stapled and unstapled techniques of laparoscopic total extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair. JSLS. 2005 Oct-Dec;9(4):403-7.
- 51. Lovisetto F, Zonta S, Rota E, Mazzilli M, Bardone M, Bottero L, Faillace G, Longoni M. Use of human fibrin glue (Tissucol) versus staples for mesh fixation in transabdominalpreperitonealhernioplasty: laparoscopic prospective, randomized study. Ann Surg. 2007 Feb;245(2):222-31.
- 52. MohamadHammoud; Jeffrey Gerken. Inquinal Hernia. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov